

The Occultation of the Twelfth Imam (A Historical Background)



Jassim M. Hussain

Al-Islam.org

Author(s):

Jassim M. Hussain [3]

Publisher(s):

Muhammadi Trust of Great Britain and Northern Ireland [4]

(with a Foreword by Dr. I.K.A Howard, Edinburg University)

A study of the occultation of the Twelfth Imam using rarely consulted early Shi'ite sources, covering the time period from Ja'far al-Sadiq, the Sixth Imam, to the end of the lesser occultation of the Twelfth Imam.

Category:

Prophethood & Imamate [5]

Imam al-Mahdi [6]

Topic Tags:

Ahl al-Bayt [7]

Ghayba (Occultation) [8]

Messianism [9]

Important notice:

The Ahlul Bayt DILP team wishes to inform the reader of some important points regarding this digitized text, which represents the English translation of a work originally written in Arabic. Whereas no one can doubt the best intentions of the translator and the publishers in making this title accessible to an English speaking audience, the editing and digitization process of this book (carried out by the DILP Team) has revealed issues in the quality of translation. Based upon this fact, the DILP team has taken the liberty to make grammatical corrections to make the text more readable and less ambiguous; spelling mistakes and typographical errors have also been corrected and an attempt has been made to improve the highly non-standard use of transliteration of Arabic names and terms. The online text is not an exact reproduction of the original translation. Users wishing to see the translation as it was published should refer to printed copies available in bookshops. Those who understand are advised to refer directly to the original text. The Ahlul Bayt DILP Team

Miscellaneous information:

Published by: The Muhammadi Trust of Great Britain & Northern Ireland. In co-operation with The Zahra Trust, P.O Box 29926 San Antonio TX 78229 USA. ISBN 0-907794-01-7; Copyright Muhammadi Trust

This book is dedicated to Khair Allah al-Sa'dani and L. K. Hussain without whose moral support and academic assistance it would have never been written.

It is particularly welcomed that this new study of the occultation of the Twelfth Imam should be presented to those interested in the history of religion. Indeed, too little attention has been paid to this subject by scholarship generally, and especially in the West. When the matter has been discussed, it has tended to be dismissed rather cursorily. In some measure, Dr. Hussain's work serves to restore the balance.

The author, using little known and rarely consulted early Shi'ite sources, has presented a detailed study of the Imami-Shi'ite movement from the time of Ja'far al-Sadiq, the Sixth Imam, to the end of the lesser occultation of the Twelfth Imam. What emerges is a picture of a secret religious organisation with adherents all over the Islamic world. This organisation had to be secret because it was constantly subject to persecution from the authorities.

The great unifying force of the movement which Dr. Hussain describes was its belief in the Imamate as a central institution to preserve the integrity of Islam. This Imamate would be the means by which justice and equity would be eventually brought to the world. Dr. Hussain demonstrates that the traditions about one of the Imams being the *Mahdi* were circulating among the Shi'a from the very earliest times.

He also establishes that though there was some confusion among the Shi'a as to which Imam would be the *Mahdi*, there was some evidence that traditions existed which said it was to be the Twelfth Imam and that traditions about the Twelfth Imam being the last Imam even found their way into the body of Sunni literature.

For the first time in English, the evidence for the existence of the Twelfth Imam is fully presented and while it is of a circumstantial nature, it is much more convincing than the usual picture presented by Western scholarship. Dr. Hussain's thorough and sympathetic treatment of this is to be greatly welcomed.

The greater occultation of the Twelfth Imam is a matter of religious faith. It, like other great religious beliefs, is not something that scholars can prove or disprove. However, it has an inner religious reality which no one can reject. The proof of this inner religious reality is that it is a doctrine that has sustained and strengthened the faith of millions of Shi'ite Muslims up to the present time. Despite persecution and tribulation, this community and their faith have survived.

This work of meticulous scholarship by Dr. Hussain is commended to all those interested in the history of the development of Islam and Shi'ism. The work of the Muhammadi Trust, and its tireless secretary in ensuring its publication is particularly appreciated.

I. K. A. Howard

Department of Islamic Studies,
University of Edinburgh

In the Name of Allah, the Merciful, the Compassionate

Muhammad b. al-Hasan al- 'Askari is the Twelfth Imam of the "Imamates" or "Twelve-Imam Shi'ites", *al-Ithna Ashariyya*, who form the second largest denomination in Islam after the Sunnis. He appears to have been born in 256 A.H./869 A.D. Four years later, after the death of his father al- 'Askari, the eleventh Imam, he was hidden from the authorities of the 'Abbasid caliphs as a precaution.

His whereabouts were disclosed only to a very few of his followers. Four of his father's close associates became successive mediators between the Imam and his followers until the year 329/941.

This period has been considered by the Imamites as the first or the short occultation (*al-Ghayba*) of the Twelfth Imam. During it the four *Saf'irs* directly supervised the underground religious and political activities of the Imamites.

The last *Saf'ir* announced on his death-bed in 329/941 that the Twelfth Imam had decided not to appoint another *Saf'ir* and had entered into total occultation. The Imamites considered this declaration the beginning of the Twelfth Imam's second occultation, which has continued until the present time.

Because of the second occultation the series of Imams stopped at the number twelve. Accordingly the Imamites believe that the Twelfth Imam is *al-Qa'im* ("he who will rise"), whose rising was promised by the Prophet. For the Prophet is said to have predicted that a descendant of his daughter Fatima would rise with the sword and fill the world with justice and equity. For this reason the Imamites believe that he is still alive, but in a state of occultation until the moment of his rising at an unspecified time in the future.

Since the first half of the fourth/tenth century many scholars have examined the occultation of the Twelfth Imam purely from the theological point of view, even though this event appears to have been historical. However, because of the close connection between the occultation and the Imamate (*al-Imama*) or the religious and political leadership, it became involved with Shi'ite theological discussions and gradually its historical aspects came to be ignored.

Thus modern scholars like Ignaz Goldziher, Margoliouth, Snouck Hurgronje and Darmesteter were inclined to study the occultation of the Twelfth Imam as a theological phenomenon and tried to trace its pre-Islamic origins.

The present inquiry is an attempt to study the historical background and circumstances of the occultation of the Twelfth Imam. The Imamites had political ambitions to obtain political power under the leadership

of an Imam called *al-Qa'im bi-l-sayf* (the one who will rise with the sword). This study tries to examine the role of these ambitions in his occultation and to trace as well the evolution of the underground Imamite organization (*al-Wikala*) and its role during the time of the Twelfth Imam's short occultation.

It is essential to make a survey of the main sources of this study so that the viewpoint of each of them can be understood and the information they contain evaluated accordingly.

1. Books on the question of the Ghayba

It is indeed an old idea in Imamite history that one Imam from the progeny of the Prophet would go into hiding to prepare for the day when he would rise again under the title *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and fill the world with justice. The concealment (*al-Ghayba*) was considered a sign of the true *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and both before and after the occultation of the Twelfth Imam in 260/874.

Many Shi'ite writers collected traditions attributed to the Prophet and the Imams concerning this issue. These traditions were used by many Shi'ite groups to back up the claims of their leaders who aspired to power by adopting the title of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*.

Before 260/874 they were used even by some Imamites themselves as evidence that one or another of their deceased Imams was in fact *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. Finally the same traditions have been used by the Imamites to support their claims that the Twelfth Imam was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* himself. These works on the subject of the *Ghayba* can be divided into three groups based on the dates of their authorship.

A. Books on the question of the occultation written before 260/874

The Imamite scholars wrote about four hundred books (*al-Usul al Arba'mi'a*) during the lifetimes of the first eleven Imams. Some of these dealt with the subject of the Imam's concealment, recording traditions on the authority of the Prophet and the Imams predicting that an Imam would go into occultation.

These traditions, however, neither named the Imam nor fixed the time at which his occultation would begin. The ambiguity of these traditions encouraged many Shi'ites to apply them to different Imams. Hence the Waqifa sect held that Musa al-Kazim, the seventh Imam, was the hidden Imam whereas *al-Imamiyya al-Qat'iyya* and *al-Zaydiyya al-Jarudiyya*¹ held that the hidden Imam would be the Twelfth, but they did not identify him by name.

Despite the fact that a great majority of these early works are not extant, it appears that during the fourth and fifth centuries the Imamite authors based their works concerning *al-Ghayba* on these early writings. The following works are examples of the Waqifite, Zaydite and Imamite views on this matter.

The Waqifa

1. Al-Anmati Ibrahim b. Salih al-Kufi, was a companion of the fifth Imam, al-Baqir (d. 114/732). He wrote a book entitled *al-Ghayba* which represents the Waqifite point of view, although the information he gave was used by such later scholars as Ahmad b. 'Ali b. Nuh (d. before 423/1031) and al-Tusi to support the Imamite view².

2. Al-Ta'i al-Tatari, 'Ali b. al-Hasan, was a companion of the seventh Imam, al-Kazim (d. 183/799), whom he named as the hidden Imam. In defence of his view he wrote *Kitab al-Ghayba*, which became the framework for the works of later Waqifite authors like Ibn Suma'a (d. 263/877) on this issue³.

3. Al-Hasan b. Muhammad b. Suma'a, composed a book on *al-Ghayba*, following the footsteps of his Waqifite teacher al-Ta'i al-Tatari⁴.

However, those Imamites who lived during the period from 260–329/874–940–1 such as al-Hasan al-Saffar (d. 292/904) and al-Kulayni (d. 329/940), used his information to support their claim that the hidden Imam was not the seventh Imam but the Twelfth Imam⁵.

The Zaydites

Abu Said 'Abbad b. Ya'qub al-Rawajini al-'Asfari (d. 250/864) was one of the leading scholars of the Shi'ite sect *al-Zaydiyya al-Jarudiyya*. He compiled a book of traditions entitled *Kitab Abu Said al-Asfari*. The importance of this work is that its author cites a tradition referring to the occultation at least ten years before its occurrence.

He also mentions other traditions which point to the fact that the number of the Imams would end with the Twelfth Imam and that he would be *al-Qa'im*. However, in contrast to the Imamites he does not mention the names of the twelve Imams.

Al-'Asfari's work along with the works of Sulaym b. Qays (d. 809/699–707) and al-Hasan b. Mahbub al-Sarrad (d. 244/838) were used extensively by such Imamites as al-Kulayni (d. 329/941), al-Nu'mani (d. 360/970) and al-Saduq (d. 380/991) to prove the existence of the Twelfth Imam and his subsequent occultation.

The Imamites

1. 'Ali b. Mahzayar al-Ahwazi was a close associate of the ninth Imam, al-Jawad. He was appointed by the latter as his representative in al-Ahwaz and continued to remain in office throughout the reign of the tenth Imam, al-Hadi. He wrote two books, called *Kitab al-Malahim* and *Kitab al-Qa'im*, both dealing with the occultation of the Imam and his subsequent rising with the sword⁶.

Then between the years 260/874 and 329/940 his two sons Ibrahim and Muhammad became the authorised representatives of the Twelfth Imam in al-Ahwaz. It is on their authority that al-Kulayni and al-Saduq give important information concerning the communication methods employed in the

underground activities of the Imamites.

2. In his work on the subject of *al-Ghayba* called *al Mashyakha*, al-Hasan b. Mahbub al-Sarrad (d. 224/838) records several anecdotes which are often attributed to the Imams. This work has been lost but several quotations from it are included in the available Imamite sources.

3. Al-Fadl b. Shadhan al-Nisaburi (d. 260/873) was a wellknown Imamite scholar and secured the trust and the praise of the eleventh Imam. He also compiled a book called *al-Ghayba*⁷, but most of its material seems to have been quoted from the work of al-Hasan b. Mahbub⁸. Since al-Fadl died two months before the death of the eleventh Imam in 260/874, the importance of his work lies in the traditions he recorded indicating that the Twelfth Imam would be *al-Qa'im*.

Many later writers like al-Tusi in his own work entitled *al-Ghayba*, relied on al-Fadl's work. Baha al-Din al-Nili (d. 790/1388) also compiled a work entitled *al-Ghayba* by summarising al-Fadl's work⁹. Despite the fact that the actual work of al-Fadl is lost, the works *Kifayat al-Muhtadi fi Ma'rifat al-Mahdi* by Mirlawhi (d. 12th/18th century) and *Kashf al-Astir* by Mirza Husayn al-Nuri (d. 1320/1902) seems to be copies of his work¹⁰. Therefore it is not unreasonable to make use of these later sources for our present purposes.

B. Books on the Question of the Ghayba written between the years

260-329/874-941

During the years of the short occultation (260-329/874-941) many books reflecting different views were composed on the question of *al Ghayba*. These were mainly collections of anecdotes based on works written before 260/874. Their authors were either jurists or propagandists and they participated in the activities of the underground organization of the Imamites led by the Twelfth Imam himself.

Thus they recorded important information, which cannot be found in books on history compiled during the same period. In 262/876, Ibrahim b. Ishaq al-Nahawandi (d. 286/899) claimed to be the representative of the Twelfth Imam in Baghdad¹¹.

He composed a book about the *Ghayba* reflecting the view of the extremists (*al-Ghulat*). Later al-Nu'mani (d. 360/970) used al Nahawandi's information extensively in composing his well-known book *al-Ghayba*.¹²

'Abd Allah b. Ja'far al-Himyari (d. after 293/905) wrote a book entitled *al-Ghayba wa-l-Hayra*. The importance of his work lies in the fact that he was one of the close associates of the tenth and the eleventh Imams and later became the agent of the first two deputies (*Saf'irs*) of the Twelfth Imam. Unfortunately this work and the work called *al-Imama wa-l-Tabsira min al-Hayra* by Ibn Babawayh (d. 329/940) are not extant. However, the latter's son, al-Saduq (d. 382/991) and al-Tusi (d. 460/1067) made thorough use of these works.

Al-Kulayni (d. 329/940) devoted much space in the section entitled *al-Hujja* of his book *al-Kafi* to the question of the occultation. He backs up this study with important information on the general situation of the Imamites between the years 260–329/874–940 with special emphasis on the role of the *Saf'irs* of the Imam in this period. He recorded many traditions attributed to the Imams concerning the concealment of the Twelfth Imam.

He quoted this information from the earlier Waqifite and Imamite authors like al-Hasan b. Mahbub (d. 224/838), 'Abbad b. Ya'qub al-'Asfari (d. 250/864) and al-Hasan b. Suma'a (d. 263/877). Moreover the agents of the Twelfth Imam were the main source of his information on the underground activities of the Imamites.

C. Books on the question of the Ghayba written after 329/941

The occurrence of the second occultation in 329/941 led to a state of confusion among the Imamites. Hence several works were composed to justify the Twelfth Imam's occultation and to explain the reasons for its prolongation. Five works of this period can be considered the basis for all later Imamite opinions concerning the occultation. Since these primary sources have been widely used in this book, it is worth evaluating the information they contain in some detail.

1. Al-Nu'mani, Muhammad b. Ibrahim b. Ja'far, known as Ibn Abi Zaynab, was a native of the town of Nu'maniyya, one of the districts of Wasit. He moved to Baghdad where he was educated in *Hadith* transmission under the supervision of al-Kulayni (d. 329/940) and Ibn 'Uqda (d. 333/944). He then went to Syria where he died around the year 360/970.

Because of the confusion which had spread amongst the Shi'ites after the death of the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari, in the year 260/874, al-Nu'mani wrote a book entitled *al-Ghayba*. He tried to prove the necessity of the Twelfth Imam's occultation by relating traditions on the authority of the Prophet and the Imams predicting its occurrence. He acquired most of his information from early authors who wrote on this subject, irrespective of their doctrinal views.

Among such early authors are al-Hasan b. Mahbub, an Imamite (d. 224/838), al-Fadl b. Shadhan, an Imamite (d. 260/873), al-Hasan b. Suma'a, a Waqifite (d. 263/877), Ibrahim b. Ishaq al-Nahawandi, an extremist (d. 286/899), al-Kulayni, an Imamite (d. 329/940), the well-known Zaydite jurist Ibn 'Uqda (d. 333/944), and al-Mas'udi (d. 345/956)¹³.

Al-Nu'mani's outstanding contribution was that he was the first compiler after al-Mas'udi (d. 345/956) to give an interpretation of those traditions attributed to the Imams by al-Kulayni, which indicated that *al-Qa'im* would have two occupations, the first short and the second long. Al-Nu'mani stated that the first occultation was the period of the four representatives of the Twelfth Imam, lasting from the year 260/874 until the year 329/940–1, and that the second began after the death of the fourth *Saf'ir* in 329/941.¹⁴

Some of his remarks reflect how the complete occultation of the Twelfth Imam threw the Imamites into

confusion and caused a massive decrease in their numbers. His interpretation became the basis for all later Imamite works on this subject.

2. Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Babawayh, who is better known as al-Saduq (d. 381/991), wrote a book on the question of the *Ghayba* entitled *Kama'l al-Di'n wa Tamam al-Ni'ma*. The information contained in this work is valuable because al-Saduq relied on the Shi'ite primary sources (*al-Usul*) which had been compiled before 260/874.¹⁵

Thus we find that he often refers to the authors al-Hasan b. Mahbub, al-Fadl b. Shadhan, al-Hasan b. Suma'a, al-Saffar, al-Himyari and his own father, Ibn Babawayh¹⁶, who had close ties with the third and fourth *Saf'irs* of the Twelfth Imam. Because his father was an eminent jurist and the Imam's agent in Qumm, al-Saduq was able to give reliable information regarding the underground communications between the agents and the Imam via his four *Saf'irs*.

However, a study of the chain of transmitters (*isnad*) of some of his reports concerning the birth of the Twelfth Imam indicates that al-Saduq obtained his information from extremists such as Muhammad b. Bahr. Consequently, one must be careful in using these reports.

3. Muhammad b. Muhammad b. al-Nu'man, who is known as al-Mufid (d. 413/1022), was at one time the leader of the Imamites. During this time the question of the occultation began to penetrate theological discussions. Hence he wrote five articles in defence of the occultation of the Twelfth Imam and also compiled an independent work entitled *al-Fusul al-'Ashara fi al-Ghayba*. Despite the dogmatic approach of this work, it records valuable information concerning the historical circumstances surrounding the disappearance of the Twelfth Imam before 260/874.

Al-Mufid also presents an exposition similar to that of al-Nawbakhti and Sa'd al-Qummi putting forward the fifteen different views held by the Imamites after 260/874. Al Mufid bore witness to the disappearance of all fifteen groups by 373/983, except the Twelvers who believed that their leader was the Twelfth Imam and that he was in concealment.

In his book *al-Irshad*, al-Mufid follows the method employed by al-Kulayni and alNu'mani in the narration of *Hadith*, first of all by proving the existence of the Twelfth Imam and then by vindicating the prolongation of his age beyond the life-span of an ordinary person.

4. After al-Mufid his two eminent pupils 'Ali b. al-Husayn, better known as al-Murtada (d. 436/1044), and Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Karajaki (d. 449/1057), followed his rational approach in their treatises on the prolongation of the Twelfth Imam's concealment. This approach is clear in the extant article of al-Murtada which was published in Baghdad in 1955 under the title *Masa'la wajiza fi al Ghayba* and translated into English by Sachedina¹⁷.

In 427/1035 al Karajaki compiled a work entitled *al-Burhan 'ala Sihhat Tul 'Umr al Imam Sahib al-Zaman*, in which he mentions many people whose ages had been prolonged beyond the life-span of an

ordinary person. His aim in this was to prove, like al-Saduq and al-Mufid before him, that the prolongation of the Twelfth Imam's life during his occultation was not an extraordinary phenomenon.

On account of the dogmatic approach of these two works they are devoid of any historical information concerning the actual career of the Twelfth Imam between the years 260–329/874–941.

5. The well-known Imamite scholar Muhammad b. al-Hasan al-Tusi (d. 460/1067) deals with the question of the *Ghayba* of the Twelfth Imam in most of his dogmatic works. But the most important of these is *Kitab al-Ghayba*, in which he makes use of both tradition and reason to prove that the Twelfth Imam was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, and that he must be in a state of occultation. Through his refutation of the other Shi'ite claims, which held that 'Ali b. Abi Talib (d. 41/661), Ibn al-Hanafiyya (d. 84/703), al-Sadiq (d. 148/765), Muhammad b. Isma'il, and al-Kazim (d. 183/799) were *al-Qa'im* and that they were in hiding, he suggests that the traditions concerning the occultation go back to the time of 'Ali.

Al-Tusi also provides reliable historical information on the underground activities of the four representatives of the Twelfth Imam, quoting from a missing book entitled *Akhbar al-Wukala' al Arba'* by Ahmad b. Nuh al-Basri. Al-Tusi's work became the main source for all the later Imamite writers regarding the concealment of the Twelfth Imam, particularly al-Majlisi (d. 1111/1699) in his work *Bihar al-Anwar*.

A contemporary of al-Kulayni was Abu al-Qasim al-Balkhi, a Mu'tazilite, who died around the year 301/913. He held that the eleventh Imam had died heirless and gave expression to these opinions in his work *al-Mustarshid*, which is a refutation of Ibn Qubba's book *al-Insaf*. Unfortunately his work has been lost. However judging by the quotations recorded in the book *al-Mughni* by al-Qadi 'Abd al-Jabbar (d. 415/1024), it appears that al-Balkhi relied on al-Hasan al-Nawbakhti (d. ca. 310/922) for information concerning the divisions among the Imamites after the year 260/874.¹⁸

As for al-Ash'ari (d. 324/935), he depended on al-Balkhi for his information about the Imamites. In fact after al-Ash'ari's conversion to the group of *Ahl al-Hadith*, later to be the Sunnites, his books became the main source for the later Sunnite writers, such as al-Baghdadi (d. 429/1037) in his work *al-Farq bayn al-Firq*¹⁹, the writer Ibn Hazm (d. 456/1063) in his work *al-Fasl fi al-Milal wa-l-Ahwa' wa l-Nihal* and later al-Shahrastani (d. 548/1153) in his work *al-Milal wa l-Nihal*²⁰.

However the information contained in the latter works concerning the Imamite schisms after the death of al-'Askari is so far removed from the original exposition of al-Balkhi and al-Nawbakhti that one has to be careful about relying upon it.

2. The Biographical Works

Among the primary sources of this study are the books of *al-Rijal*, which deal with the scientific biographies of the narrators and compilers of traditions by estimating the degree of their authority and

deducing their reliability from their dogmatic and political inclinations.

The Imamites compiled four main biographical works concerned with the domain of the present study in the 4th–5th/10th–11th centuries.

1. The first is *Ma'rifat al-Naqilin 'an al-A'imma al-Sadiqin*, compiled by Muhammad b. 'Umar al-Kashshi. He was a native of the town of Kashsh near Samarqand, where he was brought up under the auspices of the Imamite scholar Muhammad b. Mas'ud al Samarqandi and where he spent the whole of his life. According to al Tusi he died in 368/978.²¹

Al-Kashshi quoted his information from fifty-three narrators, but his main source was 'Ali b. Muhammad b. Qutayba of Nishapur²², who was contemporary with the short occultation and had close ties with the agents of the Twelfth Imam in Khurasan. Ibn Qutayba provides important information concerning the evolution of the underground organization of the Imamites (*al-Wikala*) after al-Sadiq (d. 148/765) and the reason behind the rise of the Waqifite groups after the death of the seventh Imam in 183/799.

Al-Kashshi's work became an important source for later Imamite scholars like al-Tusi (d. 460/1067), who summarised it and gave it the title *Ikhtiyar Ma'rifat al-Rijal*.

2,3. Al-Tusi's works, *al-Fihrist* and *al-Rijal*, are two of the four main Imamite books of al-Rijal. He cites different chains of transmitters (*isnad*) regarded as authentic and enables us to discover the links between the Imamite scholars and the agents during the short occultation.

4. The fourth Imamite work on *al-Rijal* is *Fihrist Asma' Musannifi al-Shi'a*, which was written by Ahmad b. 'Ali al-Najashi (d. 450/1058), a native of Kufa. He received his Shi'ite education there and then moved to Baghdad, where he was one of the leading Imamite scholars along with al-Murtada (d. 436/1044).

His residing in these two cities enabled him to establish close contact with fortyfive Imamite scholars who had in their possession documents related to the period of the short occultation. These documents cast light on the different ranks within the Imamite organization and provide useful information on works on the *Ghayba* compiled before and after 260/874.

Al-Barqi (d. 278/891), in his work on *al-Rijal*, provides valuable reports concerning the relationship between the Imams and their followers in particular the tenth and eleventh Imams, since he was their contemporary.

Despite the fact that the work of al-Dhahabi (d. 748/ 1347) entitled *Mizan al-I'tidal* is a later source, it contains some reports which elucidate the background of the *Ghayba* and its connection with the rise of *al-Qa'im*.

3 The Books of General History

Al-Tabari (d. 310/922) lived during the periods of the short occultation. His work *Tarikh al-RUsul wa-l-Muluk* is devoid of any information on the activities of the representatives of the Twelfth Imam, which points to the fact that their activities were underground.

Alternatively, he does follow the militant activities of the other Shi'ite groups like the Qaramita and the Isma'ilis until the establishment of their state in 296/908. He also traces the use of the prophetic traditions regarding *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* by these groups in their struggle for power during the time of the short occultation.

Al-Mas'udi (d. 346/957) lived during the period of the second occultation and provides important information regarding the harsh treatment of the Imams and their followers by the 'Abbasids and the effect of this policy on the occurrence of the *Ghayba*. This can be found in his works, *Muruj al-Dhahab*, *al-Tanbah wa-l-Ishraf* and *Ithbat al-Wasiyya* (attributed to him).

In his work *al-Kamil fi al-Tarikh*, Ibn al-Athir (d. 630/ 1232) provides a useful report concerning the schisms among the agents of the Twelfth Imam and the role of the extremists in these schisms, especially al-Shalmaghani. It is possible that Ibn al-Athir quotes his information from an Imamite work entitled *Tarikh al-Imamiyya* by Yahya b. Abi Tay (d. 630/1232). Unfortunately, however, this work is not extant.

Many other historical and geographical works and books of traditions have also been used in the present study. Before they have been employed, they have been considered with the same critical method as that used above.

1. The founder of this sect was Abu al-Jarud Ziyad b. al-Mundhir, the companion of the fifth Imam, al-Baqir. After the revolt of Zayd b. 'Ali, Abu al-Jarud abandoned his allegiance to al-Baqir and put forward the claim of Zayd, establishing a new sect called al-Zaydiyya al-Jarudiyya. Some later representatives of this sect agree with the Imamites that the series of the Imams ended with the number twelve but others claim that there were thirteen by including Zayd
2. Ahmad b. al-'Abbas al-Najashi, *Kitab al-Rijal* (Tehran, n.d.), 12, 19; Muhammad b. al-Hasan al-Tusi, *al-Fihrist* (Mashhad, 1972), 14; Ibn Dawud al-Hilli, *Kitab al-Rijal* (Tehran, 1964), 15, 416.
3. al-Najashi, 193; T. al-Fihrist, 216-7.
4. al-Najashi, 32-3; T. al-Fihrist, 97-8.
5. Muhammad b. Ya'qub al-Kulayni, *al-Kafi fi 'Ilm al-Din* (Tehran, 1381), I, 335-6; al-Najashi, 39.
6. al-Najashi, 191; T. al-Fihrist, 226.
7. al-Najashi 235-6.
8. al-Galbagani, *Muntakhab al-Athar* (Tehran, n.d.), 467.
9. Buzurg, *al-Dhari'a*, XX, 201.
10. Buzurg, *al-Dhari'a*, XVI, 82; al-Galbagani, op.cit., 467.
11. al-Majlisi, *Bihar al-Anwar* (Tehran, 1395), LI, 300-1.
12. N. al-Ghayba, 143, 156, 163, 185.
13. N. al-Ghayba, 82-6, 100.
14. N. al-Ghayba, 91-2.

15. Kama'l, 19.
16. Kama'l, 127, 133, 187, 211, 222, 174, 381.
17. Sachedina, A Treatise on the Occultation of the Twelfth Imamite Imam, *Studia Islamica* (Paris, 1978), XLVIII, 117–24.
18. al-Qadi 'Abd al-Jabbar, *al-Mughni fi Abwab al-Tawhid*, al-Imama (Cairo, 1963), II, 176, 182.
19. al-Baghdadi, *al-Farq bayn al-Firaq* (Beirut, 1973), 24, 51–2.
20. Ibn Hazm, 'Ali b. Muhammad, *al-Fasl fi al-Milal wa-l-Ahwa' wa-l-Nihal* (Cairo, 1317–21), IV, 180, 188; al-Shahristani, *al-Milal wa-l-Nihal* (London, 1864), 128.
21. al-Najashi, 288; T.Rijal 458.
22. al-Najashi, 197.

After the martyrdom of al-Husayn, the Imamite Imams from 'Ali b. al-Husayn to al-Hasan al-'Askari followed a quiescent policy towards the Umayyads and the 'Abbasids. But they expected that all their suffering would be terminated by *al-Qa'im*, whose rising in arms they were awaiting.

The Imamites based their expectations on their interpretation of certain Qur'anic verses and on numbers of traditions attributed to the Prophet concerning the political and religious role of *al-Qa'im*. So it is essential to discuss some of these Qur'anic verses and traditions in order to see their effect upon the attitude of the 'Abbasids towards the Imamites, and consequently their reactions to the question of the occultation (*al-Ghayba*) of the Twelfth Imam.

1. The early usage of the term al-Mahdi

The term *al-Mahdi*, which means "the one who is guided by Allah", is the passive participle of the stem *hada*, "to guide". A term that occurs twice in the Qur'an is the active participle of the same stem, *al Hada*, the Guide. The first verse states,

"Allah is surely the Guide of those who believe" (*al-Hajj*, 22:53)

while the second states,

"But the Lord is a sufficient Guide and Helper" (*al-Furqan*, 25:33)

In the usage of the Qur'an the eighth form of the same stem, *ihtada*, "he accepted the guidance for himself", is used strictly as a reflexive passive, whose participle is *Muhtada*. So Man, who is guided by Allah, is not simply guided, but reacts himself to the divine guidance (*hidaya*)¹, either by instinct or intellect. Through these two means he can acquire knowledge of Allah, which leads him to worship Him by following His laws on earth.

However, Allah's laws cannot be discovered through these two sources of knowledge, so throughout the course of history Allah has revealed His knowledge and laws to a group of people who have been divinely guided to lead mankind towards His straight path. These people are called "Prophets" and possessed charismatic qualities which enabled them to implement the commands of Allah and to lead

the people without error. Hence they are called in the Qur'an *al Hudat* (sing. *al-hadi*), because they were already rightly guided (*muhtadin*) by Allah².

The term *al-Mahdi* (the guided one) has the same meaning as *al-Muhtadi*. However, it has been applied to certain individuals in the early Islamic period as an honorific title, while also being applied to *al-Qa'im*. Many examples can be cited showing that the term *al-Mahdi* was used in these two senses. For example the poet Hassan b. Thabit (d. 54/673) applied the term *al-Mahdi* to the Prophet in a *qasida* when he says³:

ثَاوِيًّا أَصْبَحَ الْمَهْدَى عَلَى جَزَاءِ

تَبْعِدِي لَا الْحَصَا وَطَأَّ مَنْ خَيْرَ يَأ

Sorrow for the Mahdi who is buried!

O best of those who walked on Earth, be not far!

The poet Jarir applies this term to Ibrahim, the prophet⁴.

The Sunnites often applied it to the four caliphs after the Prophet, who were called *al-Khulafa' al-Rashidun al-Mahdiyyun*, the divinely guided caliphs.⁵ Sulayman b. Surd called al-Husayn, after his martyrdom, *Mahdi b. al-Mahdi*⁶.

As for the theological usage of this term, according to Rajkowski, Abu Ishaq Ka'b b. Mati' b. Haysu' al-Himyari (d. 34/654) was the first individual to speak of *al-Mahdi* as the Saviour⁷. But it is worth mentioning that the second caliph, 'Umar b. al-Khattab, had spoken of occultation before Ka'b.

When the Prophet died in 11/632, 'Umar contended that Muhammad had not died but had concealed himself as Moses did and would return from his occultation. 'Umar's claim, however, was refuted by Abu Bakr, who reminded him of the Qur'anic verse revealing the death of the Prophet⁸ which states:

"Surely you shall die and they [too] shall surely die. Then surely on the Day of Resurrection you will contend with one another before your Lord" [al-Zumar, 39:30-31].

The follower of Ibn al-Hanafiyya (d. 81-4/700-3)⁹, al-Mukhtar, who was in revolt in Kufa in 66/685, named him as claimant to the Imamate and called him *al-Mahdi* in the messianic context¹⁰.

Later the name of Ibn al-Hanafiyya became associated with the Kaysaniyya sect, which denied his death and held that he was the promised Mahdi, who had concealed himself in Mound Radwa, and who

would rise in arms to eliminate injustice¹¹.

The Kaysaniyya dogma played an important role in Islamic political history during the Umayyad period, since the 'Abbasid propaganda, which brought about the collapse of the Umayyads, was in fact derived from this sect¹².

The dogma of al-Kaysaniyya can be seen in the poetry of Kuthayyir (d. 105/723) and al-Sayyid al-Himyari (d. 173/789). The latter had followed this sect, but it is said that he became an Imamite after a discussion with al-Sadiq, who clarified for him that the concealed Imam mentioned by the Prophet was not Ibn al-Hanafiyya but the Twelfth Imam from the progeny of al-Husayn¹³.

The Zaydites also applied the term *al-Mahdi* in its eschatological sense to their leaders who rose in arms against the 'Abbasids, such as Muhammad al-Nafs al-Zakiyya (d. 145/762), Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq (d. 203/818), and Muhammad b. al-Qasim al-Talqan, who disappeared in the year 219/834.¹⁴

An example of the Zaydite usage of this term is recorded by Ibn Tawus¹⁵, who states on the authority of Ibrahim b. 'Abd Allah b. al-Hasan, the brother of al-Nafs al-Zakiyya, that the latter had rebelled hoping that Allah might make him the Mahdi promised by the Prophet:

ذكر يحي بن الحسين الحسنى في كتاب الامالى باسناده عن طاهر بن عبيد عن
ابراهيم بن عبدالله بن الحسن عليه السلام، انه سئل عن اخيه محمد أهو
المهدي الذي يُذكر؟ فقال: ان المهدي عدة من الله تعالى لنبيه صلوات الله عليه
وعده ان يجعله من اهله مهديا لم يمسه بعينه ولم يوقت زمانه. وقد قام اخي لله
بغريفته عليه في الامر بالمعروف والنهي عن المنكر. فان اراد الله تعالى ان
يجعله الذي بذكر فهو فضل الله يمن به على من يشاء من عبادو والا فلم يتركى
اخي فريضة الله عليه لانتظار ميعاد لم يؤمر بانتظاره.

As for the Imamites, a considerable body among them applied the title of *al-Mahdi* in its messianic sense to each Imam after his death. This can be seen in the claim of *al-Nawusiyya*, *al-Waqifa* and the followers of al-'Askari, the eleventh Imam. After the death of al-Sadiq in the year 148/765 the Nawusiyya group held that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and that he did not die but went into occultation¹⁶.

The Waqifa group applied this title to the seventh Imam Musa al-Kazim (d. 183/799) and denied his death, contending that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and that he would rise to fill the earth with justice after it had been filled with tyranny¹⁷.

Other Imamites held that the eleventh Imam al-'Askari was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*,¹⁸ whereas the last important usage of this term was given to the Twelfth Imam, who became the magnate of the Imamites'

hope in their struggle for justice and equity.

It is worth mentioning that all these claims relating to the eschatological usage of the term '*al-Mahdi*' were based mainly on Prophetic traditions concerning a future restorer of Islam. Hence it is essential to discuss the traditions of the Prophet and the Imams, especially these traditions which concern the Twelfth Imam, so as to see their role in the question of his occultation.

2. The Occultation of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* in the Qur'an

In Shi'ite exegesis many Qur'anic verses are regarded as references to the role of *al-Qa'im* and his occultation.

The most important is the following verse:

O, but I call to witness the planets, the stars which rise and set (al-Takweer, 81: 15-6)

According to Imam al-Baqir, this verse means that an Imam would go into occultation in the year 260/847; then he would reappear suddenly like a bright shooting star in the dark night¹⁹.

Ibn al-Furat, *al-Kafi* and al-Saduq interpret the following Qur'anic verse:

"Say: Have you thought: If (all) your water were to disappear into the earth, who then could bring you gushing water" (al-Mulk, 67:30)

They maintain that this verse is a metaphor for the concealment of the Imam, whose presence among people is like the water they need to drink²⁰.

The Isma'ili writer Mansur al-Yaman (ca. 4th century A. H.) agrees with al-Kulayni that some Qur'anic verses which apparently deal with the Day of Judgement actually concern the appearance of *al-Qa'im* after his occultation. According to al-Kulayni the verse

"And those who sincerely believe in the day of Judgement" [al-Mi'raj, 70: 26]

refers to those who believe in the reappearance of *al-Qa'im*²¹. Mansur al-Yaman gives a similar esoteric interpretation of another verse:

And of mankind are some who say, we believe in Allah and the Last Day, when they believe not. They think to beguile Allah and those who believe, but they beguile none save themselves; but they perceive not. [al-Baqara, 2: 8-9]

Mansur al-Yaman states that the Last Day (*al-Yawm al-Akhir*) in this verse is the "Commander of the Age" (*Sahib al-Zaman*), that is *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*²².

Al-Kulayni interprets many Qur'anic verses with the same kind of approach and links them to the future

role of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*.²³ In his view, when *al-Qa'im* reappears he will establish the political state of the "People of the House" (*Ahl al-Bayt*) that is, the Imams, upon the ruins of the state of inequity. This is al-Kulayni's esoteric commentary on the verse: ***"And say: The truth has come and falsehood has vanished. Surely falsehood is a vanishing thing."*** [*Banu Isra'il, 17: 81*]²³

Al-Tusi follows in al-Kulani's footsteps in commenting on certain Qur'anic verses. Take, for example, this passage:

And We desired to show favour unto those who were oppressed in the earth, and to make them Imams and to make them the inheritors. And to establish them in the earth, and to show Pharaoh and Haman and their hosts that which they feared from them. [*al-Qasas 28: 5-6*]

Al-Tusi holds that the above verses predict the establishment of the state of Justice by *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, who would inherit what had been in the possession of the wrong-doers²⁴.

Other Imamite scholars maintain that the fifth Imam, al-Baqir, said that Allah's promise of victory to an Imam from the People of the House is mentioned explicitly in the following verse:

And verily We have have written in the scripture (al-Zabur), after the Reminder My righteous slaves will inherit the earth. [*al-Anbiya', 21: 105*]²⁵

Other verses have also been interpreted by the Imamites to be connected with the role of *al-Qa'im*, after his rising from occultation, such as the verse:

Allah has promised such of you as believe and do good works that He will surely make them to succeed (the present rulers) in the earth even as He caused those who were before them to succeed (others); and He will surely establish for them their religion which he has approved for them, and will give them in exchange safety after their fear. They serve Me. They ascribe nothing as a partner unto Me. Those who disbelieve henceforth, they are the wrong doers. [*al-Nur, 24: 55*]

Al-Qummi and al-Tusi report that the People of the House mentioned that this verse concerns the *Mahdi* because he would live during his concealment in a state of fear, would appear after the removal of fear, and would certainly become victorious²⁶.

3. The traditions concerning the Twelfth Imam and his occultation

A) The traditions concerning al-Qa'im al-Mahdi

There are many traditions attributed to the Prophet in the books of tradition concerning the identity of *al-Mahdi*, his family, his epithet (*kunya*) and his character. The conclusion of these numerous traditions is that *al-Mahdi* is a descendant of the sons of Fatima²⁷, the daughter of the Prophet; and more

particularly, that he is of the progeny of her son al-Husayn.

His colour is similar to that of the Arab, and his body is like the Israelite, and his name and *kunya* are similar to the name and *kunya* of the Prophet²⁸. Moreover some traditions claim that the Prophet said that *al-Mahdi's* father's name is like the name of the Prophet's grandson, al-Hasan. Below are a number of these traditions.

i) We, the family of 'Abd al-Muttalib, are the Masters of the inhabitants of Paradise: I, Hamza, Ja'far, 'Ali, al-Hasan, al-Husayn and *al-Mahdi*²⁹.

ii) *Al-Mahdi* is from my progeny. His name is similar to mine and his epithet is similar to mine. In his physique and character he looks exactly like me. He will be in a state of occultation and there will be confusion (*Hayra*) in which people will wander about. Then he will come forth like a sharp, shooting star to fill the earth with justice and equity as it was filled before with injustice and inequity³⁰.

iii) *Al-Mahdi* is from my family (*'itra*) from the sons of Fatima. It is worth mentioning that this tradition was reported on the authority of Umm Salama by 'Ali b. Nufayl, who died in 125/742.³¹

iv) On the authority of Ibn 'Abbas, the Prophet is reported to have said, "How shall Allah destroy a nation whose beginning is myself, whose end is Jesus and whose very centre is *al-Mahdi*, who will be from my family?³²

v) The name of *al-Mahdi's* father is similar to the name of my son al-Hasan³³.

The conclusion of Osman concerning these traditions seems to be rather forced. "All these *hadiths* are weak and contradictory (*mutadarib*), therefore their attribution to the Prophet Muhammad is to be very much doubted³⁴.

For the use of the epithet *al-Mahdi* by numerous Islamic groups, particularly the Zaydites, in their struggle for power during the Umayyad period shows that these traditions were well-known among the Muslims of that period. Moreover, many traditionists from different Islamic sects transmitted these traditions before the downfall of the Umayyads in 132/749, and later they were collected in the books of tradition (*hadith*).

The earliest of these books was *Kitab Sulaym b. Qays*, attributed to Sulaym b. Qays al-Hilali, who died between the years 80–90/699–708. He reports many Prophetic traditions concerning *al-Mahdi*, his occultation and his reappearance³⁵.

It appears from these two points that Osman's judgement is somewhat hasty, particularly if one takes into account the fact that Prophetic traditions regarding *al-Mahdi* were narrated by twenty-six companions of the Prophet. On their authority thirty-eight traditionists recorded these traditions in their collections of *hadith*³⁶.

The evidence suggests that from the earliest times in Islam there was a belief that the Prophet had given his followers a promise about a man from the progeny of al-Husayn, who would rise in arms in the future to purify Islam from innovation. But political rivalry amongst the Muslims encouraged some people to exploit this hope and to distort these Prophetic traditions in order to use them in their struggle for power³⁷.

These traditions only mention that *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* will be from the progeny of the Prophet. But there are also other traditions attributed to the Prophet which state that *al-Mahdi* will, in fact, be the Twelfth Imam.

It is true that Montgomery Watt objects that,

*Until al-'Askari died on 1st Jan. 874, there was nothing to make people expect that the number of the Imams would be limited to twelve or that the Twelfth would go into occultation. It follows the theory of the twelve Imams was worked out after 874.*³⁸

Nevertheless, there is ample proof that traditions claiming *a-lQa'im* would be the Twelfth descendant of the Prophet were in circulation before 874. It is thus necessary to throw light upon these traditions, which were transmitted by Sunnites and Zaydites as well as Imamites, so that one can see to what extent these traditions were used by the Imamite scholars to support the belief that the Twelfth Imam had not died but was in a state of occultation.

B) The traditions of the Sunnites (Ahl al-Hadith)

The Sunni books of tradition report three Prophetic traditions pertaining to the twelve Imams who would be the successors of the Prophet. These were narrated on the authority of seven companions of the Prophet, namely Jabir b. Samura, 'Abd Allah b. Mas'ud, Anas b. Malik, 'Umar b. al-Khattab, Wa'il b. Asqa', 'Abd Allah b. 'Umar and Abu Hurayra.

i) Jabir b. Samura narrates that he heard the Prophet say, "There will be after me twelve *Amirs*. *Then* he mentioned something which I did not hear, so I asked my father, who was sitting beside me, who said, "All of whom will be from Quraysh."³⁹

ii) 'Umar b. al-Khattab reports that he heard the Prophet say, "The Imams (*al-A'imma*) after me will be twelve, all of whom will be from Quraysh."⁴⁰

iii) 'Abd Allah b. Mas'ud was once reciting the Qur'an in the mosque in Iraq, when a young man came and asked him if the Prophet had informed them about the number of his successors. Ibn Mas'ud replied, "The Prophet informed us that his successors will be twelve caliphs, whose number is similar to the number of the leaders (*al-nuqaba*) of Banu Isra'il."⁴¹

These traditions have been related by the traditionists and considered authentic. Ibn Hanbal narrates the

first with thirty-four chains of transmitters (*sanad*), all of which are on the authority of Jabir b. Samura⁴², although there are slight differences in the versions. Some of the narrators used the words *Amīr* and *Khalifa* instead of *Imam*.

But these traditions, as reported by the Sunnites, indicate only that the Prophet would be succeeded by twelve successors; none reveals that the Twelfth would go into occultation, nor that he would be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. But the Zaydite and the Imamite narrators relate the same traditions with phrases which indicate that the Twelfth Imam would be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*⁴³.

C) The Twelfth Imam in the Zaydite traditions

The Zaydite sect, the Jarudiyya, narrate many traditions attributed to the Prophet and al-Baqir concerning the political role of the Twelfth Imam. One of their distinguished scholars in Kufa was Abu Said 'Abbad b. Ya'qub al-Rawajini al-'Asfari (d. 250/864)⁴⁴. He wrote a book entitled *Kitab Akhbar al-Mahdi*⁴⁵.

Al-Dhahabi reports that 'Abbad was a Rafidite propagandist, and was awaiting the rise of *al-Mahdi* in the near future. He used to carry a sword, and once said that he kept his sword ready in order to fight for *al-Mahdi*⁴⁶. It is worth mentioning that 'Abbad held this view before the occultation of the Twelfth Imam in 260/874, since he died in 250/864.⁴⁷ He reports three Prophetic traditions concerning the Twelfth Imam. Below are two of them:

- i) The Prophet is believed to have said, "From my descendants there will be eleven leaders [who will be] noble, receivers of tradition [and] possessed of knowledge, the last of whom will be '*al-Qa'im bil-Haqq*' who will fill it [i.e. the world] with justice, just as it was filled with tyranny⁴⁸.
- ii) The Prophet is reported to have said: "I and eleven of my descendants and you, O 'Ali, are the axis of the earth, that is, its tent pegs and its mountains. By us Allah has secured the world so that it will not sink with its people. For when the eleventh of my descendants has died the world shall sink with its people without warning⁴⁹.

These traditions along with other sayings predicting the historical circumstances and the signs which would precede the rise of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* were used by the Shi'a in their struggle for power. This can be seen in the events of the general 'Alid uprising which occurred in 250–1/864–5, when many Shi'ites applied the Prophetic traditions concerning the signs of the rise of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* to the historical circumstances surrounding this revolt. Ibn 'Uqda (d. 333/944) reports that al-Sadiq said:

A man from the People of the House of the Prophet will rise in arms in Mecca holding a white standard in his hand: the Euphrates will become dry, and, at the same time, a group of people, whose eyes are small, will advance towards you from the East and will force you to leave your houses. Moreover, the graves of your dead will be opened and predatory animals will attack your houses. Afterwards a fair-complexioned man will install a chair in Mecca calling people to curse 'Ali b. Abi Talib, and killing many

*people, but he will be killed on the same day.*⁵⁰

According to 'Ali b. al-Husayn b. al-Qasim al-Kharraz (d. ca. 250/864) all these signs occurred during the revolt of Yahya b. 'Umar in 250/864. As a result, some Shi'ites, particularly the Jarudiyya, believed that the leader of this revolt, Yahya b. 'Umar, was himself *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*⁵¹.

D) The Twelfth Imam in the Imamite traditions

The Imamite traditionists are distinguished from the Sunnites and the Zaydites by their claim that the Twelfth Imam mentioned in the Sunnite and the Zaydite traditions is in fact Muhammad the son of the eleventh Imam al-'Askari, and that he is *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. Moreover they have written in more detail about his occultation, and his political role, the signs which would precede his reappearance and the social and political conditions which might pave the way for it.

a. The traditions concerning the Twelfth Imam

The Prophetic traditions concerning the twelve Imams related by the Sunnite and the Zaydite traditionists were also narrated by the Imamites⁵².

They applied these traditions to their twelve Imams and added traditions of the Imams themselves which indicate explicitly that the successor of the eleventh Imam was *al-Qa'im*. The traditions attributed to the Prophet do not indicate explicitly that *al-Qa'im* would be the successor of al-'Askari, the eleventh Imam, whereas the sayings of the Imams do.

The earliest reference to a Prophetic tradition concerning the Twelfth Imam is recorded by the Imamite traditionists on the authority of Sulaym b. Qays al-Hilali. He was a companion of five Imams, 'Ali, al-Hasan, al-Husayn, 'Ali b. al-Husayn and al-Baqir, and died in 90/701.⁵³ The Imamites regard his work as the first Shi'ite collection of *Hadith*⁵⁴.

He reports numerous narrations concerning the twelve Imams and the political role of the last Imam. The first of these narrations is attributed to a Christian monk who met 'Ali after his return from the battle of Siffin. He informed him that he had found in the Gospels that the successors of the Prophet Muhammad would be twelve; the last of them would fill the world with justice, and Jesus would perform the prayer behind him⁵⁵.

All the other narrations in Sulaym's work are attributed to the Prophet. The most important of these is quoted on the authority of the companions 'Ali, 'Abd Allah b. Ja'far al-Tayyar, Salman al-Farisi, Abu al-Haytham b. al-Tayhan, Khuzayma b. Thabit, 'Ammar b. Yasir, Abu Dharr, al-Miqdad and Abu Ayyub. They narrated that the Prophet gathered his companions together at Ghadir Khumm and said to them:

O people, the legal power (al-wilaya) is granted only to 'Ali b. Abi Talib and the trustees from my progeny, the descendants of my brother 'Ali. He will be the first, and his two sons, al-Hasan and al-

*Husayn, will succeed him consecutively. They will not separate themselves from the Qur'an until they return to Allah.*⁵⁶

Sulaym adds that the Commander of the Faithful, 'Ali, told him, "O brother, son of Hilal, the *Mahdi* of my nation is Muhammad, who shall fill the earth with justice and equity as it was filled with tyranny and injustice. I know who will pay the oath of allegiance to him."⁵⁷

Sulaym states that he met al-Hasan and al-Husayn in Medina after the assassination of their father, 'Ali, and related to them this tradition on 'Ali's authority.

They confirmed that they had also heard it from the Prophet. Sulaym adds that he informed 'Ali b. al-Husayn, the fourth Imam, in the presence of his son al-Baqir about this tradition, and they also confirmed its authenticity. Moreover Abban b. Abi 'Ayyash reports that he met al-Baqir during the rite of pilgrimage and mentioned Sulaym's tradition to him, and that he confirmed its authenticity⁵⁸.

But al-Mas'udi doubts the authenticity of this tradition claiming that this tradition was transmitted only through Sulaym⁵⁹.

Despite the fact that this tradition is related on the authority of Sulaym b. Qays by many Imamite scholars, such as al-Kulayni, al-Nu'mani, and al-Tusi⁶⁰, it was related and confirmed by others as well⁶¹. In addition al-Saduq relates the above tradition on the authority of 'A¹⁶², and he narrates another prophetic tradition on the authority of Abd Allah b. 'Abbas:

*I am the master of the Prophets and 'Ali the master of my trustees, of whom there will be twelve; the first one is 'Ali, and the last is al-Qa'im.*⁶³

Moreover the Imamite scholars relate numerous traditions attributed to their Imams, which confirm that the Twelfth Imam will be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*⁶⁴. It is worth noting that al-Hadrami (fl. 3rd/9th century) reports a tradition which gives the Imam who will rise in arms the epithet *al-Qa'im*⁶⁵.

At the same time other narrations employ the epithet *al-Mahdi*, particularly in the works of al-Saffar (d. 290/902)⁶⁶.

The use of these two terms caused such confusion amongst the followers of al-Jawad that some were not sure whether *al-Qa'im* and *al-Mahdi* were the same individual or not. Therefore, according to al-Saduq, al-Jawad was reported as having said that *al-Qa'im* is from "us" and that he would be *al-Mahdi*; he must be awaited by his followers during his occultation and obeyed at his rising and that he would be his descendant in the third generation⁶⁷.

The Imamites of the fourth/ninth century called the Twelfth Imam *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. Al-Mufid states that he was called *al-Mahdi* because he would guide people to a forgotten dogma and law⁶⁸.

b. The political role of al-Qa'im

It has already been pointed out that the Imams from 'Ali b. al-Husayn onwards adopted publicly a quiescent policy towards the Umayyads and the 'Abbasids. Accordingly, they stressed the propagation of their teachings, which they expected, would result in religious and political awareness among the people and would prepare the ground for the task of *al-Qa'im*.

Al-Nu'mani reports that al-Baqir advised his partisan Abu al-Jarud to keep quiet at home, and not to implicate himself in the militant activities of some 'Alids against the Umayyads, since the Umayyad state had a natural lifespan and the moment of its downfall had not yet come⁶⁹. He added that any 'Alid who rebelled against tyranny before the rise of *al-Qa'im* would inevitably fail⁷⁰.

Al-Sadiq and the later Imams followed the same policy. They ordered their followers not to allow despair to find a place in their hearts and to wait for the rise of *al-Qa'im* in the near future⁷¹. This policy enabled the Imamites to spread their doctrine and at the same time to organize themselves – during the period between 132–260/749–874 – into a well-established political and financial organization (*al-Wikala*).

It seems probable that this underground organization was preparing for the rise of *al-Qa'im*. For they expected his rising⁷² and placed important political and religious duties upon his shoulders.

Several narrations suggest that the quiescent policy of the Imams was established after their followers caused two abortive rebellions. According to al-Kulayni, al-Sadiq once said:

*This matter (al-Amr), that is, the endeavour to reach power, was hidden until it reached the hands of the Kaysaniyya. They revealed it on the roads and circulated it among the villagers of al-Sawad*⁷³.

According to al-Nu'mani the Imamites endeavoured to rise in arms twice, first in the year 70/689 and second in the year 140/758, but their followers spoiled their plans by revealing the name of their leader to their foes⁷⁴, an act which resulted in the arrest or the assassination of the Imams. In this connection a conversation between al-Baqir and his partisan 'Abd Allah b. 'Ata al-Wasiti is revealing. Al-Wasiti said to the Imam:

*You have many followers in Iraq and there is no one among your family who has the merit for leadership but you. So why do you not rise in arms? Al-Baqir replied: O 'Abd Allah, do not listen to the masses, because none of us has his name mentioned by the people nor a hand pointing at him as the Imam, without soon facing inevitable death. So search for him whose birth is concealed from the people, because he will be the one who will manage such an affair.*⁷⁵

Moreover al-Sadiq was reported to have said:

*This matter (the rising in arms) was vested in me, but Allah delayed it; He shall do with my progeny whatever He wants*⁷⁶.

These sayings indicate that the Imams had suffered the consequences of revealing the fixed dates of their militant endeavours to reach power. Hence the later Imams did not reveal explicitly to their followers which Imam would be *al-Qa'im* with the sword. At the same time they encouraged their followers to follow their instructions⁷⁷, for this would pave the way for one of the Imams to reach power under the title of *al-Qa'im*.

Several traditions reveal that the establishment of *al-Qa'im*'s political state will occur through the "natural" course of events. A Prophetic tradition states that a group of people from the east will start underground activities and pave the way for the installation of al-Mahdi by military means⁷⁸.

The latter will struggle for power without any miraculous aid and will face difficulties and opposition against the propagation of his teachings, similar to the opposition which the Prophet faced with Quraysh⁷⁹. Furthermore he will not take any militant action unless he has at least 10,000 partisans⁸⁰.

According to al-Baqir the main goal of *al-Qa'im* will be to establish an Islamic state and to apply Islamic law as it was revealed to the Prophet. Al-Sadiq asserts that he will follow the Prophet's policy by eliminating and demolishing all the innovations which derive from a situation of ignorance (*al-Jahiliyya*) and apply Islam in a new form⁸¹.

Other narrations indicate that he will apply the law of David and Solomon along with the Islamic law⁸² and apply the rules of the Torah to the Jews and the rules of the Gospel to the Christians. According to al-Nu'mani, his state will include, in addition to the Islamic lands, the territories of Rum, Sind, India and China⁸³.

Some functions attributed to *al-Qa'im* indicate the unrest and disappointment felt by the Imamites in the face of the political and economic situation of the time. Al-Fadl b. Shadhan (d. 260/873) and al-Kulayni report that *al-Qa'im* will rise with the sword as God's avenger against those who caused troubles to 'Ali and his wife Fatima.

He would also take vengeance against those who were responsible for the suffering of the Imams and their followers⁸⁴, particularly against those who assassinated al-Husayn. Al-Sadiq considered al-Husayn's assassination the main reason for the rise of *al-Qa'im* as an avenger⁸⁵.

Other functions of *al-Qa'im* depict the political annoyance of the Imams towards the allegiance of the Arabs, and especially towards the clan of Quraysh who had monopolized political authority since the death of the Prophet. Al Nu'mani mentions a tradition attributed to Imam al-Sadiq: "When *al-Qa'im* rises he will deal with the Arabs and Quraysh only by the sword⁸⁶.

The Imamites also vested *al-Qa'im* with another task which reveals their dissatisfaction with the economic system of the 'Abbasid state. According to al-Himyari, al-Baqir stated that when *al-Qa'im* rose all the feudal systems would be abolished⁸⁷.

Al-Kulayni agrees with al Himyari and adds that *al-Qa'im*, after carrying out this operation, may allow his partisans to administer and cultivate the lands with the condition that they pay the legal land-tax⁸⁸.

In the light of these hopes and the repeated failure of the Zaydite uprisings, as had been expected by the Imams, the Imamites concentrated all their hopes on the uprising of *al-Qa'im*, whose state had been awaited since the time of al-Baqir⁸⁹. Al-Nu'mani reports that when the 'Abbasid revolution broke out in Khurasan and black banners were raised, Abu Bakr al-Hadrami and Abban went to the Imam al-Sadiq, and asked his opinion about participating in the revolution.

He warned them against it saying: "When you see us follow a man, then you must join us with weapons."⁹⁰

Although the Imam did not reveal the identity of the man to be followed, he confirmed that he would struggle for power by militant means and eliminate the rule of his opponents⁹¹.

It appears that because of the militant role of *al-Qa'im* the Imams refrained from giving any explicit statement of his identity. However, they did indicate that since the rulers, first the Umayyads and then the 'Abbasids, had reached power by "natural" means, their fall would also occur by "natural" means.

There is a good deal of evidence to indicate that some of the Imams would have taken militant action if they had had strong and faithful partisans. But they delayed this task indefinitely until the intellectual activities of their followers could bear fruit and be converted into a political awareness which might enable one of the Imams to gain power by militant means.

The Imams also wanted their partisans to be more optimistic in gaining immediate success, and not to leave the task of propagation of their teachings to *al-Qa'im*, whose military uprising relied on the outcome of the activities of the Imamites themselves. Finally, it seems most likely that the uprising of the Imam who would be *al-Qa'im*, was later attributed to the Twelfth Imam, because the Imamite propaganda reached a developed, political stage during the life-time of the Tenth and the eleventh Imams, and this might have enabled the Twelfth Imam to reach power.

c. The signs of the rise of al-Qa'im

The early Imamite traditionists delineated five signs which would precede the rise of *al-Qa'im* al-Mahdi: first, the rise of al-Yamani, then the rise of al-Sufyani, thirdly the assassination of the Pure Soul (al-Nafs al-Zakiyya) in Mecca only fifteen days before the rise of al-Qa'im, fourthly an outcry in the morning from the sky in the name of *al-Qa'im*, and finally the sinking of an army into the earth (al-Bayda') during its march on Mecca⁹².

Despite the fact that al-Nu'mani, al-Saduq and al-Tusi differ as to the chronological occurrence of these signs, they all agree that they will occur in the same year⁹³.

It seems that the delineation of these signs along with the expectations of the Imamites and al-Jarudiyya

that *al-Qa'im al Mahdi* would rise in the near future⁹⁴ caused the 'Abbasid authorities to be suspicious, since some of these signs were connected with their regime and indicated that *al-Qa'im*'s uprising was directed mainly against them. The fact that the Imams had the 'Abbasids in mind can be seen in the discussion between al-Riḍā, the eighth Imam, and his adherent al-Hasan b. al-Jahm⁹⁵, who said to him:

"May Allah make you prosper! The people are saying that al Sufyani will rise after the fall of the 'Abbasids." Al-Riḍā said: "They lie. He will rise while they are still in power."⁹⁶

This statement has been confirmed in other traditions attributed to al-Sadiq. For example his companion Ya'qub b. al-Sarraj asked him:

*"When will your Shi'a gain their release from suffering?" He replied, "When conflict occurs amongst the 'Abbasids, and their power begins to decline. Then their partisans and their subjects will be encouraged to threaten the authorities. Thereafter al-Sufyani will rise from the West, while the Yamani will advance from the East, until they both reach Kufa, where they will destroy the 'Abbasids. At the same time the Hasani will start his rebellion. Then the Master of this matter, al-Qa'im, shall advance from Medina towards Mecca to rebel."*⁹⁷

According to al-Nu'mani, al-Sadiq added that because of these events, the fall of the 'Abbasid regime was inevitable. Its fall would be similar to a piece of crockery dropped from the hand of its possessor, which then splits into pieces."⁹⁸

In the light of these statements attributed to the Imams it is clear that from the time of al-Sadiq onwards, the Imamites awaited the political uprising of one of their Imams, called *al-Qa'im* while the 'Abbasids were still in power⁹⁹.

Indeed the spread of these traditions caused the 'Abbasids to fear the Imams, who might have been behind some 'Alid revolts. Perhaps this is why the 'Abbasid caliphs became suspicious of the Imams. Even the caliph al-Mansur himself related a tradition on the authority of al-Baqir stating that *al-Qa'im* would be from the progeny of 'Ali¹⁰⁰.

He restricted the movements of al-Sadiq and his followers and made it a policy to discriminate against them. Moreover he invested his successor Muhammad with the epithet "*alMahdi*" (158–169/775–785) in order to turn the attention of his subjects from the 'Alid family toward the family of 'Abbas¹⁰¹.

Despite the fact that the movements of the seventh Imam, Musa al-Kazim, were also restricted by the authorities, so that he died in prison¹⁰², the Shi'ite propaganda for the rise of an Imam in the name of *al-Qa'im* and *al-Mahdi* spread on a wide scale, particularly after the rebellion of Ibn Tabataba in 199/814.

Probably because of this situation the caliph al-Ma'mun devised a new policy towards the eighth Imam

al-Riḡa. He made overtures to him asking him to be his heir apparent. By this means he hoped to split the 'Alids some of whom were in rebellion and to keep al-Riḡa within the 'Abbasid palace under close watch¹⁰³.

Al-Ma'mun followed this same policy with the ninth Imam, al-Jawad, marrying him to his daughter Umm al-Fadl, and keeping him under house-arrest¹⁰⁴. Thereafter house arrest became the cornerstone of the policy of the caliphs towards the Imams. It obliged the Imams to stress the idea of the occultation as the means the Imam would employ to avoid the 'Abbasid restriction, which increased from the time of al-Mutawakkil onwards.

Because his agents discovered connections between the underground activities of the Imamite agents in Baghdad, Mada'in and Kufa and the Imam al-Hadi, al-Mutawakkil followed the policy of al-Ma'mun. He wrote to al-Hadi a letter full of kindness and courtesy asking him to come to Samarra where they could meet. Afterwards al-Hadi was summoned to the capital in 233/848,¹⁰⁵ where he spent the rest of his life under surveillance.

As a result he was prevented from meeting most of his adherents. He was only able to meet a few of his associate agents (*wukala*) in secret¹⁰⁶.

In fact al-Mutawakkil's policy managed to prevent the 'Alids from rising in arms against his regime. However it failed to destroy the system of the *Wukala* or to end the underground activities of the Zaydites and the Imamites. These spread throughout the empire to the extent that they were capable of causing a revolt.

Between the years 245–260/859–874 the Imamite and Zaydite traditionists were relating traditions stating that *al-Qa'im* would be the Twelfth Imam and urging people to join his side when he rose. The Zaydite al-'Asfari (d. 250/864)¹⁰⁷ and the Imamite Ahmad b. Khalid al-Barqi (d. 274–80/887–93) both related such traditions. For example, in 250/864 al-Barqi passed on a narration attributed to 'Ali b. Abi Talib and the Prophet al-Khidr, which states explicitly that *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* would be the Twelfth Imam¹⁰⁸.

The spread of such narrations encouraged the Imamites to expect the rise of *al-Qa'im* in the near future and to link his rising with 'Abbasid rule. Some of them applied these traditions along with others concerning the signs of the rise of *al-Qa'im* to the circumstances surrounding the 'Alid revolt which broke out in 250/864. Ibn 'Uqda relates that the leader of the rebellion, Yahya b. 'Umar, was expected to be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, since all the signs concerning the rise of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* related by al-Sadiq occurred during the revolt¹⁰⁹.

Although Yahya b. 'Umar died in 250/864, the 'Abbasids' fear increased because of the continuation of this revolt and al-Hasan b. Zayd's (250–270/864–884) success in establishing a Shi'ite state in Tabaristan. This fear is not surprising if one bears in mind the fact that there was a well-known Prophetic tradition which stated, "A people will appear in the East who will pave the way for the Mahdi's rise to power."¹¹⁰

This tradition, at that time, might seem to refer to the establishment of the 'Alid state in Tabaristan, which would prepare the way for the rise of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. Other factors supported the 'Abbasid fears. According to al-Tabari, 'Abbasid spies discovered secret correspondence between the founder of the 'Alid state in Tabaristan, al-Hasan b. Zayd, and the nephew of Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Khalaf al- 'Attar,¹¹¹ a follower of the tenth Imam al-Hadi.

Moreover many pure Imamites took part in the 'Alid revolt of 250/864, such as Muhammad b. Ma'ruf, who held the banner of the rebels in Mecca,¹¹² and 'Ali b. Musa b. Isma'il b. Musa al-Kazim, who joined the rebels in al-Rayy and was arrested by the caliph al-Mu'tazz¹¹³.

It seems that the 'Abbasid authorities linked these factors with the activities of al-Hadi. Therefore they imposed tight restrictions upon al-Hadi and his followers, and arrested prominent figures in Baghdad, such as Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari, and Muhammad b. 'Ali al-'Attar, and sent them to Samarra¹¹⁴. This campaign of arrest also included al-'Askari and Ja'far, al-Hadi's two sons¹¹⁵.

Another reason the 'Abbasids' feared the position of al-Hadi and his successor, al- 'Askari, is the traditions of both the Prophet and the Imams concerning the series of the twelve Imams, the last of whom would be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. This series could only be interpreted as applying to the Imamites' tenth Imam, al-Hadi, and his successor al-'Askari. So it was plausible that the successor of the latter would be the Twelfth Imam, about whom so many traditions were being related.

Moreover further traditions, attributed to al-Hadi and al-'Askari, themselves appeared around this period emphasizing the important political and religious role of al-'Askari's son¹¹⁶.

For example, Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari (d. 261/875), the associate and follower of al-Hadi, reports the latter as having said,

"The successor after me is my son al-Hasan but what will you do with the successor of my successor?" Al-Ja'fari said, "May Allah make me your sacrifice! Why?" The Imam said, "Because you will not see his physical body and it is not permissible for you to reveal his name." Al-Ja'fari said, "How shall we mention him?" Al-Hadi said, "Say 'The proof [*al-Hujja*] is from the family of Muhammad.'¹¹⁷

It seems from al-Kulayni's report that the Imamites considered al-Hadi's statement as applying to *al-Qa'im*. Moreover, they felt it explained a statement by the eighth Imam, al-Riqa, who had said that the body of *al-Qa'im* would not be seen and his name would not be revealed.¹¹⁸ Perhaps al-Baqir and al-Jawad's interpretation of a Qur'anic verse, referred to on page 15, may be linked with the above two statements. For as we have seen, he stated that an Imam would go into concealment in 260/874, and would later rise like a bright, shooting star in the dark night¹¹⁹.

On account of the spread of these Imamite traditions and the 'Alid underground activities, the eleventh Imam, al-Hasan al- 'Askari, was forced to stay in the capital under house-arrest and had to report to the 'Abbasid court twice a week¹²⁰.

The authorities hoped that through these measures they would be able to prevent the appearance of any

danger from the Twelfth Imam.

1. EI 1, art. "al-Mahdi", 112.
2. Sachedina, op.cit., 6–7.
3. Ibn Hisham, Das Leben Muhammads (Wustenfled, Gottingen, 1859), II, 1024.
4. Goldziher, al-'Aqida wa-l-Shari'a, tr. Muhammad Yusuf (Cairo, 1378/1959), 327–8, 376–8.
5. D. Sunan, IV, 201; Ibn Maja, Sunan, I, 16; Ibn A'tham al-Kufi, Kitab al-Futuh (Hyderabad, 1972), V, 31, 34.
6. Tabari, II, 546. Ibn A'tham reports a letter attributed to the Kufans, sent to al-Husayn b. 'Ali encouraging him to rebel against the Umayyads, in which they used the title al-Mahdi for al-Husayn as an honorific adjective:

سديداً مباركاً مسروراً فرحاً الينا فاقبل
مهدياً عيناً خليفة إماماً مطاعاً أميراً وسيداً

Ibn A'tham, op. cit., V, 47.

7. Rajkowski, op. cit., 166–7. There is evidence which supports the claim that Ka'b narrated traditions attributed to the People of the Book which predict the rise of al-Mahdi. It is obvious from a line of poetry attributed to the poet Kutayr that those who applied this term to Muhammad b. al-Hanafiyya were influenced by Ka'b. This can be noted in Kuthayyir's saying: Huwa al-Mahdi Akhbarnahu / Ka'bun Akhu al-Akhbar fi al-Huqab al-Khawali; al-Zubayri, Nasab Quraysh (Beirut, 1953), 41.
8. Kama'l, 30–2.
9. Al-Nawbakhti thinks that Ibn al-Hanafiyya died in 81/700 (Firaq, 24), whereas al-Saduq puts his death in 84/703; Kama'l, 36; Ikhtiyar, 126.
10. B. Firaq, 33–4.
11. N. Firaq, 25–6; Milal, 111–2; B. Firaq, 17, 27–8, 38.
12. N. Firaq, 29–30, 42–3. For a full account of the fact that the 'Abbasid propaganda was the outcome of a branch of the Kaysaniyya movement, see al-Ansari, Madhhib ibtada'atha al-Siyasa fi al-Islam (Beirut, 1973), 152–8, 199–214.
13. Kama'l, 32–4; al-Zubayri, op.cit., 41–2.
14. N. Firaq, 54; 'Uyun, 155; Maqatil, 359; B. Firaq, 44.
15. Ibn Tawus, al-Iqbal, 53.
16. N. Firaq, 57; Kama'l, 37.
17. al-Hasani, Sirat al-A'imma al-Ithna 'Ashar (Beirut, 1977), 370.
18. Kama'l, 40.
19. al-Kafi, I, 341; Kama'l, 325, 330; N. al-Ghayba, 75.
20. Ibn al-Furat, al-Tafsir, quoted by al-Majlisi in Bihar, LI, 50; Kama'l, 351.
21. al-Kafi, VIII, 287.
22. Ibn Hawshab, Kitab al-Kashf (London, Cairo, Bombay, 1952), 6.
23. al-Kafi, VIII, 287.
24. al-Tusi, al-Tibyan, VIII, 114–6.
25. al-Tusi al-Tibyan, VII, 250; Sadr al-Din al-Sadr, al-Mahdi, (Tehran, 1358), 11.
26. 'Ali b. Ibrahim al-Qummi Tafsir al-Qummi (Najaf, 1387), II, 68, 84, 205–6; T. al-Ghayba, 120; al-Tusi, al-Tibyan, VIII, 404.
27. Ibn Maja, Sunan, II, 519; Abu Dawud, al-Sunan, II, 208.
28. al-Tirmidhi, IX, 74, 75; and the Cairo edition, IV, 505–6.
29. Ibn Maja, Sunan, II, 1368.
30. Kama'l, 286–7. Al-Tirmidhi mentioned the same tradition on the authority of Ibn Mas'ud without any details concerning the occultation of the Mahdi. Al-Tirmidhi, IV, 505–6; al-Darimi, Sunan, IV, 151.
31. Mizan, III, 160; Ibn Maja, Sunan, II, 1368; al-Musannaf, XI, 372.
32. al-Thalabi, 'Ara'is al-Majalis, 363; al-Kanji, op.cit., 327.
33. al-Haythami, al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa, 100.

34. Osman, *Mahdism in Islam*, Ph.D. Thesis (Edinburgh, 1976), 204.
35. Sulaym b. Qays al-Hilali, *Kitab Sulaym b. Qays* (Najaf, n.d.), 56, 159–62. Although this book has received some criticism with regard to its authenticity, a careful examination of its contents which show that it was regarded as a source by such writers as al-Kulayni in al-Kafi, al-Mas'udi in al-Tanbih wa-l-Ishraf and al-Nu'mani in *Kitab al-Ghayba*.
36. 'Abd al-Muhsin al-'Abbad, *'Aqidat Ahl al-Sunna wa-l-Athar fi al-Mahdi al Muntazar*, al-Hadi (Qumm, 1971) I, part 1, 33–5; al-Tabsi, *al-Shi'a wa-l-Raja* (Najaf, 1966), 36–54.
37. For the Umayyad and the 'Abbasid use of the epithet al-Mahdi so as to gain political success, see al-Ishfahani, al-Aghani, XVI, 88; al-Darimi, *Sunan*, IV, 152.
38. Watt, *The Majesty that is Islam*, 169–170.
39. al-Bukhari, *al-Sahih* (Cairo, 1355), IV, 175; *M. Sahih*, III, 190–3; al-Tirmidhi, IV, 501; Ibn Hanbal, *al-Musnad* (Cairo, 1313), V, 294.
40. al-Kharraz, *Kifayat al-Athar*, quoted by al-Galbaygani, *Muntakhab al-Athar*, 28.
41. Ibn Hanbal, *al-Musnad*, I, 398; al-Karajuki, *al-Istibsar*, 12.
42. Ibn Hanbal, *al-Musnad*, V, 86–90, 92–101, 106–8.
43. N. al-Ghayba, 48–9; *Kama'l*, 270–3.
44. For the biography of 'Abbad and his Shi'ite sympathies see Ibn Hibban, *al-Majruhin*, II, 172; *Mizan*, II 379–80, IV, 149; al-Najashi, 225.
45. al-Hilli, *al-Idah*, 176; al-Galbaygani, *op. cit.*, 5
46. *Mizan*, II, 379–80
47. Ibn Hibban, *al-Majruhin*, II, 172.
48. al-'Asfari, *Asl Abu Said al-'Asfari*, Ms. f. 1–2.
49. al-'Asfari, *Asl Abu Said al-'Asfari*, f. 2. Al-Kulayni includes these traditions in his work *al-Hujja* but, according to his transmission, the Prophet mentioned twelve Imams from his descendants and not eleven. Thus the number of the Imams along with 'Ali would be thirteen. Because al-Kulayni transmitted his narration on the authority of al-'Asfari, it appears that the latter's version is more accurate. al-Kafi, I, 533–4.
50. Ibn 'Uqda, *Kitab al-Malahim*, f. 74–5.
51. EI1, art. "al-Mahdi", 112.
52. N. al-Ghayba, 7,48,57–61, 31, 45; al-Saduq, *Khisal*, 436–45; 'Uyun, 323, al Karajaki, *al-Istibsar*, Ms. f. 11–12; al-Kafi, I, 534; al-Tabsi *al-Shi'a wa-l-Raja* (Najaf, 1966), 129–30; *Kama'l*, 279.
53. al-Barqi, *Kitab al-Rijal*, 4,7,8,9.
54. Ibn al-Nadim, *al-Fihrist*, I, 535; N. al-Ghayba, 47.
55. al-Hilali, *Kitab Sulaym b. Qays*, 135–7.
56. *Ibid.*, 109–10, 124–5, 165–6, 201, 204–6.
57. al-Hilali, *op. cit.* 94; *Kama'l*, 285.
58. al-Hilali, *op. cit.* 95.
59. al-Mas'udi, *al-Tanbih*, 198.
60. al-Kafi, I, 529; N. al-Ghayba, 38; 46, 274–8; T. al-Ghayba, 99.
61. al-Tirmidhi, IV, 505–6; al-Darimi, *Sunan*, IV, 151.
62. *Kama'l*, 259–61.
63. *Kama'l*, 280. Another narration has been narrated by the companion Jabir al Ansari, which confirms that al-Mahdi would be from the progeny of 'Ali b. al Husayn (al-Tusi, *al-Amali* II, 251), but al-Sahib b. 'Abbad doubts its authenticity; *Nusrat, Madhahib al-Zaydiyya*, 208–9.
64. al-Kafi, I, 531–3; al-Irshad, 393; *Dala'il*, 236–8, 249–51.
65. al-Hadrami, *Asl Ja'far b. Muhammad b. Shurayh*, Ms. f. 32b; for other similar traditions see al-Kafi, VIII, 167, 536; Ibn Tawus, *al-Iqbal*, 431.
66. al-Saffar, *Basa'ir al-Darajat*, f. 19b, 49b; for similar traditions see al-Kafi, I, 243, 281, 338, 372, 411, 496, 536.
67. *Kama'l*, 377. Al-Tusi reports another narration attributed to the tenth Imam who stated explicitly that the Twelfth Imam would be al-Mahdi (T al-Ghayba, 92). However, it might be that such narrations were not common among the Imamites.

- When the traditionist al-Fadl b. Shadhan (d. 260/874), talks about the role of al-Qa'im al-Mahdi, he does not attach this epithet to the Twelfth Imam; Ibn Shadhan, al-Idah, 475-6
68. al-Irshad, 411; see also al-San'ani, al-Musannaf, XI, 472.
 69. See Chapter II.
 70. N. al-Ghayba, 104, 107, 159; al-Hadrami, op. cit., f. 48a; al-Kafi, VIII, 264
 71. N. al-Ghayba, 106-7; al-Kafi, VIII, 264, 310.
 72. N. al-Ghayba, 94, 96.
 73. al-Kafi, II, 223.
 74. N. al-Ghayba, 158
 75. al-Kafi, I, 342; Kama'l, 325.
 76. T. al-Ghayba, 278.
 77. al-Kafi, I, 368-9; Bihar, LII, 212.
 78. Ibn Maja, Sunan, II, 1366; al-Kanji, op. cit., 314.
 79. al-Kafi VIII, 225; N. al-Ghayba, 106, 160; al-Tabsi quotes a statement from Ibn A'tham attributed to 'Ali which states that the partisans of al-Mahdi will start their activities from al-Talqan in Khurasan; al-Shi'a wa-l-Raj a, 141.
 80. Kama'l, 654
 81. N. al-Ghayba, 104, 122, 123. Al-Saffar reports that al-Qa'im will apply Islamic law according to the books of 'Ali which he related directly from the Prophet; Basa'ir al-Darajat, f. 124.
 82. al-Saffar, op. cit., f. 50; al-Kafi, I, 298.
 83. N. al-Ghayba, 124, 125-6; al-Tabsi, op. cit., 218; 'Ali b. Tawus, al-Malahim wa-l Fitan (Najaf, 1367), 53; Najm al-Din al-'Askari, al-Mahdi al-Maw'ud al Muntazar (Beirut, 1977), II, 10.
 84. Ibn Shadhan, Ithbat al-Raja, quoted by al-Tabsi, op. cit., 221; al-Kafi, VIII, 233; al-Saduq. 'Ilal, II, 267; al-Majlisi includes in his work al-Bihar a book attributed to al-Mufaddil b. 'Umar which deals with the occurrence which will take place after the rise of al-Qa'im; Bihar, LIII, 1-38; Dala'il, 239, 260; N. al-Ghayba, 148.
 85. al-Kafi I, 465; al-Tusi, al-Amali, II, 33; al-Saduq, 'Ilal, 229; Ibn Tawus, al-Iqbal, 186.
 86. N. al-Ghayba, (the second edition), 308, 319.
 87. al-Himyari, op. cit., quoted by al-Galbagani, op. cit., 305.
 88. al-Kafi, I, 407-8.
 89. N. al-Ghayba, 103.
 90. N. al-Ghayba, 105
 91. al-Kafi, I, 240, 281, 370-2. Di'bil the poet recited a line of poetry concerning the militant role of al-Qa'im in the presence of al-Riqa; the latter confirmed this by saying that al-Qa'im would be from the progeny of al-Husayn. Di'bil, Diwan. 73,76; Kama'l, 327-4.
 92. N. al-Ghayba, 134, 139-40; Kama'l, 649; T. al-Ghayba, 286; al-Kafi, VIII, 225, 310.
 93. N. al-Ghayba, 136; T. al-Ghayba, 286; Bihar, LII, 232.
 94. N. al-Ghayba, 94.
 95. For his biography, see Ibn Dawud, Kitab al-Rijal, 104.
 96. N. al-Ghayba, 163-4.
 97. N. al-Ghayba, 135, 138, 144-5; al-Kafi, VIII, 224-5.
 98. N. al-Ghayba, 137; Bihar, LII, 232.
 99. al-Hadrami, Kitab Ja'far b. Shurayh, f. 39.
 100. al-Kafi, VIII, 209-210; al-Irshad, 404.
 101. It is reported that the Prophet said, "The Mahdi is from my progeny. His name is similar to mine" (al-Tirmidhi. IV, 505). According to Abu Dawud, the Prophet also added, "And his father's name is similar to my father's name" (Abu Dawud, al-Sunan, IV, 106-7). According to the last phrase the name of al-Mahdi is Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah. Perhaps al-Mansur took this point into account when he called his son, "Muhammad al-Mahdi" (al-Bidaya, X, 89). For a full account see Osman, op. cit., 266-9.
 102. See Chapter II.

103. Ithbat, 205.
104. Ithbat, 205.
105. Ikhtiyar. 603, 607; al-Kafi, I, 501–2; T. al-Ghayba, 226–7.
106. Ithbat, 262.
107. Kama'l, 46. For examples, see al-'Asfari, Asl Abu Said al-'Asfari f. 1–2; Mizan, II, 379–80; Bihar, L, 185; al-Kindi op. cit., 229
108. al-Kafi, I, 526–7, 338.
109. Ibn 'Uqda, Kitab al-Malahim, f. 72. According to al-Mufid only the Zaydites denied the death of Yahya b. 'Umar and held that he was al-Mahdi (al-Fusul al-'Ashara, 30). But incidents seem to indicate that there was a common belief among the Imamiyya and the Jarudiyya from the years 245–60 onwards that the Twelfth Imam would be al-Qa'im al-Mahdi, but they were not sure about his identity, and whether or not he would be the son of al-'Askari.
110. Ibn Maja, al-Sunan, II, 1368.
111. Tabari, III, 1683.
112. Ibn 'Uqda, Kitab al-Malahim, f. 73.
113. Muruj, VII, 404.
114. Tabari, III, 1683–4, al-Kafi, I, 500.
115. T. al-Ghayba, 141, 226; al-Kafi, I, 508.
116. T. al-Ghayba, 98.
117. Kama'l, 381; al-Kafi, I, 328, 332–3.
118. al-Kafi, I, 333.
119. Kama'l, 325, 330; al-Kafi, I, 341.
120. T. al-Ghayba, 139–140.

1. Al-Sadiq's Attitude towards the new Regime

It appears that the members of the 'Abbasid family who became part of the revolutionary movement against the Umayyads adhered to the belief, in common with the various groups of the Shi'a, that the first lawful caliph after the Prophet was 'Ali¹, and that the caliphate must belong to the People of the House (*Ahl al-Bayt*).

The 'Abbasids preached against the Umayyads by calling for reform and justice. They invited the people to rally around the most suitable person from the progeny of Muhammad (*al-Da'wa li-l-Ri'asa min Al Muhammad*). Many Shi'ite thought that this slogan referred only to the descendants of Imam 'Ali. Thus they joined the 'Abbasid movement².

Some of the Shi'a, such as Abu Salama al-Khallal, reached high rank in the 'Abbasid movement without cognizing the fact that the 'Abbasids were the founders of the movement, and they aimed to monopolize the caliphate for themselves.

When the propagandists overthrew the Umayyads in 132/749, Abu Salama al-Khallal, having discovered the reality of the 'Abbasid's goal, endeavoured to transfer the caliphate to the 'Alids by corresponding with Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq, 'Umar al-Ashraf and 'Abd Allah al-Mahd, offering it to each of them, Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq rejected the offer bluntly by burning Abu Salama's letter, and he warned 'Umar al-Ashraf

and 'Abd Allah al-Mahd against accepting it³.

Al-Sadiq had already held a secret meeting with the leading personalities of the 'Abbasid family, such as al-Saffah and al-Mansur at al-Abwa', near Medina, around the year 120/737, to discuss the situation of the People of the House (*Ahl al-Bayt*). At this meeting the attendants wanted to form an underground collusion to bring about the downfall of the Umayyads.

A proposal also was made to support the Hasanid claims put forward by 'Abd Allah al-Mahd on behalf of his son Muhammad al-Nafs al-Zakiyya⁴, but al-Sadiq refused to have anything to do with it. Although the 'Abbasids present at this meeting made a nominal pledge to Muhammad al-Nafs al-Zakiyya, al-Sadiq seems to have been aware of the possibility that their involvement with the revolutionaries, particularly the Kaysaniyya or its Hashimiyya branch; would be successful and that they would replace the Umayyads.

Also al-Sadiq knew he was the true divinely appointed Imam of the Muslims and he achieved the Imamate by the testament of his father, Imam al-Baqir. Thus people should rally around him to recover his right in the caliphate. Al-Sadiq's view did not please the 'Abbasids, so, they carried out their underground activities against the Umayyads without his participation.

When the 'Abbasids succeeded in seizing the reins of power in 132/749 they were naturally aware of the danger from their kinsmen, the 'Alids, whose claims to succession would be greater than their own if 'Ali's right to the caliphate were to be accepted by the general populace. As a result the 'Alids now faced 'Abbasid oppression more severe than that of the Umayyads⁵.

The motives for this oppression seem to have been first of all doctrinal. The early members of the 'Abbasid family, such as 'Abd Allah b. 'Abbas⁶, had confirmed 'Ali's right to the Imamate (the political and religious authority) by relating many traditions attributed to the Prophet supporting it. They had also supported 'Ali against the first three Caliphs and participated in the Caliphate of 'Ali, and they gave some support to his son al-Hasan.⁷

In the eyes of the 'Alids by taking over the Caliphate the 'Abbasids became usurpers of the political authority of the Imamate. Hence the 'Abbasids became suspicious of the 'Alid attitude toward their authority. Secondly there were economic motives for the 'Abbasid oppression since Imam al-Sadiq continued to collect the *khums* secretly from his followers⁸, an act which the 'Abbasids considered as a preparatory step towards some conspiracy to overthrow them.

These two factors obliged the 'Abbasids to keep al-Sadiq in Medina and to hold his followers, especially in Iraq and later in Egypt, under close scrutiny as measures to ensure the security of the state.

Thus al-Sadiq maintained an externally quiescent policy towards the 'Abbasids. Yet at the same time he spread traditions amongst the Shi'ite narrators of traditions stating that the Imamate was a prerogative bestowed by God upon one of the descendants of al-Husayn, who, before his death and at the Prophet's

order, had transferred it to his successor by a clear stipulation (*al-Nass al-Jali*)⁹.

Al-Sadiq held that it was not necessary for the divinely appointed Imam to rise in revolt immediately in order to recover his rights to political authority. He should be satisfied with the spiritual leadership and perform its duties until the time when the community is sufficiently aware of his right to political power. Then God will assist him in his quest¹⁰.

In accordance with his quiescent policy al-Sadiq announced openly that *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and not himself would achieve political power¹¹.

Al-Sadiq's quiescent policy did not satisfy a considerable body of his adherents. Their political ambitions caused schism amongst the Imamites. The instigator of this political movement was called Abu al-Khattab. At first he was trusted by al-Sadiq and nominated as agent (*wakil*) of the Shi'ite group in Kufa.

But al-Sadiq then repudiated and denounced him because of his extremist theological view¹², which he had endeavoured to enforce by militant means. It seems likely that Abu al-Khattab wanted to circumvent the influence and the interference of al-Sadiq by propounding his political and revolutionary ideas to al-Sadiq's son Isma'il, who was more inclined to such thoughts than his younger brother Musa. Thus Abu al-Khattab hoped to give his revolutionary ideas religious legitimacy under Isma'il's name.

Although the rebellion of Abu al-Khattab was easily subdued at Kufa, his failure and al-Sadiq's continued insistence on a quiescent policy forced Abu al-Khattab's followers to resort to underground activities under the leadership of Muhammad b. Isma'il. This event led the adherents of al-Sadiq to split into the Isma'ilis and the Musawiyya.

After his death, they split into Musawiyya, who held the Imamate of Musa al-Kazim, al-Fatthiyya, who held the Imamate of the eldest son of al-Sadiq, Abd Allah al-Aftah; al-Muhammadiyya, who held the Imamate of Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq, the Waqifa, who thought that al-Sadiq had not died but was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*; and the two Isma'ili sects who held the Imamate of Isma'il and his son Muhammad respectively¹³.

2. The Imamite activities during the period of al-Kazim (148–183/765–799)

As a consequence of al-Sadiq's death the Imamites became so weak that even if military rebellion might have been possible during his lifetime, there was little chance of it now. The rise of the Isma'ilis during al-Sadiq's lifetime, followed by the rise of the *Fatthiyya* sect, which included most of the Imamite *fuqaha'*,¹⁴ made the position of al-Sadiq's successor, Musa al-Kazim, very weak, and obliged him to follow the quiescent policy of his father.

For this reason al-Mansur(d. 158/774) did not take any action against him or his followers during his life–

time. However he continued his pursuit of the representatives of the revolutionary branch of the Hasanids¹⁵.

The regime of al-Mahdi, who was installed in the Caliphate after the death of his father al-Mansur in 158/774,¹⁶ was distinguished by his "orthodox" policy. He encouraged the traditional *muhaddithun*, pursued the *zindiqs*, and oppressed the People of the Book¹⁷. However, "this policy could be described as less religious policy than a political weapon. The promotion of the Surma by the 'Abbasids was, in fact, a means in the struggle against the religio-political enemies or opposition movements"¹⁸.

This statement is illustrated by al-Mahdi's attitude towards the Imamites. When he came to power in 158/774, the followers of al-Kazim became active and more powerful than the Fathiyya and the Isma'ilis¹⁹.

Al-Mahdi thought that the religious and intellectual activities of al-Kazim's partisans might endanger his regime, especially as there was a report indicating "that an important body of opinion had been turning towards the 'Alids and away from the 'Abbasids or rather, had been insisting that the Hashimite charisma was not equally spread through all the clan, but was peculiarly present in the 'Alids alone"²⁰.

Perhaps for this reason, al-Mahdi summoned al-Kazim from Medina and imprisoned him in Baghdad. But in so doing he neither reinforced the legitimacy of his rule nor changed public opinion towards the charismatic character of al-Kazim²¹.

Therefore, he decided to follow a policy which depended on bribery and the intimidation of the Shi'a. Al-Kazim was released in 159/775, after he had sworn that he would not rise in arms against al-Mahdi or his successors²². According to al-Tabari, al-Mahdi simultaneously approached the Zaydites in order to gain their assistance in monitoring the activities of the 'Alids and their followers.

For example, he made overtures to Ya'qub b. Dawud, who belonged to a family which had worked in the secretarial affairs of Khurasan during the Umayyad period²³, and made him his "brother in God". Then, in 163/799, al-Mahdi made him his vizier and vested him with full powers to handle all the affairs of the Caliphate, whereupon Ya'qub gathered together the Zaydites and appointed them to the high offices of the state²⁴.

Al-Mahdi may have been motivated by the fact that the non-revolutionary Zaydites (*al-Jara'riyya*) believed in the Imamate of the Inferior (*al-Mafdul*) as long as the Superior (*al-Afdal*), was present, and such dogma might give a legitimate foundation to his Caliphate which could be used against the 'Alids.

During al-Mahdi's regime the claim was put forward that the lawful Imam after the Prophet was not 'Ali but al-'Abbas, and that therefore the Imamate belonged to his family²⁵. In fact Ya'qub b. Dawud brought many jurisprudents together from Basra, Kufa and al-Sham and organised them²⁶ so as to further this claim. Al-Kashshi reports two transmissions to support this.

He says that the Zaydite Hisham b. Ibrahim wrote many Zaydite works, one of them entitled "The Confirmation of the Imamate of al- 'Abbas", and he adds that another Zaydite, called Ibn al-Muq'ad, wrote a heresiographical work illustrating the dogmas, places and activities of the pro-Imamites, such as *al-Ya'furiyya*, *al-Zurariyya*, *al- Ammariyya*, and *al-Jawaliqiyya*, and submitted his work to al-Mahdi. This work was then recited together with a warning by the Caliph at the gates of Baghdad, Medina and other cities²⁷.

The recitation of this work was the first step to al-Mahdi's pursuit of the other factions of the pro-'Alids. Some of these pro-'Alids were obliged to flee from Kufa to remote provinces, like Yemen²⁸, while al-Kazim spread instructions amongst his adherents for them to follow his quiescent policy carefully. Al-Kashshi's report seems to indicate that the tense relationship between the 'Abbasids and the pro-'Alids continued until the death of al-Mahdi in 169/785.²⁹

Although some of this tension seems to have been alleviated with the accession of al-Hadi, the Hasanids were closely watched and their salaries cut. They began to increase their propaganda in Khurasan and the other provinces in a new Zaydite form, and they contacted the leading personalities of the Hasanids in Medina, encouraging them to revolt³⁰.

As a part of al-Hadi's precautionary policy the Hasanids of Medina were forced to come to the office of the governor every evening. They exploited a gathering of their followers from numerous provinces during the Pilgrimage and made their ill-treatment by the governor an excuse to rebel in 169/785. But their uprising was easily defeated and resulted in their being massacred in the battle of Fakhkh³¹.

However the Caliph accused al-Kazim of provoking the rebels and decided to kill him, but died in 170/786 before he could put his decision into practice³².

The battle of Fakhkh and the commitment of al-Hadi's successor, al-Rashid, to the anti- 'Alid policy of his predecessors only served to entrench the political strategy of the three 'Alid parties, the revolutionary Hasanids, the Isma'ilis and the Imamites.

The Imamite group under Imam Musa al-Kazim became stronger and more organised, and insisted on a gradual movement towards their political goal, but the Imam rejected any bid to rise in arms because he considered this the task of *al-Qa'im*³³.

His adherents, most of whom were originally from Kufa, were scattered throughout the Islamic state and used the rite of Pilgrimage to communicate with each other. They succeeded in maintaining an important body of followers in Akhmim in Egypt, which became a centre for communication between the Shi'a in Kufa and those in Egypt³⁴. They had other followers in al-Maghrib³⁵.

Al-Kazim permitted a few of his adherents to work in the 'Abbasid administration, especially in the offices of *al-wizara* and *al-barad* (governmental mail), so that they could help to save their fellows in times of danger. Hence several Imamite families held office, such as that of 'Ali b. Yaqtin ³⁶ and that of

al-Ash'ath, including Ja'far b. Muhammad al-Ash'ath and his son al- 'Abbas, who became the governor of Khurasan, and Waddah (or Wadih), who worked in the *barid* of Egypt³⁷.

The enlargement of al-Kazim's party increased his wealth, for there is much evidence to indicate that he collected secretly from his adherents³⁸ the *khums*, the *zakat*, gifts and other taxes enjoined in the *Shari'a* as part of what was due to his Imamate.

The second Shi'ite party was the Isma'ilis, who had already disassociated themselves from the quiescent policy of al-Sadiq and his son al-Kazim by adopting the Imamate of Isma'il first and then of his son Muhammad, both of whom were more inclined toward more actively revolutionary underground political activities.

They learnt from the repeated failure of the Hasanid uprisings, which were initiated without political preparation, and they decided to struggle for power through a gradual political process.

This decision encouraged them to adopt ideas from beyond the circle of Islam, and their adoption of these ideas may have "liberated" their minds from the limits of *Shari'a*. They put forward new interpretations of the Islamic texts, according to which each passage had an esoteric and an exoteric meaning³⁹.

For example, a tradition attributed to the Prophet says that the *Mahdi will* appear when the sun rises from the place of its setting. According to them, this meant not the rising of the real sun, but that of *al-Mahdi*, who would appear in al-Maghrib. Therefore, they became more interested in preaching their doctrine in al-Maghrib and encouraged their followers in the east to emigrate there⁴⁰.

Nawbakhti's reports suggest that the relationship between the Isma'ilis and al-Kazim's followers was tense, since the Isma'ili leaders allowed their followers to assassinate the Imamites who supported al-Kazim⁴¹. Moreover the Imamites accused the Isma'ilis of being implicated in the arrest of al-Kazim⁴².

In the Hijaz the situation of the third Shi'ite group, the Hasanids, was very difficult following the total defeat of their second revolt in Fakhkh in 169/785. The 'Abbasids discovered that the notion of *al-Mahdi* had been in circulation amongst the Hasanids and that they believed that he might rise in Mecca.

It was such a notion that encouraged two Hasanid leaders to rise in arms, first al-Nafs al-Zakiyya in 145/762 and then al-Husayn b. 'Ali in 169/785, each of whom hoped that he might be the promised *Mahdi*⁴³.

Thus the 'Abbasids continued to restrict the movements of the Hasanids and forced them to present themselves to the governor (*al-Wali*) every evening."⁴⁴ This critical situation made it impossible for the Hasanids to take any militant action in the Hijaz, so two of al-Nafs al-Zakiyya's brothers left Medina after the battle of Fakhkh to promote their claims elsewhere.

The first of these was Yahya al-Mahd, who went to the province of Daylam and preached his ideas

there, winning considerable support from the native princes and the people of Daylam, whom he provoked to rebellion against the caliph al-Rashid in 175/791.⁴⁵

The second brother, Idris, fled to Egypt, where he already had a large body of partisans, and, with the assistance of a certain Wadih, a Shi'ite working in the bared, managed to escape from there to al-Maghrib. It seems most likely that his partisans in al-Maghrib had already spread much propaganda against the 'Abbasids, because within three years Idris succeeded in rebelling against them and establishing the Idrisid state, in 172/788.⁴⁶

The numerous Shi'ite activities mentioned above seem to have been the causes of al-Rashid's anti-'Alid policy, which covered most of his Caliphate. In 171 /787 he became suspicious of the loyalty of the 'Alids in Baghdad, and decided to gather all of them together and exile them to Medina⁴⁷.

He followed this step with the appointment of Bakkar al-Zubayri, a descendant of 'Abd Allah b. al-Zubayr, as governor of Medina and he ordered him to put the 'Alids under close watch and to restrict their movements⁴⁸. As for the rebellion of Yahya al-Mahd in Daylam, al-Rashid sent an army against him (fifty thousand according to al-Tabari) under the leadership of al-Fadl b. Yahya al-Barinaki.

Through diplomacy and promises of amnesty he managed to persuade Yahya al-Mahd to end his uprising and to surrender, after giving him a guarantee of security from al-Rashid. But the Caliph was not satisfied, so he had al-Mahd arrested in Baghdad and killed ⁴⁹.

As for the revolt of Idris al-Mahd, al-Rashid followed the policy of his father al-Mahdi by using the Zaydites against the other 'Alids. He sent a Zaydite scholar called Sulayman b. Jarir to kill Idris. In order to hide his secret target, Sulayman pretended to be a Shi'ite partisan who had escaped from the 'Abbasids' oppression. He became one of the courtiers of Idris and managed to poison him in 177/793.⁵⁰

However the assassination of Idris did not bring about the disintegration of his state, as the Berber tribes installed his child, Idris II, after his death. For this reason al-Rashid vested Ibrahim b. al-Aghlab with the government of Ifriqiyya and, four years later, encouraged him to establish the Aghlabid state, possibly to counteract the danger posed by the Idrisids⁵¹.

In the meantime the Imamite scholars were active in the intellectual field in Egypt, Yaman, Iraq and Khurasan⁵².

Hisham b. al-Hakam, for example, attempted to prove the legitimacy of the Imamate of al-Kazim, which means that he considered the 'Abbasids as usurpers of al-Kazim's rights⁵³.

The Hasanid uprisings in Daylam and al-Maghrib and the underground activities of the Imamites and the Isma'ilis worried al-Rashid and made him think that al-Kazim, whom he already knew to be receiving the *khums*, the kharaj and gifts from his followers; was behind all these activities and had prepared a conspiracy to overthrow him. Therefore he initiated a campaign of arresting the Imamites⁵⁴.

He started by arresting al-Kazim in Medina in 179/795, and sent him to prison in Basra and Baghdad⁵⁵. Furthermore, Ibn al-Mu'tazz reports that al-Rashid ordered the Zaydite Abu 'Isma to kill the Imamite poet Mansur al-Nammari⁵⁶.

It was this campaign of persecution that forced the Imamite missionary Hisham b. al-Hakam to hide in Mada'in, from whence he escaped to Kufa, where he died two months later⁵⁷.

However al-Rashid's arrests did not deter the Imamite underground activities, especially in Basra. Therefore, according to the Imamite narrations, al-Kazim was poisoned for al-Rashid in 183/799 at the instigation of Yahya al-Barinaki⁵⁸.

Al-Rashid also put to death sixty 'Alids who were in his prisons⁵⁹.

The death of al-Kazim led to another schism amongst the Imamites. The first group, which represented quite a large body, was called the *Waqifa*. They held that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, but they differed amongst themselves concerning his death and split into four sub-groups, three of whom maintained that he had died while the fourth denied it⁶⁰.

A few anecdotes mentioned by the *Ithna' Ashariyya* suggest that the cause of the denial of al-Kazim's death was that some of his agents, like 'Uthman b. 'Isa al-Rawasi in Egypt, and Ziyad, al-Qindi, 'Ali b. Abi Hamza, Hayyan and al-Sarraj in Kufa, possessed a large amount of money (more than a hundred thousand dinars) which belonged to al-Kazim. Since they had used this money for their own benefit, they denied his death and rejected the Imamate of al-Riqa in order that they would have an excuse for not returning the money⁶¹.

However, it is hard to agree with al-Kashshi's view concerning the reason behind the emergence of the *Waqifa* sect. Most of the traditions concerning the occultation and the rise of *al-Qa'im* are attributed to al-Sadiq, who did not indicate explicitly which of his descendants would be *al-Qa'im*⁶².

Therefore it is very likely that a considerable number of the *muhaddithun* thought that the Imam had indicated his son Musa and hence stopped at him, contending that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and was in a state of occultation.

The second group resulting from the schism after al-Kazim's death held that he had passed away and the Imam was his son 'Ali al-Riqa, who, according to al-Kulayni, assumed the Imamate by the designation of his father⁶³. Al-Riqa faced many difficulties in proving his right to the Imamate, not only to his father's prominent followers, but also to his brother Ahmad.⁶⁴

However, between the years 183–199/799–814, he managed to maintain a considerable number of followers, and administer an underground system of communication to carry on the religious functions of his Imamate⁶⁵.

Moreover his preference for the religious dimensions of Islam, rather than its political dimensions, made

him a magnet for many individuals, including the precursors of the sufi movements, especially in Khurasan⁶⁶. But many Imamites who had accepted his Imamate were not satisfied with his quietist attitude and involved themselves in the underground activities of the revolutionary Zaydites, probably without his permission⁶⁷.

3. The Attitude of al-Riḡa towards the 'Alid Revolt against al-Ma'mun

When al-Amin became caliph, Iraq was the centre of his power. It was here that he maintained the support of the Arabs, and especially that of the Murji'ite scholars (*al-amma*, later called the Sunnites), while his brother al-Ma'mun was governor of Khurasan and gained the support of its military leaders and senior administrators, especially the Persian vizier al-Fadl b. Sahl and his partisans, who eventually helped him to overthrow al-Amin⁶⁸.

Al-Ma'mun's success in gaining the caliphate was contrary to the political and economic interest of al-Amin's supporters. Therefore many regional revolts took place in Syria, al-Jazira, Yemen and Iraq, headed by the local 'Abbasid governors⁶⁹.

At the same time the 'Alids used their underground propaganda which was influential in the Yemen, Hijaz and Iraq, to exploit al-Ma'mun's difficulties in Iraq and to cause a revolt in Kufa in 199/815. Thus these regions fell out of al-Ma'mun's control.

Although reports about the ideological identity of the 'Alid uprising and the events surrounding it are confused, apparently it was a Zaydite revolt⁷⁰ maintained with the support of some Imamite sects. These included the followers of Ahmad b. Musa al-Kazim and the *sabtiyya*, the followers of Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq⁷¹, along with some of the Imamites, but without the direct order of the eighth Imam, al-Riḡa⁷².

The spiritual leader of this revolt was Muhammad b. Ibrahim b. Tabataba, while its military leader was Abu al-Saraya. It broke out under the slogan "We invite people to rally around the most suitable leader from the progeny of Muhammad and to practice the teachings of the Qur'an and the sunna"⁷³ in Kufa on the 10th Jumada 199/26th January 815, where the rebels had the support of the people of the environs of Kufa and of the bedouins. Abu al-Saraya minted coins in his own name in Kufa, managed to defeat three 'Abbasid armies and occupied Mada'in⁷⁴.

Moreover he dispatched many successful campaigns under the leadership of al-Riḡa's brothers and relatives to extend his authority in Iraq, al-Ahwaz, Fars, the Hijaz, and Yemen. They fulfilled their tasks and became the governors of these regions.

For example, Zayd b. Musa al-Kazim became the governor of al-Ahwaz and Basra, Fars came under the control of Isma'il b. Musa al-Kazim, and Yemen came under the control of his brother Ibrahim, Wasit

was ruled by Husayn b. Ibrahim b. al-Hasan b. 'Ali. Abu al-Saraya appointed Sulayman b. Dawud in Medina, and nominated al-Husayn al-Aftas as governor in Mecca, authorising him to be the leader of the pilgrims and to provide the *Ka'ba* with a white kiswa⁷⁵.

The authority of Abu al-Saraya increased after the mysterious death of the spiritual leader of the revolt, Ibn Tabataba on the 1st Rajab 199/15th February 815, and the refusal of the eminent 'Alid, 'Ali b. 'Ubayd Allah, to accept the position of Ibn Tabataba. Abu al-Saraya, in order to evade the interference of any strong spiritual leader, nominated for this post a young 'Alid called Muhammad b. Muhammad b. Zayd b. 'Ali⁷⁶, and monopolised all affairs of the leadership of the revolt.

Abu al-Saraya's full control in Iraq did not continue, because the 'Abbasid army defeated him at Qasr b. Hubayra near Kufa and forced him to withdraw towards Basra along with 800 horsemen. But news came to him that his governor in Basra, Zayd b. Musa al-Kazim, had also been defeated after hard combat and had been captured by the 'Abbasid troops.

Thus he went towards al-Ahwaz, but was defeated by the 'Abbasid governor of that city and his followers dispersed. A few months later the troops of al-Hasan b. Sahl captured him at Jalawla' and on 10th Rabi' I 200/18th October 815 they beheaded him, after which his body was impaled in Baghdad⁷⁷.

It is worth mentioning that the failure of this revolt caused some Imamites to hold that Musa al-Kazim, the seventh Imam, was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. They had considered his son Ahmad' as the lawful successor of his father. But since he had participated with Abu al-Saraya, they rejected his Imamate and denied the death of al-Kazim⁷⁸.

This fact reveals the general attitude of the Imamites towards any militant action and indicates that they had *Hadiths* concerning the rise of an Imam with the sword, whose uprising would never be defeated, for he could not die without establishing the government of the People of the House. This may be the reason behind the quiescent attitude of those followers of al-Riḍa who did not take any open or active part in the revolt of Abu al-Saraya.

On hearing of the military defeat of their comrades on the Iraqi front after the death of Abu al-Saraya, the rebels in Mecca, who had full control of the Hijaz and the Yemen, made overtures to Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq, asking him to be their leader and finally persuading him to accept their offer. They swore the oath of allegiance to him as their caliph and called him *Amir al-Mu'minin* on 6th Rabi' II 200/13th November 815⁷⁹.

He himself claimed that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, and based his claim on prophetic traditions⁸⁰. But the eighth Imam al-Riḍa denied his claim, although he endeavoured to save him from a military defeat by advising him to postpone his revolt against the 'Abbasids⁸¹.

The installation by the rebels of an 'Alid caliph in Mecca with the epithet *al-Mahdi* threatened the authority of al-Ma'mun. Having failed to subdue the revolt by force, al-Ma'mun decided to resort to

political methods, by conciliating the eight Imam al-Riḍa. He dispatched an army under the leadership of 'Isa b. al-Juludi to Medina for this purpose⁸².

But this army was badly defeated at the hands of Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq. Therefore al-Juludi asked al-Riḍa to contact Muhammad and ask him to end his resistance, but he rejected al-Riḍa's mediation and insisted on continuing his rebellion. This led to skirmishes between the 'Abbasid troops and the rebels until the end of the year 200/815, when the 'Abbasid army captured Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq and forced him to renounce his claim publicly⁸³.

Because his arrest did not return the areas of revolt into the hands of the authorities, al-Juludi returned with him and al-Riḍa to Merv. According to al-Kulayni, al-Ma'mun welcomed al-Riḍa and offered him the caliphate, but he refused. However, after a few months of negotiation with al-Ma'mun, al-Riḍa agreed to be his successor⁸⁴.

The caliph announced his acceptance. on 5th Ramadan 201/28th March 817 and called him "*al-Riḍa mini Al Muhammad*". At the same time he ordered his soldiers to wear green clothes instead of the black which was the emblem of the 'Abbasids. Then he strengthened his relations with al-Riḍa by marrying his sister Umm Habiba to al-Riḍa⁸⁵.

The installation of al-Riḍa was in reality a political step arranged by the Persian vizier al-Fadl b. Sahl and associated with other policies aimed at consolidating his Persian support and harming the interests of his Arab opposition in Baghdad. This can be concluded from the points mentioned below:

Firstly, the fact that al-Ma'mun continued to reside in Merv caused complaints amongst the military and administrative groups in Iraq, who had been the courtiers of Al-Amin (193–198/808–814) and who then had to struggle for their economic and regional interests against the Persian vizier of al-Ma'mun, al-Fadl b. Sahl, and his brother al-Hasan b. Sahl⁸⁶.

Because he was busy with this conflict, al-Ma'mun failed to subdue completely the 'Alid rebellion in the Hijaz and Yemen. Therefore, with the encouragement of his vizier, al-Ma'mun installed al-Riḍa as his successor to the caliphate in order to divide the rebels by gaining the support of al-Riḍa's adherents and those who were hoping for the appearance of an inspired 'Alid leader⁸⁷.

Secondly, al-Ma'mun changed the colour of the 'Abbasid emblem from black to green. The latter colour was associated with the Sasanids, whereas the 'Alid emblem was white⁸⁸.

Such a step suggests the influence of the Persian vizier al-Fadl b. Sahl.

Thirdly, by installing al-Riḍa as his successor, al-Ma'mun succeeded in splitting the rebels by gaining the support of al-Riḍa's brothers, who accepted the conciliation of al-Ma'mun and mentioned his name in the *khutba* along with the name of al-Riḍa. According to al-Tabari, al-'Abbas b. Musa al-Kazim accepted the governorship of Kufa on behalf of al-Ma'mun, a fact which encouraged some of the rebels to mention the names of al-Ma'mun and al-Riḍa in the *khutba*.

But the majority of the Kufans insisted on mentioning only al-Riḡa's name or that of any prominent person from the descendants of 'Alī⁸⁹.

Such attitudes indicate the Zaydite inclination of the Kufans. However, the leader of the rebels in Mecca, Ibrahim b. Musd al-Kazim agreed to mention both his brother al-Rida and al-Ma'mun in the *khutba*. For this reason, al-Ma'mun confirmed his office and authorised him to lead the pilgrimage in Mecca⁹⁰.

But a year later, in 202/817, the caliph gave the governorship of Mecca to an 'Abbasid leader, 'Isa al-Juludi, and dispatched Ibrahim to Yemen to subdue the rebellion there. He also granted him Yemen's governorship. After he had fulfilled this task, Ibrahim set out for Mecca, but during his return he was arrested, as was his brother Zayd, and sent to al-Ma'mun⁹¹.

Moreover, al-Nawbakhti mentions that a considerable body of the *muhaddithun* and Zaydites (the non-revolutionary branch) became Imamites after the installation of al-Riḡa. But the accounts of al-Kashshi and al-Saduq suggest that these same people, for example Hisham b. Ibrahim al-Rashidi, had been used by al-Ma'mun to watch the partisans of al-Riḡa, and this might explain why they returned to their previous faith directly after the death of al-Riḡa in 203/817.⁹²

Fourthly, after he had quashed the 'Alid rebellion, al-Ma'mun decided to go to Baghdad, taking with him al-Riḡa and Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq. During his advance, his vizier, al-Fadl b. Sahl was assassinated, then al-Riḡa died in Tus, probably of poison⁹³, and Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq passed away and was buried in Jurjan⁹⁴.

Their mysterious deaths seem to indicate that al-Ma'mun, having used them to fragment the 'Alid opposition, was now moving on to a more rigorous anti-'Alid programme.

Fifthly, the numerous measures initiated by al-Ma'mun after his arrival at Baghdad on Rabi' I 204/819 revealed the political aim of his previous policy. He cast aside the green banner and ordered his subjects to wear the black colour of the 'Abbasids⁹⁵. He granted al-Riḡa's successor, al-Jawad, two million dirhams⁹⁶, and gave back the 'district of Fadak to prominent 'Alids, Muhammad b. Yahya b. al-Husayn and Muhammad b. 'Ubayd Allah b. al-Hasan⁹⁷.

Furthermore, the land-tax (*al-kharaj*) of the *sawad* was adjusted in favour of the tax-payer. The share of the treasury was to be two-fifths instead of half of the produce⁹⁸.

Through these actions, al-Ma'mun wanted to cut the support given by the prominent 'Alids and the peasants of the *sawad* to the revolutionary 'Alid activities, which, according to Abu al-Fida, he had brought to an end. He was also endeavouring to work against his Arab opposition in Baghdad. When he entered the city everything there returned to normal as if the uprising had never occurred.⁹⁹

Finally, in 205/820 al-Ma'mun started to hold symposiums between the Imamites and the Zaydites, and encouraged them to discuss the question of the *Im ama* in his presence. It is worth mentioning that the

non-revolutionary Zaydites believed in the Imamate of the inferior (*al-Mafdul*) in spite of the presence of the superior (*al-Afdal*).

This view was based on the belief that even though 'Ali b. Abi Talib was the most excellent of the community after the Prophet, he fully recognized the caliphate of Abu Bakr and 'Umar. Because of this belief of the Zaydites, in the discussions with the Imamites, al-Ma'mun often agreed with the viewpoints of Zaydite scholars such as 'Ali b. al-Him, as regards the Imamate¹⁰⁰.

Then al-Ma'mun managed to capture the 'Alid rebel 'Abd al-Rahman b. Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah b. Muhammad b. 'Umar b. 'Ali b. Abi Talib, who rose in arms in Yemen. By subduing this rebellion, al-Ma'mun ended the last military opposition of the 'Alids during his rule. Finally, in 206/821 his real attitude towards the 'Alids was revealed when he ordered them to wear black, and announced that all the descendants of Imam 'Ali b. Abi Talib and their close kindred (*al-Talibiyun*) should be prevented from entering his palace¹⁰¹.

4. The development of the Imamite organization (al-Wikala) during the time of al-Jawad

Despite the well-developed status of the Imamite organization during the last period of al-Riḍa's Imamate, he died, leaving a successor only seven years old, thus causing further splits amongst his followers. Al-Mas'udi mentions that because of the age of Muhammad al-Jawad, the ninth Imam, al-Riḍa's followers were confused as to whether or not he possessed the requisite qualifications for the Imamate.

Therefore eighty leading personalities from various provinces, among them al-Rayyan b. al Salt, Safwan b. Yahya, Yunis b. 'Abd al-Rahman, Muhammad b. Hakim, 'Ali b. al-Hasan al-Wasiti, and Ishaq b. Isma'il b. Nawbakht, gathered together at the house of 'Abd al-Rahman b. al-Hajjaj in Baghdad to discuss the validity of al-Jawad's Imamate.

They decided to test his knowledge during the pilgrimage. Two groups concluded that al-Jawad's age precluded his being qualified for the Imamate; the first group supported the Imamate of his uncle, Ahmad b. Musa al-Kazim, whereas the second group, including Ibrahim b. Salih al-Anmati, joined the *Waqifa* and held that the seventh Imam was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*¹⁰².

But the rest were satisfied that al-Jawad's knowledge was exceptional and held that he was well qualified in spite of his age¹⁰³. Hence they continued with the affairs of the organization, and sent propagandists from Kufa and Medina to various provinces. According to *al-Najashi*, many Kufan *muhaddithun*, such as Muhammad b. Muhammad b. al-Ash'ath, Ahmad b. Sahl, al-Husayn b. 'Ali al-Misri, and Isma'il b. Musa al-Kazim, moved to Egypt and carried on their activities there.

One of these activities was to circulate the traditions of the Prophet concerning *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and

the fact that he would be from the progeny of al-Husayn¹⁰⁴.

A narration mentioned by al-Kulayni suggests that they gained considerable adherents there, namely, that 'Ali b. Asbat al-Kufi came from Egypt to Medina to see al-Jawad so as to describe him to the Imamites in Egypt¹⁰⁵. Throughout the land of the caliphate the Imamite system of sending out agents (*wukala'*) became more developed and managed to save their organization from certain disintegration.

The Imam's agents spread in many provinces, like al-Ahwaz¹⁰⁶, Hamadan ¹⁰⁷, Sistan, Bist' ¹⁰⁸, Rayy ¹⁰⁹, Basra¹¹⁰, Wasit, Baghdad¹¹¹, and the traditional centres of the Imamites, Kufa and Qumm¹¹².

They allowed their partisans to work in the 'Abbasid administration. Thus Muhammad b. Isma'il b. Bazi and Ahmad b. Hamza al-Qummi occupied high ranks in the vizierate¹¹³, and Nuh b. Darraj was the qadi of Baghdad and then of Kufa. Because his relatives were the agents of al-Jawad, he hid his faith during his occupation of this post¹¹⁴.

Other Imamites became governors of some 'Abbasid provinces, such as al-Husayn b. 'Abd Allah al-Nisaburi, the governor of Bist and Sistan, and al-Hakam b. 'Alya al-Asadi, the governor of Bahrain. Both of these men paid the *khums* to al-Jawad while hiding their allegiance to him¹¹⁵.

At this stage the underground activities of the agents only aimed at controlling and carrying on the religious and financial affairs of the Imamites, not at endangering al-Ma'mun's rule. However in the year 210/825 the people of Qumm, most of whom were Imamites, appealed to the caliph to reduce their land-tax (*al-kharaj*), just as he had reduced the *kharaj* of the inhabitants of Rayy, but he ignored their appeal.

Therefore they refused to pay the *kharaj* and took control of the affairs of Qumm¹¹⁶.

As a result al-Ma'mun dispatched three regiments of his army from Baghdad and Khurasan to quash their revolt. The leader of the Abbasid army, 'Ali b. Hisham accomplished his task. He demolished the wall of Qumm and killed many people, amongst them Yahya b. 'Umran, who, according to Ibn Shahr Ashub, was the agent of al-Jawad¹¹⁷.

Moreover al-Ma'mun collected seven million dirhams from Qumm's inhabitants as a *kharaj* instead of the normal amount, which had been two million dirhams before the uprising. The reports of al-Tabari and Ibn al-Athir indicate that some of the leaders of this revolt were exiled to Egypt, among them Ja'far b. Dawud al-Qummi¹¹⁸.

But these measures did not end the military activities in Qumm. According to al-Tabari, Ja'far b. Dawud escaped from Egypt and rebelled in Qumm in 214/829, but his revolt was subdued and he was arrested and banished again to Egypt¹¹⁹.

Unfortunately the Imamite sources are silent about these military actions in Qumm and their relationship with the Imamites' organization. But al-Ma'mun linked these activities with al-Jawad. Thus he endeavoured to end them through the Imam. According to al-Azdi and al-Tabari, during his march to

invade al-Rum, al-Ma'mun summoned al-Jawad and welcomed him in Tikrit in Safar 215/830, where he married his daughter Umm al-Fadl to him.

He asked him to celebrate his marriage in Baghdad, then to go back with his wife to Medina¹²⁰.

But this marriage neither gave al-Ma'mun the support of the Imamites nor stopped the revolts in Qumm. Ja'far b. Dawud managed to escape again from Egypt and rebelled in Qumm in 216/831, where he defeated the army sent by al-Ma'mun and killed its leader 'Ali b. 'Isa. He continued his resistance until the end of the year 217/832, when the 'Abbasid troops ended his uprising and executed him¹²¹.

But afterwards the underground activities of the 'Alids increased on a wide scale. Therefore al-Mu'tasim, who succeeded al-Ma'mun to the caliphate in 218/833, was obliged to summon al-Jawad and Muhammad b. al-Qasim al-Talqan, so as to investigate their role in the underground activities.

The latter, on hearing of al-Mu'tasim's decision, escaped from Kufa to Khurasan¹²², whereas al-Jawad was arrested in Medina and taken along with his wife, Umm al-Fadl, to the caliph in Baghdad, where he was put under house-arrest. He died a few months later in Dhu al-Hijja 220/835. Some Imamite writers claim that his wife Umm al-Fadl poisoned him at the instigation of al-Mu'tasim, but al-Mufid thinks that he died naturally¹²³.

In the last few years of al-Jawad's Imamate the system and the tactics of the Imamite agents were highly developed. The Imam's followers in Khurasan allowed themselves to be recruited into the 'Abbasid army and participated in subduing the rebellion of the *Khurramiyya*. According to al-Tusi, in 220/834 they seized a large amount of booty from the rebels, so al-Jawad ordered them to pay the *khums* either to him directly or to his agent¹²⁴.

Al-Jawad himself, on hearing of al-Mu'tasim's command to present himself in Baghdad, asked his representative Muhammad b. al-Faraj to hand the *khums* to his son 'Ali al-Hadi as a sign that he was to be his successor¹²⁵.

5. The 'Abbasids' Attitude toward the activities of al-Hadi

According to the Imamite sources; the bulk of the followers of al-Jawad accepted the Imamate of his successor 'Ali al-Hadi, who was then seven years old. His age presented no obstacle to their accepting his Imamate, since they had faced the same problem with his father, who had also been seven years old when he took over the office.

A few of al-Jawad's followers, however, supported the Imamate of his son Musa, but after a short time they rejoined the rest of the Imamites, accepting the Imamate of 'Ali al-Hadi¹²⁶.

At this stage the Imamites concentrated their efforts in reorganising the activities of their followers. This was especially necessary considering the fact that the flourishing state of the 'Abbasid economy had

decreased the 'Alids' opportunities to obtain supporters for further military action¹²⁷.

Perhaps for this reason the caliph, al-Mu'tasim and his successor al-Wathiq (227–232/841–846), were more tolerant towards the 'Alids than al-Ma'mun before them or al-Mutawakkil after them. According to Abu al-Faraj al-Isfahani, the descendants of 'Ali b. Abi Talib and their close kindred (*al-Talibiyun*) assembled in Samarra where they were paid salaries by the caliph al-Wathiq¹²⁸.

The latter also distributed a large amount of money among the 'Alids in the Hijaz and other provinces¹²⁹.

After the death of al-Wathiq certain events had serious consequences for the 'Abbasid attitude towards the activities of the adherents of the tenth Imam, al-Hadi. Al-Mutawakkil was chosen to the caliphate in 232/837,¹³⁰ and his installation was seen by the narrators (*al-Muhaddithun al-amma*) as a major setback for those who favoured the 'Alids.

The majority of the latter were from the ranks of the Mu'tazila and the Shi'ites, who formed the progressive and indeed radical element in society. Recognising this, al-Mutawakkil carried out certain measures with the aim of destroying the economic and political foundations of both the Mu'tazila and the Shi'ites.

Firstly, he abandoned the "inquisition" (*al-Mihna*) against the narrators of the *amma*, which had been implemented by al-Ma'mun with the support of the Mu'tazilites, and he encouraged these narrators and their adherents to openly adopt anti-Shi'ite slogans¹³¹.

Secondly, al-Mutawakkil discharged the vizier, al-Zayyat, and his staff from their offices and appointed instead al-Jarjara'i and Ibn Khaqan, who were more inclined to go along with his anti-Shi'ite policy¹³².

Thirdly, he decided to rebuild the whole structure of the army in two stages. First he began to gradually weaken the power of the Tahirids, who were in charge of ruling Khurasan and of policing Baghdad and the Sawad. He did this by nominating his three sons, al-Muntasir, al-Mu'tazz and al-Mu'ayyad, as his successive heirs apparent, and then appointing al-Muntasir as governor in *Ifriqiyya* and al-Maghrib, al-Mu'ayyad as governor in Syria, and al-Mu'tazz as ruler of the eastern provinces, in particular Khurasan.

Simultaneously the caliph divided the army in the capital among his sons and sent them to the provinces to which he had appointed them, so as to prevent any direct conspiracy on the part of the leaders of the army. His second move was to begin to build a new army called al-Shakiriyya, recruiting people from areas which were well-known for their anti-'Alid attitudes, particularly from Syria, al-Jazira, al-Jabal, Hijaz, and even from the *'Abna*, who had rebelled against the "inquisition"¹³³.

While carrying out these measures, al-Mutawakkil turned towards the opposition to deal with the organised underground activities of the 'Alids in general and the Imamites in particular. The intellectual activities of the Imamites in Egypt, which had been encouraged by Isma'il b. Musa al-Kazim, had borne

fruit and expanded into the sphere of underground political activities, even penetrating into remote parts of North Africa¹³⁴.

The system of communication of their organization (*al-Wikala*) was highly developed, particularly in the capital Samarra, Baghdad, Mada'in, and the districts of the Sawad¹³⁵.

Furthermore al-Yaqubi's report seems to indicate that the Imamites had hidden the name of their Imam to the extent that the caliph was not sure exactly who he was¹³⁶ or if he had direct links with Shi'ite underground activities.

Al-Mutawakkil instigated a campaign of arrests against the Imamites in 232/846, accompanied by such harsh treatment that some of the agents of the Imam in Baghdad, Mada'in, Kufa and the Sawad died under torture, while others were thrown into jail¹³⁷.

By these measures the caliph caused serious damage to the communication network within the *Wikala*. In order to fill the vacuum left by the arrested agents, the Imam had to appoint new agents instead, such as Abu 'Ali b. Rashid, who was nominated to lead the activities of the Imamites in Baghdad, Mada'in and the Sawad, and Ayyub b. Nuh, who was appointed as the agent of Kufa.

Al-Hadi also provided them with new instructions concerning their duties during this critical situation¹³⁸.

Despite all the Imamite efforts to save their organization, the investigation of the governor of Medina, 'Abd Allah b. Muhammad, led to the discovery that al-Hadi was in fact behind these activities. He informed al-Mutawakkil, warning him of the danger of al-Hadi's presence in Medina. So the caliph summoned al-Hadi to Samarra in 233/848, where he kept him under house-arrest¹³⁹.

Two years later the caliph discovered that Egypt and the areas near the tomb of al-Husayn in the Sawad were the strongest centres of the underground communications of the 'Alids. Therefore he ordered that the tomb of al-Husayn and the houses nearby be levelled to the ground. Then he ordered that the ground of the tomb be ploughed and cultivated, so that any trace of the tomb would be forgotten. Furthermore he issued an order prohibiting people from visiting the tombs of any of the Imams and warning them that anyone found in their vicinity would be arrested¹⁴⁰.

Al-Mutawakkil also waged another campaign of arrests. Among those taken prisoner was Yahya b. 'Umar al-'Alawi, who was accused of conspiracy and held in the jail of *al-Mutbaq* in Baghdad¹⁴¹. At the same time al-Mutawakkil ordered the governor of Egypt to deport the *Talibiyyin* to Iraq, and he did so. Afterwards in 236/850,¹⁴² al-Mutawakkil banished them to Medina which had been used as a place of exile for the 'Alids.

Several remarks suggest that al-Mutawakkil went even further in his policy, aiming in the long term to destroy the economic and social status of the 'Alids, and issued many orders so as to achieve this end. He confiscated the properties of the Husaynids, that is the estate of Fadak, whose revenue at that time, according to Ibn Tawus, was 24,000 dinars, and granted it to his partisan 'Abd Allah b. 'Umar al-

Bazyar¹⁴³.

He also warned the inhabitants of the Hijaz not to have any communication with the 'Alids or to support them financially. Many people were severely punished because they did so. According to al-Isfahani, as a result of al-Mutawakkil's measures the 'Alids faced harsh treatment in Medina, where they were totally isolated from other people and deprived of their necessary livelihood¹⁴⁴.

The caliph also wanted to remove the Shi'ites from the 'Abbasid administration and to destroy their good standing in public opinion. Al-Mas'udi gives an example of this policy: he mentions that Ishaq b. Ibrahim, the governor of Saymara and Sirawan in the province of al-Jabal, was discharged from his office because of his Imamite allegiance, and that other people lost their positions for the same reason¹⁴⁵.

According to al-Kindi, al-Mutawakkil ordered his governor in Egypt to deal with the 'Alids according to the following rules:

- 1) *No 'Alid could be given an estate or be allowed to ride a horse or to move from al-Fustat to the other towns of the province.*
- 2) *No 'Alid was permitted to possess more than one slave.*
- 3) *If there was any conflict between an 'Alid and a non-'Alid, the judge must first hear the claim of the non-'Alid, and then accept it without negotiation with the 'Alid.*¹⁴⁶

By these measures, al-Mutawakkil managed to prevent the Shi'ites from plotting against his regime, but he failed to end their underground activities. Reports indicate that al-Hadi continued his communications with his adherents secretly, receiving the *khums* and other taxes from his agents in Qumm and its districts¹⁴⁷.

According to al-Mas'udi, al-Mutawakkil was informed about this, and he also heard that in al-Hadi's house there were arms and letters from his supporters indicating a conspiracy against him. Therefore the house was searched by the caliph's soldiers, but they did not find any proof, and so al-Hadi was set free¹⁴⁸.

The suppression of the Imamites decreased after the assassination of al-Mutawakkil, who was succeeded by his son al-Muntasir in 247/861. He was more tolerant toward them than his father. He issued an order to stop the campaign of arrests and the oppression of the 'Alids and their adherents, and permitted them to visit the tombs of al-Husayn and the other Imams.

He also gave the properties of Fadak back to them. But this new attitude on the part of the caliph ceased with the succession of al-Mustain in 248/862.¹⁴⁹

According to al-Kindi, the Imamites in Egypt were persecuted by its governor, Yazid b. 'Abd Allah al-Turki, who arrested an 'Alid leader called Ibn Abi Hudra along with his followers. They were accused of

carrying out underground activities and deported to Iraq in 248/862. 150 Al-Kulayni also states that the campaign of arrests and pursuits affected the followers of al-Hadi in Egypt.

For example, Muhammad b. Hajar was slain and the estate of Sayf b. al-Layth was seized by the ruler¹⁵¹.

Meanwhile in Iraq some of the followers of al-Hadi in Samarra were arrested¹⁵², and his main agent in Kufa, Ayyub b. Nuh, was pursued by the qadi of the city¹⁵³.

It appears, however, that the 'Abbasid oppression did not deter the Shi'ite ambition to reach power. Many historians like al-Isfahani report that 'Alid revolts broke out in 250-1/864-5 in the areas of Kufa, Tabaristan, Rayy, Qazwin, Egypt and Hijaz.

These might have been directed by one group, or to be more accurate, by one leader. It is beyond the scope of this work to deal with the details of these revolts, but it is worth mentioning that the rebels employed the Prophetic traditions concerning *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and the signs of his rising to achieve immediate political success.

According to Ibn 'Uqda, the leader of this uprising, Yahya b. 'Umar al-'Alawi, was expected to be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, because all the signs and events predicted by the sixth Imam, al-Sadiq, regarding the rise of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* occurred during the course of that revolution¹⁵⁴:

قال ابو عبدالله لا بدان رجل يخرج من آل محمد ولا بدان يمسك الراية البيضاء.
قال علي ابن الحسن; فاجتمع اهل بني رواس و كانوا قد عقدوا عمامة بيضاء
على قناة فامسكها محمد بن معروف وقت خروج يحيى بن عمر, و قال ابو عبد
الله في هذا الخبر, و يجف فرائكم فجف الفرات, و قال ايضا; يحونكم قوم
صغار الاعين فيخرجونكم من دوركم. و قال علي ابن الحسن, فجاءنا كنجور و
الأتراك فاخرجوا الناس من ديارهم. و قال ابو عبد الله ايضا; و تجيء السباع
الى دوركم. قال علي; فجاءت السباع الى دورنا. و قال ابو عبد الله, و كاني
بجناءكم تحفر. و قال علي ابن الحسن, فراينا ذلك كله. و قال ابو عبد الله;
يخرج رجل اشقر ذو سبال ينصب لة كرسي على باب دار عمر بن حريث ,
يدعوا الناس بي البراءة من علي ابن ابي طالب عليه السلام و يقتل خلقا من
الخلق و يقتل في يومه , فراينا ذلك كله.

This document indicates that the Imamites were expecting the establishment of their state by *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* in the near future. Despite the uprising's Zaydite facade, many pure Imamites participated.

According to Ibn 'Uqda, the holder of the rebel standard in Mecca was Muhammad b. Ma'ruf al-Hilali (d. 250/864), who was among the eminent Imamites of the Hijaz¹⁵⁵.

Furthermore, the leader of the rebels in Kufa, Yahya b. 'Umar, who was assassinated in 250/864, attracted the sympathy and praise of al-Hadi's agent, Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari¹⁵⁶.

In addition al-Mas'udi reports that a certain 'Ali b. Musa b. Isma'il b. Musa al-Kazim took part in the revolt in Rayy and was arrested by the caliph al-Mu'tazz. Since this man was the grandson of the Isma'il b. Musa al-Kazim who had preached the Imamite doctrine in Egypt, it seems extremely probable that the revolt was essentially Imamite¹⁵⁷.

Moreover, al-Tabari gives information concerning the underground activities of the Imamites and their role in this rebellion, which the authorities considered purely Zaydite rather than Imamite. He also reports that the 'Abbasid spies discovered correspondence between the leader of the rebels in *Tabaristan*, al-Hasan b. Zayd, and the nephew of Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Khalf al-'Attar. Both of these men were adherents of the tenth Imam, al-Hadi¹⁵⁸.

This led the authorities to the conclusion that the Imamites had direct links with the rebels. So they arrested the leading Imamite personalities in Baghdad and deported them to Samarra. Among them were Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Attar, Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari¹⁵⁹, and the two sons of al-Hadi, Ja'far and al-Hasan al-'Askari, later to be the eleventh Imam¹⁶⁰.

One can link these 'Abbasid precautions to the sudden death of al-Hadi in Samarra in 254/868, because the authorities believed him to be behind all these disturbances, and felt that his death would bring them to an end¹⁶¹.

The cautious attitude of the authorities towards the Imamites continued during the short Imamate of the eleventh Imam, al-Hasan al-'Askari (254-60/868-74). He was put under house-arrest and his movements were restricted, since he was obliged to present himself at the palace of the caliph in Samarra every Monday and Thursday¹⁶².

Despite these restrictions, al-'Askari managed to communicate with his agents by secret means¹⁶³.

It appears that the continuation of the rebellion of the 'Alids, who extended their penetration into new areas, was behind the restriction of the Imam's movements. According to al-Tusi, the caliph al-Muhtadi arrested some Imamites in 255/869, accusing them of the assassination of 'Abd Allah b. Muhammad al-'Abbasi, who had been murdered by the rebels in Kufa. Al-'Askari was also arrested, but was set free soon after the death of the caliph, al-Muhtadi¹⁶⁴.

Despite the fact that the eleventh Imam managed to carry out his activities without the knowledge of the authorities until his death in 260/874,¹⁶⁵ the policy of housearrest, which had been imposed upon the Imams by the caliph al-Ma'mun and had been continued until the time of al-'Askari, seems to have led

him to search for a method by which he could prevent 'Abbasid surveillance being imposed on his son, the Twelfth Imam, so that he could disguise his identity and carry on his activities in secret¹⁶⁶.

6. Conclusion

From the death of al-Husayn onward, the Imams of the Shi'a followed a more or less passive policy towards the ruling caliphs, but this did not indicate their acceptance of the rights of the Umayyads and then the 'Abbasids to the caliphate. Rather they believed that, since these families had come to power through natural means, their downfall would also be according to the will of Allah, that He would indicate their imminent downfall to them and assist them in carrying out His will when the appropriate time had arrived.

Towards this end they were always prepared to rise and take their rightful position, because any Imam could be ordained by Allah as *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. This can be noted in the statement of Imam 'Ali b. Abi Talib,

البيت اهل منا يشاء من الله يجعل والمهدي

*"Allah will choose the Mahdi, whom He wants, from among us, the People of the House."*¹⁶⁷

Thus al-Sadiq, who was strong and capable of leading an uprising might have risen against the caliph if his followers had adhered to his instructions, but schisms appeared amongst their ranks and the Imam's aspirations came to naught. A considerable body among al-Sadiq's followers were not satisfied with his political methods, and disassociated themselves from him in order to struggle for power without his interference.

This was manifested in the emergence of the Zaydites and the Isma'ilis, who put forward a new interpretation of the traditions (*Ahadith*) concerning *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and his rising and used it in their struggle for power. This can be seen in the Zaydite and Isma'ili revolts between the years 145–296/762–908, which ended with the establishment of an Isma'ili state and the installation of an Imam with the title *al-Mahdi*.

The Imams, however, denied the claim of any 'Alid who claimed that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* promised by the Prophet, but they sympathised with some 'Alid rebels who were loyal to them. This might encourage us to assume that the Imams had two methods designed to help them reach power.

The first was the scholarly, cultural and religious activities which they fostered amongst the people without openly involving themselves in any political activities. Secondly, they secretly supported some Shi'ite rebels who were loyal to them, hoping that they would hand the power over to them after their

success.

The military activities of the various Shi'ite groups confused the 'Abbasids and led them to believe that the Imamite Imams were behind them or at least that the result of their intellectual activities would be militant action. Therefore the 'Abbasid authorities forced the Imams to reside in the capital from the year 202/817 under house arrest.

This policy was imposed upon the Imams al-Riḍā, al-Jawad, al-Hadi, and al-'Askari, and led them to develop the underground system of their organisation (*al-Wikala*) so that it could function under these difficult conditions.

At the same time this critical situation forced the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari, to search for a method by which he could prevent 'Abbasid surveillance being imposed upon his son, later to be the Twelfth Imam, so as to enable him to disguise his identity and carry on his activities beyond the careful watch of the authorities.

1. Ahmad b. Abi Ya'qub b. Widiḥ al-Ya'qubi, *Tarikh al-Ya'qubi* (Najaf, 1964), III, 90; Ibn Khaldun, *al-'Ibar wa-diwan al-Mubtada wa-l-Khabar* (Cairo, 1867/70), III, 173, Tabari, III, 33-4, 37; al-Hilali, *op.cit.*, 186.
2. Ahmad Ibrahim al-Sharif, *al-'Alan al-Islamif al-'Asral-'Abbasi* (Cairo, 1967) 19-25; Watt, *The Majesty that was Islam*, 28-30, 95-8. According to al-Najashi, amongst the Imamites who participated in the 'Abbasid propaganda was Yaqlin b. Musa, who was their propagandist in Kufa; al-Najashi, 209.
3. al-Jahshayari, *Kitab al-Wuzara' wa-l-Kuttab* (Cairo, 1938), 86; al-Ya'qubi, III, 89-90, 92; Tabari, III, 27, 34; Ibn al-Taqtaqa, *al-Fakhri fi al-Adab al-Sultaniyya* (Cairo, 1927), III, 2; Watt, *The Formative Period of Islamic Thought* (Edinburgh, 1973), 153-4.
4. al-Masudi, *Ithbat al-Wasiyya* (Najaf, 1955), 181-2; Maqatil, 209; Omar, F., "Some Aspects of the 'Abbasid-Husaynid relations during the early 'Abbasid period (132-193/750-809)," *Arabica*, XXII, 171.
5. Kashif al-Ghita', *Asl al-Shi'a wa-isulaha* (Qumm, 1391), 51; Ahmad Amin, *Dhuha al-Islam* (Cairo, 1956), III, 281-2; al-Isfahani, *Kitab al-Aghani* XI, 300.
6. 'Abd Allah b. 'Abbas b. 'Abd al-Muttalib was one of the companions of the Prophet. He was born three years before the Prophet's emigration to Medina and in the year 68/687 in Ta'if. He was famous in his deep knowledge about the interpretation of the Qur'an and the Prophetic tradition. Thus he acquired the title *Hibru-l-Umma*, the learned man of the nation. Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalam, *al-Isaba*, II, 330-4.
7. Muhammad Riḍā al-Muzaffar, *al-Saqifa* (Najaf, 1965), 69-70. An example of the cooperation between the 'Abbasids and 'Ali during his regime: he appointed Quthum b. al-'Abbas as governor of Mecca and al-Ta'if, 'Ubayd Allah b. 'Abbas in Yemen and Bahrain and 'Abd Allah b. 'Abbas in Basra. When 'Ali died 'Abd Allah b. 'Abbas associated with al-Hasan as a leader in his army. Tabari, V, 64-5, 137, 141-3, 155, 158-9; al-Suyuti, *Tarikh al-Khulafa'* (Cairo, 1964), 205; al-QarashT, *al-Imam al-Hasan* (Najaf, 1973), 49-54.
8. al-Kafi I, 203-4, 545-6, 516. Several sources report that the other Imams received the khums and voluntary gifts from their followers, some of whom were working in the 'Abbasid offices, such as Hasan b. 'Alya al-Asadi, who was the governor of Bahrain. According to another report, the ninth Imam, al-Jawad, ordered his followers to send him his share of the booty which they had seized from the Khurramiyya. al-Tusi, *al-Istibsar* (Tehran, 1970), II, 58, 60-2; Maqatil, 333.
9. al-Kafi, I, 279-81.
10. Omar, *op. cit.*, *Arabica*, XXII (1975), 175-6.
11. For a full account of al-Sadiq's statements concerning the future Mahdi see Kama'l, 333-59.
12. Ikhtiyar, 290-3, 321, 323, 326. For detail about Abu al-Khattab's activities see al-Shibi, K. M., *al-Sila bayn al-Tasawwuf wa-l-Tashayyuf*, Baghdad, 1966, 141-6; Ivanow, *The Alleged founder of Isma'ilism* (Bombay, 1946), 113-51; B. Lewis, *The*

- origins of Isma'ilism (Cambridge, 1940). 32, 39, 66. B. Lewis, "Abu al Khattab", E. 12
13. N. Firaq, 56–66, al-Shibi, op. cit., 206–31; C. Huart, "Isma'iliyya" E 12
14. N. Firaq, 65. For an account of the Fathiyya see Watt, "Side-lights on Early Imamite Doctrine", *Studia Islamica*, MC MLXX, vol. XXXI°, 293–5; al-Hasam, op. cit., II 369–77.
15. Tabari, III, 261–3, 377–8; Mizan, IV, 211.
16. Muruj, VI, 224; Shaban, *Islamic History* (C.U.P., 1976), II, 21.
17. al-Kafi, I, 478; Muruj, VI, 227.
18. Omar, F., "Some observations on the Reign of the 'Abbasid Caliph al-Mahdi (158–169/775–785)", *Arabica*, XXI, 139.
19. Sa'd b. 'Abd Allah al-Ashari al-Qummi, *al-Maqalat wa-l-Firaq* (Tehran, 1963), 89.
20. Watt, *Formative Period*, 155.
21. al-Kafi, I, 484.
22. al-Khatib al-Baghdad, *Tarikh Baghdad* (Beirut, 1931), XIII, 31; Tabari, III, 533; Ibn Tulun, *al-Shadharat al-Dhahabiyya* (Beirut, 1958), 96.
23. Tabari, III, 506–7; al-Fakhri, 136.
24. Tabari III, 508; al-Sadiq agrees with al-Tabari about the persuasion of Ya'qub b. Dawud, but he mentions that he was converted to the Imamite school about 179/795. See 'Uyun, 60. However, Ya'qub belonged to a Shi'ite family from Merv. His father and uncle helped promote 'Abbasid propaganda in Khurasan. Later Ya'qub himself was associated with al-Nafs al-Zakiyya in his revolt in 145/762, after which he was imprisoned until 158/774–5. Shaban, op. cit., 21.
25. N. Firaq, 43; Watt, *Formative Period*, 155; according to al-Balkhi this claim was invented by the Rawandiyya after the death of al-Mahdi. However, it appears that the Rawandiyya had held this claim at the instigation of al-Mahdi himself. Al-Qadfi 'Abd al-Jabbar, op. cit., II, 177
26. Tabari, III, 486–7.
27. Ikhtiyar, 265–6, 501. All these groups derived their names from various companions of al-Sadiq: i.e. Abd Allah b. Abi Ya'fur, Zurara b. A yun, 'Ammar b. Mnsa al-Sabati and Hisham b. Salim al-Jawaliqi respectively. al Najashi, 132, 157, 223, 338; T. al-Fihrist, 141–3, 235, 356.
28. Ikhtiyar, 335.
29. Ikhtiyar, 269–70.
30. al-Ya'qubi, III, 142. It is clear from the prominent persons who took part in this revolt that it was Zaydite, for example Yahya, Sulayman and Idris, the brothers of al-Nafs al-Zakiyya, who rebelled in the year 145/762 against al-Mansur. Moreover Ibrahim b. Isma'il Tabataba was the father of Muhammad b. Tabataba, the spiritual leader of the Zaydite revolt which took place in Kufa in 199/814 (*Maqatil*, 297, 304). It is worth mentioning that al-Sahib b. 'Abbad considered the individuals mentioned above as Zaydite; al-Sahib b. 'Abbad, *Nusrat Madhahib al-Zaydiyya* (Baghdad, 1977), 222.
31. Tabari, III, 552–3, 557–9; Muruj, VI, 226–7; *Maqatil*, 298.
32. 'Ibar, III, 215–6. Al-Isfahani mentions two narrations about the attitude of al-Kazim. The first one reveals that he refused to participate in the revolt, while the second shows that he ordered Yahya al-Mahd to rise in arms; *Maqatil*, 298, 304. There is evidence showing that al-Kazim did not take part in this revolt but encouraged the rebels to fight the 'Abbasids vigorously, al-Kafi, I, 366.
33. al-Galbaygani, op. cit., 219, quoted from al-Khazaz al-Razi al-Qumi, *Kifayat al-Athar fi al-Nusus 'ala al-Imam at-Thani 'Ashar*.
34. al-Kafi, I, 494. The Imamite activities in Egypt may have started in Akhmim during the time of al-Sadiq, because some people of Akhmim such as 'Uthman b. Suwayd al-Akhmimi and Dhu al-Nun al-Misri were students of Jabir b. Hayyan al-Kufi, who was a student of al-Sadiq (al-Qifti, *Tarikh al-Hukama'* [Leipzig, 1903], 185; al-Shibi, op. cit., 360). Among al-Kazim's Kufan agents in Egypt were 'Uthman b. 'Isa al-Rawasi and al-Husayn b. 'Ali al-'Uyun, 92; al Najashi, 52, 230.
35. Ikhtiyar, 442.
36. Ikhtiyar, 433. For a full account of other names see al-Najashi, 104, 158, 254–5.
37. Tabari, III, 561, 609, 612, 740; al-Ya'qubi, III, 166; al-Kafi, II, 224–5. Al-Kashshi mentions that the family of Banu al-Ash'ath sent the zakat (30,000 dinars) to the agent of al-Kazim in Kufa, which seems to confirm their Imamite inclinations; Ikhtiyar, 459

38. Uyun, I, 18, 24, 25–6, 92; Ikhtiyar, 405, 468; al-Fakhri, 145–6; al-Haythami, al Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa (Cairo, 1312/1894), 101.
39. N. Firaq, 63– 64.
40. Ivanow, W., The Rise of the Fatimids (Bombay, 1946), 49–52, quoting from an Isma'ili Ms entitled Zahr al-Ma'ani.
41. N. Firaq, 64.
42. Al-Kulayni mentions this on the authority of 'Ali b. Ja'far al-Sadiq. His narrations states that Muhammad b. Isma'il met his uncle al-Kazim in Mecca and asked him to allow him to go to Baghdad. Al-Kazim did so and gave him 300 dinars and 3000 dirhams for the expense of his journey. Then he warned his nephew not to bring about his death by giving the authorities information concerning his activities. However, Muhammad contacted the caliph Harun al-Rashid and informed him that his uncle was considered the real caliph by the people who had visited him; al-Kafi, I, 485–6. Also see Ikhtiyar, 263–5; Ibn Hazm, Jamharat Ansab al-'Arab (Cairo, 1971), 60.
43. al-Fakhri (Gotha, Greifswald, 1860), 195–6, 227–8; al-Kafi, I, 366.
44. Ibn al-Athir, al-Kamil fi al-Tarikh (Leiden, 1866–1876), VI, 61.
45. Tabari, III, 612–3; al-Fakhri, 231; al-Kulayni records a correspondence between Yahya al-Mahd and al-Kdzim, which indicates that the latter had nothing to do with this revolt; al-Kafi, I, 366–7.
46. Ahmad b. Khalid al-Misri al-Salawi, al-Istaqsa li-Akhbar al-Maghrib al-Aqsa (al Dar al-Bayda, 1954), I, 67–9.
47. Tabari, III, 606.
48. Tabari, III, 614, 616.
49. Tabari, III, 613–16; al-Ya'qubi, III, 145–6; Ibar, III, 218; al-Kamil, VI, 85.
50. Because Sulayman succeeded in killing Idris, the Caliph al-Rashid appointed him to the barid of Egypt, and had the previous official Wadih, who had helped Idris in his escape to al-Maghrib, killed; Tabari, III, 561
51. Tabari, III, 561, 649; al-Kamil, VI, 106–8.
52. Osman, op. cit., 300; Q. Maqalat, 88; Ikhtiyar, 598–9.
53. Ikhtiyar, 258–63.
54. al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj (Najaf, 1966), 161; Ikhtiyar, 262. Some of the Imamites accused the Isma'ilis of provoking al-Rashid against al-Kazim. They mentioned that Muhammad b. Isma'il informed al-Rashid about al-Kazim's underground activities (al-Kafi I, 485–6). Whereas the arrest of al-Kazim was part of the general plan of the Caliph which he carried out against the Imamites, several remarks suggest that the viziers Banu al-Marmak were behind al-Rashid's plan, in order to bring about the fall of their Imamite competitors in the 'Abbasid ministry, the family of Banu al-Ash'ath; al-Kafi, II, 224–5; Ikhtiyar, 258.
55. al-Kafi, I, 476; N. Firaq, 71–2.
56. Ibn al-Mutazz, Tabaqat al-Shu'ara' (Cairo, 1956), 244.
57. According to al-Najashi and al-Tusi, Hisham's death occurred in 199/814. But it seems that he died before that. Al-Kashshi associates his death with the arrest of al-Kazim in 179/795. In that year Hisham attended a theological symposium in the presence of al-Rashid and Yahya al-Barinak'. Later al-Rasfd issued an order to arrest al-Kazim and his partisans. Hence Hisham escaped to Madain, then to Kufa where he died two months later; al-Najashi, 338; T. al-Fihrist, 355; Ikhtiyar, 255–6, 258–62.
58. N. Firaq, 67; Ikhtiyar, 258; al-Kafi, I, 258–9; al-Ya'qubi relates that the 'Alid underground activities were probably quite strong in Basra. The increase in the activities of the missionary of this group, Ahmad b. 'Isa al-'Alawi, who spent sixty years hidden there, finally caused al-Rashid to pursue them. Ahmad b. 'Isa was imprisoned, but he managed to escape to Basra in 188/803. Then 'Abbasid spies detected the missionary and the agent of his group, Hadir, who refused to reveal the place of his leader; so they killed him and impaled his body in Baghdad; al-Ya'qubi, III, 160.
59. Uyun, I, 89–90, II, 143.
60. N. Firaq, 67–8, 70; Q. Maqalat, 89–91; al-Najashi, 61, 258, 230–31. It seems from the report of al-Kashshi that Muhammad b. Bashir and his followers applied the term al-Mahdi to al-Kazim, whose death they denied, giving him the epithet al-Qaim al-Mahdi (Ikhtiyar, 478). Such evidence indicates that the Imamites already knew about the rise of one of their Imams under the title of al-Qa'im al Mahdi, but they were not sure who this would be.
61. al-Saduq, 'Ilal al-Shara'i' (Najaf, 1966), I, 235; Uyun, 91–2; Ikhtiyar, 459–60, 467, 468, 493. The leaders of the Waqifa

were Muhammad b. al-Hasan b. Shammun and 'Ali b. Abi Hamza; Ikhtiyar, 444; al-Najashi, 230–1.

62. al-Kafi, I, 333–43.

63. al-Kafi, I, 312; Q. Maqalat, 89

64. Ikhtiyar, 464–5, 472.

65. Ikhtiyar, 591– 592.

66. Most of the eastern Sufi movements trace their origins to Ma'ruf al-Karkhi (d. 200/815), who was a companion of al-Riḍa. They regarded al-Riḍa as of the Sufi movement, but at the same time they did not believe in his Imamate. For an account of this relation see al-Shibi, op. cit.

67. Uyun, II, 234–5.

68. Watt, Formative Period, 176; al-Fakhri, 159–61; al-Kamil, VI, 227.

69. Ibn A'tham al-Kufi, op. cit., VIII, 312–3. The most dangerous revolt was the rebellion of Nasr b. Shabth in al-Jazira. Another serious revolt was the protest of the populace (al-Amma) of Baghdad against the installation of al-Riḍa as heir-apparent by al-Ma'mun. Thus they installed Ibrahim b. al-Mahdi as a caliph there; Bidaya, X, 280–2; al-Kamil, VI, 230.

70. It is clear from the reports of al-Tabari and al-Najashi that the rebels did not believe in the Imamate of a specific 'Alid Imam, but they supported the Imamate of him who would rise in arms in order to establish his rights to this office (Tabari, III, 979, 1019; al-Najashi, 194). They wrote on the coins which they mined in Kufa a Qur'anic verse (al-Saff 51: 4) revealing their, revolutionary inclination. Al-Isfahani and al-Sahib b. 'Abbad considered it a Zaydite uprising; Maqatil, 347, 350; Uyun, II, 235; Ibn 'Abbad, op. cit., 222.

71. Muruj, VII, 56.

72. A considerable body of the followers of al-Riḍa participated in this revolt without his instruction. Perhaps they noticed that the brothers of their Imam were prominent leaders of the revolt, and thought that al-Riḍa was behind their participation. Hence they joined it. There were men like Muhammad b. Muslima al-Kufi (Tabari, III, 989), who was regarded by al-Najashi as trustworthy (al-Najashi, 286; Uyun, II, 234–5). It seems from al-Riḍa's interpretation of a dream of one of his partisans concerning an expected uprising that he already knew of the activities of his kinsmen; al-Kafi, VIII, 257.

73. al-Da'wa li-l-Riḍa min Al Muhammad wa-'Amal bi-l-Kitab wa-l-sunna.

74. Tabari, III, 976–79.

75. al-Kamil, VI, 214–16; Maqatil, 355; Tabari, III, 981–3, 988. Al-Azraqi reports that Abu al-Saraya sent a kiswa of silk to the Ka'ba in two colours, white and yellow, the former of which was the colour of the 'Alids' standard. Between the two parts was written: "In the name of God, the Merciful, the Compassionate. May God bless Muhammad and his progeny, the noble descendants, the pure, and the best of the human race. Abu al-Saraya, he who invites people to rally behind Al Muhammad has ordered that this kiswa be made for the holy House of God." al-Azraqi, Akhbar Makka (Mecca, 1965), I, 263, 264.

76. al-Najashi, 194; Maqatil, 354; Gibb, H. A. R., "Abu al-Saraya", E.I.2

77. Maqatil, 363–6; Tabari, III, 985–6.

78. Al-Kulayni reports several traditions on the authority of 'Ali b. al-Husayn and al-Sadiq regarding this point, al-Kafi, VIII, 264, 310.

79. Tabari, III, 990.

80. Maqatil, 359; Uyun, 155.

81. al-Kafi, I, 491; Maqatil, 360.

82. al-Kafi, I, 488–9; Uyun, II, 146; Tabari, III, 1000.

83. Maqatil, 360; al-Yafi'i, al-'Iqd al-Thamm, I, 444–5.

84. al-Kafi, I, 489, VIII, 151; Uyun, 138–40; Tabari, III, 1012–3.

85. Khatib, X, 184. Concerning Umm Habiba, al-Tabari thinks that she was al-Ma'mun's daughter (Tabari, III, 1029), but Ibn Tulun reports that she was his sister. The age of al-Ma'mun at that time was 30; therefore one is inclined to accept Ibn Tulun's report; Ibn Tulun, op. cit., 97.

86. al-Ya'qubi, III, 185; al-Kamil VI, 227.

87. Watt, Formative Period 176. Al-Kulayni reports that al-Ma'mun asked al-Riḍa to write to his followers in the areas of revolt, asking them to stop their support of the rebels; al-Kafi, VIII, 151.

88. Several anecdotes indicate that the 'Alids' standard was white. The followers of Abu al-Saraya were called "al-Mubayyida" in reference to the standard of 'Ali (al-Azraqi, op. cit., I, 263-4). Na un b. Khazim, an Arab advisor of al Ma'mun, warned the latter against adopting the advice of al-Fadl b. Sahl in installing al-Riḡa. He pointed out to him that his vizier was not sincere to the 'Alids, because he suggested the green colour, the sign of his ancestors, the Sasanids, instead of the white, the standard of 'Ali and his sons (J. Wuzara', 313). When al-Ma'mun asked al-Riḡa to perform the Friday prayer, the latter wore a white turban and white clothes ('Uyun, II, 149). Finally the Imamites narrate that the banners of the followers of al-Qaim al-Mahdi would be white (Najm al-Din al-'Askari, al-Mahdi al-Maw'ud al-Muntazar [Beirut, 1977], I, 177).
89. Tabari, III, 1019-20; also al-Kamil, VI, 242.
90. Tabari, III 1029; al-Kamil, VI, 248.
91. al-Ya'qubi, III, 184, 190.
92. N. Firaq, 72-3; Ikhtiyar, 501-2; 'Uyun, II, 151-2.
93. Ibn Hibban, Kitab al-Majruhin (Halab, 1976), II, 209-10.
94. al-Kafi, I, 486, 490; Muruj, VIII, 57, 61; 'Uyun, I, 186, 188; Shaban, op. cit., 47.
95. al-Kamil, VI, 253; Ahmad b. Tahir b. Tayfur, Tarikh Baghdad (Cairo, 1949), 10.
96. 'Uyun, II, 188.
97. al-Ya'qubi, III, 204; according to the account of al-Kulayni both were Imamites and the father of the first, Yahya b. al-Husayn, supported the Imamate of al-Riḡa (al-Kafi, I, 316). Al-Najashi reports that the grandson of the second, Yahya b. Ahmad b. Muhammad, was a prominent Imamite in Nishapur; al-Najashi, 345; Abu al-Fida, al-Mukhtasar fi Akhbar al-Bashari, II, 32.
98. Tabari, III, 1039; al-Kamil, VI, 253.
99. Abu al-Fida, op. cit., II, 25-6.
100. Tabari, III, 1040.
101. Tabari, III, 1062-3; al-Kama'l, VI, 269.
102. N. Firaq, 85-7; Q. Maqalat, 93-5; al-Najashi, 19.
103. Ithbat, 213-5.
104. al-Najashi, 21, 67-8, 141, 294.
105. al-Kafi, I, 494.
106. al-Najashi , 191.
107. Ikhtiyar, 611-2; al-Najashi, 265.
108. al-Kafi, V, 111.
109. Bihar, L, 44-5.
110. Ikhtiyar, 487; al-Najashi, 180.
111. Ithbat, 213, 215.
112. al-Kafi, I, 548; T. al-Ghayba, 227; Bihar, L, 37-8.
113. al-Najashi, 254.
114. al-Najashi, 80, 98; Mizan, IV, 276.
115. al-Kafi, V, III; al-Tusi, al-Istibsar, II, 58.
116. al-Azdi, 368.
117. Ibn Shahr Ashub, Manaqib Ali Abi Talib, IV, 397; al-Azdi, 368.
118. Tabari, III, 1092-3, 1102; al-Kamil, VI, 264, 293.
119. Tabari, III, 1102.
120. Tabari, III, 1103; al-Azdi, 399.
121. Tabari, III, 1106, 1111; al-Kamil, VI, 286-7.
122. Muhammad b. al-Qasim was the Imam of the revolutionary Zaydites during al-Jawad's period. When al-Mu'tasim endeavoured to arrest him, he escaped from Kufa and revolted in al-Talqan. But al-Mu'tasim captured him and imprisoned him in Samarra. Then he disappeared from jail mysteriously. Thus a considerable body of the Zaydites in the districts of Kufa, Tabaristan, Daylam and Khurasan held that he did not die but was alive and would rise in arms to fill the earth with

justice after it had been filled with tyranny; Muruj, VI, 116–7.

123. Ithbat, 220; Bihar, L, 15–17; al-Irshad, 297, 307.

124. al-Tusi, al-Istibsar, II, 60–2.

125. Ibn Shahr Ashub, Manaqib, IV, 389.

126. Maqalat, 99.

127. The flourishing state of the 'Abbasid economy can be noted in al-Mu'tasim's ability to recruit a new garrison, mainly from the Turks of Caucasus, and to establish a new capital, Samarra. His successor al-Mutawakkil followed in his footsteps, establishing another garrison, which he called al-Shakiriyya, by recruiting people from the western provinces of the empire. Later al-Shakiriyya garrison was enlarged by al-Mutawakkil until it became his official army. Furthermore he established a new capital called al-Ja'fariyya. According to al-Mas'udi, after his death he left four million dinars and seven million dirhams in the treasury. Muruj, VII, 276–7; Shaban, op. cit., 76.

128. Maqalat, 394; al-Fakhri, 176.

129. al-Yaqubi, III, 216.

130. Tabari, III, 1368.

131. Shaban, op. cit., 73; al-Tikriti, al-Mutawakkil boyn Khusumih wa-Ansarih, Bulletin of the College of Arts, Basrah University, 1976, 113.

132. Tabari, III, 1373–5; see also al-Kafi, I, 498.

133. Shaban, op. cit., 72–5; 76.

134. Al Mas'udi and Ibn Hazm mention that Ja'far b. Isma'il b. Musa al-Kazim was killed by Ibn al-Aghlab in al-Maghrib during the caliphate of al Mu'tazz (Muruj, VII, 404; Ibn Hazm, op. cit., 64), which suggests that Ja'far may have been sent there by his father to preach to the people concerning the 'Alids' rights to the caliphate.

135. Ikhtiyar, 513–4.

136. al-Yaqubi, III, 217.

137. Ikhtiyar, 603, 607; T. al-Ghayba, 226–7; Bihar, L, 183.

138. Ikhtiyar, 513–4.

139. Ithbat, 225–6; Bihar, L, 209.

140. Tabari, III, 407; Muruj VII, 302. Many early sources represent the 'Abbasid view, and contemporary scholars claim that the reason behind the demolition of al-Husayn's tomb was that the Shi'a had made it an alternative centre for pilgrimage (Maqatil, 395; al-Tikriti The Religious Policity of al-Mutawakkil 'Ala Allah al-'Abbasi [232–47/847–68], M. A. Dissertation [McGill University, Canada, 1969], 58, 63). But all the narrations espousing this view are attributed to a single narrator called Ahmad b. al-Ji'd who was a loyal supporter of the 'Abbasids. Perhaps he gave this interpretation to excuse the action of the caliph, whose aim was to stop the underground activities of the Shi'a, who used their visit to the tomb of al-Husayn as a means of communication. In addition the caliph wanted to eliminate any sign of the grave, which might revive the revolutionary trends amongst the Shi'a.

141. Tabari, III, 1404.

142. al-Kindi, Wilat Misr, (Beirut, 1972), 177–8.

143. Ibn Tawus, Kash al-Mahajja (Najaf, 1950), 124; al-Sadr, Fadak fi al-Tarrikh (Najaf, 1955), 23.

144. Maqatil, 396.

145. Muruj VII, 238–9; al-Kafi, I, 500.

146. al-Kindi, op. cit., 230.

147. Bihar, L, 185.

148. Muruj VII, 207.

149. Ibid, VII, 302.

150. al-Kindi, op. cit., 229.

151. al-Kafi, I, 511, 513.

152. Manaqib, IV, 416.

153. al-Arbili, Kashf al-Ghumma, III, 247. The qadi of Kufa at that time was Ja'far b. 'Abd al-Wahid. The caliph al-Musta'in removed him from this office and exiled him to Basra where he died in 257/780; Mizan, I, 413.

154. Ibn 'Uqda, op. cit., f. 74–5.
155. Ibn 'Uqda, op. cit., f. 75; al-Najashi, 280–1. Ibn 'Uqda, Ahmad b. Muhammad (249–333/863–944) is a kufan Muhaddith. He belonged to the Zaydite sect, al-Jarudiyya. Al-Nu'mani relied on him in writing his work al-Ghayba. Buzurg, Nawabigh al-Ruwat, 46–7.
156. Tabari, III, 1522.
157. Muruj, VII, 404.
158. Tabari, III, 1362, 1683; Ikhtiyar, 68.
159. Tabari, III, 1683–4.
160. T. al-Ghayba, 141, 226; Bihar, L, 206–7.
161. The majority of the Imamite scholars believe that al-Hadi was poisoned at the instigation of the 'Abbasids; Manaqib, IV, 401; Bihar, L, 206–7.
162. T. al-Ghayba, 139–40.
163. Manaqib, IV, 427–8; Bihar, L, 283–4.
164. T. al-Ghayba, 147, 226; Bihar, L, 303; Tabari, III, 1709
165. . al-Kafi, I, 503.
166. This will be discussed in greater detail in the next chapter
167. al-Kafi, I, 450

1. The Schisms Amongst the Adherents of al-Hasan al-'Askari After His Death.

A) Introduction

The Imamate during the life of the last six Imams of the Twelver Imamites (*al-Imamiyya al-lthna 'ashariyya*) was distinguished by the many splits which occurred after the death of each Imam, who was considered by the Imamites as one of the twelve Imams, over the recognition of his successor. In spite of these repeated schisms, after a hard struggle each Imam was able to maintain the obedience of the majority of the followers of the previous Imam¹.

Al-Hasan b. 'Ali al-'Askari was born in 232/845 and died in 260/874. According to some later Shi'ite sources, he was poisoned through the instigation of the 'Abbasid caliph, al-Mu'tamid².

During the six years of his Imamate, al-'Askari lived in hiding and prudent fear because of the restrictions imposed upon him by his being surrounded by the spies of al-Mu'tamid. This was the reason for his lack of open contact with the mass of his followers. Only the elite of his adherents were able to communicate with him personally³.

The same sources report that in the year 260/874 the eleventh Imam became ill. As soon as news of his sickness reached al-Mu'tamid, he dispatched five of his special servants to al-'Askari house, ordering them to keep close watch on him.

Thereafter the caliph sent physicians and the *Qadi al-Qudat* in the company of ten men whom he

considered trustworthy, to al-'Askari's house to remain with him and observe his condition and the situation within his home at all times. Al-'Askari's malady became worse and he passed away on 8th Rabi' I 260/1st January 874.

Al-Mu'tamid dispatched Abu 'Isa b. al-Mutawakkil to say the prayer for the dead over the body of al-'Askari. After this rite was completed al-'Askari was buried within the confines of his house in *Sirr Man Ra'a* (Samarra), next to his father⁴.

According to the early Imamite sources al-'Askari did not leave a publicly acknowledged son, nor did he determine upon or install his successor openly⁵. As al-Mufid says, the Imamites were suffering oppression at the hand of the 'Abbasids, while the caliph, al-Mu'tamid, was searching for al-'Askari's son and trying to arrest him by any means possible.

Moreover, the views of the Imamite Shi'a about him were being circulated, and it was becoming known that they were waiting for him to rise. For this reason al-'Askari had not revealed his son during his lifetime, not even to the greater portion of his own adherents⁶.

Because the Imamites were distinguished from other Islamic denominations by the principle of the designation of the Imam by his predecessor they seem to have found themselves in a critical situation after their Imam's death, since he had not designated his successor openly. Therefore the Imamite jurists had recourse to the traditions of the Prophet and his progeny to determine who was to be the Twelfth Imam.

They found many traditions to support their various claims. Amongst them were transmissions which stated that an Imam could not die without seeing his offspring who would succeed him; that the world cannot be without a Proof⁷; that the Imamate cannot pass to two brothers after al-Hasan and al-Husayn, and that it will be occupied by one of the progeny of 'Ali b. al-Husayn⁸; that the Imam knows who will succeed him and does not die until he gives his testament to his successor⁹; and that the Imamate should belong to the eldest son of the preceding Imam¹⁰.

These traditions seem to have been adopted by the greater portion of the Imamites, and their interpretation of these traditions led to various viewpoints, which in turn led to new divisions amongst the Imamites.

Sa'd al-Qummi counted fifteen schisms, whereas al-Nawbakhti and al-Mufid enumerated them as fourteen. Al-Mas'udi thinks that there were twenty sects, while al-Shahristani counts only eleven¹¹. Nevertheless a study of the claims of these factions reveals that there were apparently only five major schisms.

However, each of these became further split over the theological and traditional arguments employed to support their claims. At any rate it seems important to set down the major claims of these schisms in order to achieve a clear conception of the Imamites at that time.

B) Schism I: the Waqifa at al-'Askari

What brought the people of this faction together was their claim that the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari, was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* although they differed as to how he became *al-Qa'im*.

i) The first faction of this schism deemed that al-'Askari had not died, but had gone into occultation¹². They based their assumption on the traditions reported from the previous Imams, which said that an Imam could not die without having a publicly acknowledged son to succeed him, because the world cannot be without a Proof¹³.

While the people were not obliged to accept the Imamate of those who were now laying claim to it, they should acknowledge the Imamate of al-'Askari whose Imamate had been confirmed by the testament of the former Imam. They also maintained that they had a tradition which said that *al-Qa'im* had two occultations.

Therefore, since al-'Askari had not left a publicly acknowledged son and since the earth cannot remain for an hour without a Proof, it was right to claim that he had not died but was hidden, and that he was truly *al-Qa'im*.

This was his first occultation, after which he would rise again. Then, when his rising became known, he would conceal himself once more in his second occultation¹⁴.

In their discussions with their opponents, they tried to distinguish themselves from the Imamites who had stopped at the seventh Imam, Musa al-Kazim (183/799), claiming he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, by faulting them for stopping at al-Kazim. They pointed out that he had died and left his successor, 'Ali al-Riḡa (202/817) as well as other sons, while al-'Askari had obviously passed away and left no heir¹⁵.

ii) The second faction of the *Waqifa* at al-'Askari believed that he had died, but was then raised to life, and was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. Basically, the members of this faction established their doctrine on a transmission from Imam Ja'far al-Sa'diq, who said that *al-Qa'im* was called *al-Qa'im* because he would "rise" again after his death. They stated that it was certain that al-'Askari had died without leaving a successor and without designating anyone as his legatee.

Thus there was no doubt about his being *al-Qa'im*, nor about his being alive after death, although he concealed himself for fear of his foes. They supported their theories with a saying of Imam 'Ali b. Abi Talib, contained in his advice to his follower Kumayl b. Ziyad, "O Allah, indeed You do not leave the earth without a *Qaim* with proof from You, whether manifest or hidden, for then Your proofs and Your signs would be invalidated."¹⁶

On the basis of 'Ali's words they concluded that al-'Askari was absent and hidden, but that he would rise to fill the earth with peace and justice after it had been filled with tyranny¹⁷.

iii) Al-Waqifa al-la Adriyya also stopped at al-'Askari. They deemed that he had died and had been the Imam. Although the earth could not be without a Proof from Allah, they were not sure who had succeeded al-'Askari, his son or his brother. Therefore they stopped at the Imamate of al-'Askari, and decided to make no decision until the matter became clear to them¹⁸.

Unfortunately, the contemporary sources do not mention anyone as representing the three factions of *al-Waqifa* at al-'Askari. However, from the doctrine of the first faction of the *Waqifa*, it seems that its partisans lived in places which were far from Samarra, the city of the Imam. Since they were not present at the moment of his death, they tended to believe that he had not in fact died, but was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*.

C) Schism II: The Ja'farites

The representatives of this schism claimed that the Imam after al-'Askari was his youngest brother, Ja'far, but they differed as to how the Imamate had passed on to him, and therefore split into four factions.

i) The first faction believed that al-'Askari had died and that he had held the Imamate by the testament of his father. Since the Imamate can only pass to the eldest living son of the former Imam, the Imamate passed from 'Ali al-Hadi not to his eldest son Muhammad, who had died before him, but to al-'Askari, who was the elder of the two sons who had outlived their father¹⁹.

This faction believed that al-'Askari had not left a publicly acknowledged son to take over the Imamate and, therefore, his sole remaining brother, Ja'far, was the Imam. In order to support their dogma they were obliged to repeat the Fathiyya's²⁰ arguments about the Imamate. The latter claimed that Musa al-Kazim received the Imamate, not from his father Ja'far al-Sadiq, but from his eldest brother 'Abd Allah, according to the tradition which says that the Imamate passes on to the eldest son of the Imam when he dies.

Like the Fathiyya, this faction of the Ja'farites accepted the authenticity of the tradition which says that the Imamate will not fall to two brothers after al-Hasan and al-Husayn. But they maintained that this could only be applied if al-'Askari had left a son. Since al-'Askari had passed away without leaving a publicly acknowledged successor, his brother Ja'far was the designated Imam²¹ and the Imamate would pass on in his offspring.

They also recognised 'Abd Allah b. Ja'far al-Sadiq as the seventh Imam. Consequently Ja'far was thirteenth in the chain of Imams.

ii) The second faction of the Ja'farites contended that the eleventh Imam had himself designated Ja'far as his successor according to the principle of *al-Bada'*²². The same thing had happened in the case of Ism'a'il, the eldest son of Imam Ja'far al-Sadiq. God had clarified His ultimate decision concerning him by taking away his soul and placing his brothers 'Abd Allah and Musa consecutively in the Imamate instead.

Similarly, in the case of Ja'far b. 'Ali, God had entrusted al-'Askari with the Imamate, but thereafter He had made it clear that the Imamate should not pass on in the progeny of al-'Askari. Therefore He transferred it to his brother Ja'far, who was the Imam after al-'Askari's death. Like the previous factions, this faction used the argument and dogma of the Fathiyya to support their viewpoint.

This sect was probably more popular than the first among the theologians, especially in Kufa. Its leader was a Kufi theologian called 'Ali b. Tahl or al-Talhi al-Khazzaz, who had been famous amongst the surviving members of the Fathiyya for his skill in theological discussions. He upheld Ja'far's Imamate and encouraged people to take his side. He was supported in his propaganda by the sister of Faris. b. Hatim b. Mahawiyya al-Qazwini, although she rejected the Imamate of al-'Askari and claimed that the Imamate had been transferred to Ja'far from his father, 'Ali al-Hadi²³.

She may have had this claim because her brother, Faris b. Hatim, was killed on the order of al-'Askari²⁴. It is also possible that the Kufan scholastic family Banu Faddal, who were active supporters of the Fathiyya, adopted the doctrine of this faction, especially Ahmad b. al-Hasan b. 'Ali b. Muhammad b. Faddal, who died in 260/874, and his brother 'Ali.

iii) The members of this faction claimed that the Imamate had passed on to Ja'far through the designation of his father. They based their doctrine on a tradition attributed to Ja'far al-Sadiq, which states that the Imamate cannot be held by two brothers after al-Hasan and al-Husayn²⁵. Since Muhammad, the eldest son of 'Ali al-Hadi, died during the lifetime of his father, and since the Imamate should belong to those who survive the death of their father, it had not been transferred to Muhammad.

They may have accepted the Imamate of al-'Askari because he was the eldest son after the death of his father, but they rejected his Imamate after his death, because he had passed away without leaving a publicly acknowledged son as his successor. It was a matter of course to them, they said, that the Imam could not die without leaving a publicly acknowledged and well known successor, designated by him and entrusted with the Imamate.

Therefore the claim of al-'Askari to the Imamate must be invalid. So it was inevitable that the Imam was Ja'far, by the designation of his father²⁶.

It is worth mentioning that this faction existed within the lifetime of al-'Askari. When the tenth Imam died in 254/868 the majority of his followers accepted the Imamate of his eldest surviving son, al-'Askari, as confirmed by the testament of his father, but a minority took Ja'far's side²⁷. He became more powerful after al-'Askari's death, since some of al-'Askari's adherents abandoned his Imamate and accepted that of Ja'far. A leading scholar of this faction was 'Ali b. Ahmad b. Bashshar, who wrote a book on the *Ghayba* and disputed fiercely with his opponents²⁸.

There is some evidence that this faction achieved a certain degree of success by persuading a few of the people who had accepted al-'Askari's Imamate to join their side. Al-Saduq and al-Majlisi report a letter attributed to the Twelfth Imam, and sent to his agent, 'Uthman b. Sa'id al-'Umari. This letter

reveals that an adherent of this faction argued with a Twelver called al-Mukhtar, and succeeded in making him accept the Imamate of Ja'far²⁹. The sister of Faris b. Hatim, who was mentioned above, was one of the partisans of this group.

iv) The followers of this faction were called al-Nafisiyya. They believed that the tenth Imam had designated his eldest son Muhammad as his legate. Then, according to the principle of *Bada'*, Allah took away his life while his father was still alive.

But when Muhammad b. 'Ali passed away, by the order of his father, he designated his brother Ja'far as his successor. He entrusted his testament, the books, the secret knowledge and the weapons needed by the community to his trustworthy young slave called Nafis. And he ordered him to hand them over to his brother Ja'far when his father died.

However, when Muhammad died, the adherents of al-'Askari discovered the secret arrangement and the role of Nafis. Since Nafis was afraid of them and feared that the Imamate might be cut short, he called Ja'far and handed over the trust of Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Hadi. Ja'far himself claimed that the Imamate had been passed to him from his brother, Muhammad³⁰.

The members of this faction denied the testament of al-Hasan al-'Askari, because his father, they claimed, had neither designated him nor changed his testament from Muhammad. Nafis was killed by being drowned in a well³¹.

D) Schism III: The Muhammadiyya

This sect denied the Imamate of Ja'far and al-'Askari and considered Muhammad, who had died in the lifetime of his father, as their Imam. They argued that 'Ali al-Hadi, the tenth Imam, had neither designated nor indicated either al-'Askari or Ja'far as his legate. Therefore neither of them had any right to make claims upon the Imamate.

Since the Imam could not die without leaving a successor, and since al-'Askari had passed away and not left a publicly acknowledged or well-known son, his Imamate was invalidated. Ja'far, they added, was not worthy of putting forward a claim because his immorality and sinfulness were infamous. His wicked character could not be prudent fear (*Taqiyya*) in the face of his enemies, for *Taqiyya* cannot be practiced by committing sins.

They concluded that since it was forbidden for the Imamate to be nullified, they were obliged to return to the Imamate of Muhammad b. 'Ali, since he had left offspring and his acts were distinguished by probity and virtue³². Others of them even considered him as *al-Qaim al-Mahdi*³³ and some of them went as far as to deny his death³⁴.

E) Schism IV: The Qat'iyya

This faction constituted the greater portion of the Imamites. They believed that al-'Askari had died and left a son to succeed him, but they differed about the day of his birth, his name, and whether or not he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. For this reason they split into six groups:

i) The first group maintained that al-'Askari had died and left a son called Muhammad. According to Sa'd al-Qummi, they held that his son had come of age, while, according to al-Nawbakhti and al-Shahristani, they believed that he had been born two years before his father's death. He was the Imam because his father had designated him so, and because it was well-known that al-'Askari had left no other son. So inevitably he was the Imam and *al-Qa'im*.

But due to fear of his uncle, Ja'far, he went into concealment and this became one of his occultations. This group built their doctrine on a tradition attributed to Ja'far al-Sadiq, which says that *al-Qa'im's* date of birth is hidden from the people, information concerning him is obscure, and the people cannot know him³⁵.

Unfortunately little is known about this faction, but al-Saduq, while trying to prove the birth of the Twelfth Imam, mentions traditions which are presumably attributed to this faction's adherents. One of these was called Ya'qub b. Manfush, who claimed that he had visited al-'Askari and asked him about his successor. Al-'Askari showed him his son, who was between eight and ten years old, indicating that his son would succeed him. Another was called Daw' b. Ali al-'Ijli, who maintained that he had met al-'Askari in his house where he saw his son, who was then two years old³⁶.

ii) The members of this group held the same dogma as the previous faction. They agreed with them on the death of al-'Askari, but they thought that he had left a successor whose name was not Muhammad but 'Ali. They said that al-'Askari had no son except 'Ali, who had been seen by his father's trustworthy followers. According to Sa'd al-Qummi this sect had few adherents and they were concentrated within the suburbs of the Sawad of Kufa³⁷.

iii) This sect held that the Imam after al-'Askari was his son, who had been born eight months after his father's death and had then gone into concealment. They argued that those who claimed that a son was born to him during his lifetime were making false statements, because al-'Askari had died without leaving a publicly acknowledged son.

But the pregnancy had been known to the caliph as well as other people, and for this reason the caliph delayed dividing his share in the state until the pregnancy was proved invalid. In fact, they said, the son was born eight months after the death of his father and was ridden, and his father had ordered that he be called Muhammad.

They based their doctrine on a tradition attributed to the eighth Imam, 'Ali b. Musa al-Riḡa, which says, "*You would test the fetus which is within the womb of his mother, and the suckling child.*"³⁸

iv) The partisans of this faction held that al-‘Askari had no sons at all. The arguments about a hidden son, who was born during the lifetime of al-‘Askari, were rejected by them, because they had searched for him during the life of the eleventh Imam using various means, but had failed to find him.

But since the Imam cannot die without leaving an heir, they claimed that a slave girl had conceived a child belonging to al-‘Askari, and that when she gave birth to him he would be the Imam, even if, as they are reported to have said by al-Mufid, the pregnancy should last a hundred years. They established their doctrine on a tradition of al-Sadiq, which states that *al-Qa’im* is he whose conception and date of birth are hidden from the people³⁹.

v) This faction held that the Imam after al-‘Askari was his son Muhammad, who was the Awaited One (*al-Muntazar*). They claimed that he had died but would rise to life with the sword to fill the earth with peace and justice after it had been filled with tyranny and injustice⁴⁰.

This group is mentioned neither by Sa’d al-Qummi nor by al-Nawbakhti. Presumably the latter dealt with it but this discussion was later dropped from his work, since al-Mufid who based his information on al-Nawbakhti's work, mentions this group in *al’Uyun wa-l-Mahasin*⁴¹.

vi) This group, entitled the *Imamiyya* by Sa’d al-Qummi and al-Nawbakhti, held that al-‘Askari had died and that inevitably Allah's *Hujja* on earth was his son. He was his sole successor and legatee, charged with the affairs of the Imamate after him in accordance with the method laid down by previous tradition. Thus the Imamate should pass on to his offspring until the Day of Resurrection, but he was absent and hidden by an order.

It was prohibited to seek him out before he chose to manifest himself, because his adherents would endanger his life and their own if they looked for him. In spite of his occultation a few reliable followers could contact him⁴². He was born on 15th Sha’ban 256/29th July 868.⁴³

Basically this group directed their arguments against those factions which supported the Imamate of Muhammad and Ja’far. With the partisans of Muhammad they argued that the Imamate could be held neither by the descendants of Muhammad, who had died during his father's lifetime, nor by his legatee, such as his brother or someone else, because there was no evidence or proof for accepting the Imamate of a son who had died before his father. Perhaps this argument was also directed against the Nafisiyya.

Presumably with the Ja’farites they argued that the Imamate could not pass from brother to brother after al-Hasan and al-Husayn, and that the Imamate should fall to the eldest son of the preceding Imam. The eleventh Imam al-‘Askari, was designated by the testament of his father, so the Imamate had to pass to his offspring⁴⁴. They also said that it was improper for the faithful to select an Imam for themselves. Allah had to choose him and to manifest him at the proper time⁴⁵.

This faction constituted the majority of the Imamites who had accepted the Imamate of al-‘Askari, such as Abu Sahl Isma’il b. ‘Ali al-Nawbakhti, al-Hasan b. Musa al-Nawbakhti, Sa’d b. ‘Abd Allah al-Ash’ari

al-Qummi (the author of *Kitab al-Maqalat wa-l-Firaq*), 'Uthman b. Said al-Umari and his son Muhammad⁴⁶.

F) Schism V: The Cessation of the Imamate

This group held that from the moment al-'Askari died there was no longer an Imam. Al-Nawbakhti, al-Mufid and al-Shahristani considered this group as one faction, whereas Sa'd al-Qummi was presumably more accurate when he divided it into two⁴⁷, since the partisans of this schism agreed on the death of al-'Askari and the cessation of the Imamate, while they differed on the dogma of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* as follows:

i) The first group deemed that it had been confirmed by successive transmission that al-'Askari would die without leaving a successor. For this reason there was no Imam after al-'Askari and the Imamate ceased. This, they contended, was reasonable and permitted. Since the cessation of the prophecy after Muhammad was possible, the cessation of the Imamate was also possible.

They established their doctrine on a transmission attributed to the sixth Imam, Ja'far al-Sadiq, which states that the earth cannot be without a Proof unless Allah becomes angry at the sins of His creatures and retains him from them for as long as He wills. This group did not believe in the rising of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*⁴⁸.

ii) The people of the second group held the same doctrine as the previous faction, but they separated from them over the dogma of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. They said that since al-'Askari had passed away without leaving a successor, the Imamate had ceased until Allah raised the Qa'im from among the Imams who had died, such as al-Hasan al-'Askari, or from among any of his descendants.

Furthermore the rising of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* was inevitable because this was confirmed by successive tradition. They considered the period after the death of al-'Askari and the rise of *al-Qa'im* as an interval of time devoid of prophecy and Imamate, like the period between Jesus and Muhammad⁴⁹.

There is some evidence that many people from various countries doubted the existence of the Twelfth Imam, such as Muhammad b. Ali b. Mahzayar al-Ahwazi from al-Ahwaz⁵⁰, and many of the persons from Banu Taalib in Medina who had been agents of the eleventh Imam⁵¹.

G) Conclusion

This historical and theological survey suggests that on the death of al-'Askari, the Imamites fell into problems similar to those which had beset them after the death of the seventh Imam, Musa al-Kazim. They split into *al-Waqifa*, *Muhammadiyah*, *Ja'fariyya* and *Qat'iyya*. Possibly the causes of this split were as follows:

i) Although the bulk of the Imamites accepted the Imamate of al-'Askari, they retained their cultural and

sectarian backgrounds. For example, al-Askari himself had allowed his adherents to accept the traditions related by the Banu Faddal, who belong to the *Waqifa* Musa al-Kazim, but had urged them to reject their doctrine.

The influence exerted by these cultural ties can be noted in the traditional arguments held by the Imamites after the death of al-Askari, arguments which led to the rise of the *Waqifa* at al-Askari and the encouragement of the Ja'farites.

ii) Despite its developed system the Imamite organization (*al-Wikala*) did not widely disseminate the testament of Imam al-Askari concerning his successor amongst the populace and the lower missionaries. The organization may have acted in this way because of the tense political situation. Thus no successor was openly indicated by al-Askari, nor was any well-known to the general mass of the Imamites.

For this reason the Imamites who knew nothing about al-Askari's testament had recourse to the traditions adopted by the majority of the Imamites to determine who was to be the Twelfth Imam. The interpretation of these traditions led to various different points of view which, in turn, led to new subdivisions amongst the Qat'iyya and the *Muhammadiyya*.

iii) The third cause contributing to these divisions was the personal ambition of al-Askari's brother, Ja'far, who claimed the Imamate during the lifetime of al-Askari. Ja'far became more powerful after the death of his brother, because he was encouraged by the Fathiyya, in order to vindicate their doctrine, and especially by Banu Faddal in Kufa. However Ja'far's success did not continue, because his followers differed as to how he gained the Imamate, and because their arguments in the field of traditions were weaker than those of the Qat'iyya.

Thus, as al-Mufid and al-Tusi state, all these divisions and splits gradually vanished, except for the *Qat'iyya*, who became the Twelvers in the fourth/tenth century⁵².

2. The Question of the Birth of the Twelfth Imam

The possibility that the Twelfth Imam was born and his birth was kept hidden is supported by a number of narrations. The fact that there were already narrations about the Twelfth Imam as *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* gave rise to other narrations which can only be described as hagiographical. But from the time of al-Saduq onwards, even these were accepted by the Imamites as historical facts.

Nevertheless, other early narrations present his birth as a purely historical fact without the embellishment of miraculous reports.

A) The Origin of the Mother of the Twelfth Imam

The earliest Imamite scholar to give an account of the Twelfth Imam's mother is al-Mas'udi. He reports that she was a slave-girl called Narjis⁵³. Al-Shahid (d. 786/1384) states that her name was Maryam b.

Zayd al-'Alawiyya⁵⁴, and other reports give her name as Rayhana, Saqil and Sawsan.^{ss} It is possible that her name was in fact Narjis and the other names, except Saqil, were given to her by her owner Hakima bint Muhammad al-Jawad. People at that time used to call their slave-girls by different names as a form of flattery, and Narjis, Rayhana and Sawsan are all names of flowers.

The earliest report concerning the nationality of the Twelfth Imam's mother goes back to the year 286/899. This was written down for the first time by al-Saduq, on the authority of Muhammad b. Bahr al-Shaybani, who attributed his narration to Bishr b. Sulayman al-Nakhkhas. According to this report she was a Christian from Byzantium who had been captured by Islamic troops⁵⁵.

She was sold as a slave and bought by al-Nakhkhas in the slave-market in Baghdad. Al-Nakhkhas sent her to the tenth Imam, 'Ali al-Hadi, in Samarra. After this, however, the narration begins to lose much of its credibility and becomes hagiographical. It is related that she was Malika b. Yashshu', the granddaughter of the Emperor of Byzantium, whose mother was a descendant of Simon (Sham'un) the disciple of Jesus.

When Malika was in her grandfather's palace, she dreamt that she saw Jesus's mother, Mary, and Muhammad's daughter, Fatima. In this dream Fattima converts her to Islam and persuades her to allow herself to be captured by Islamic troops⁵⁶.

The authenticity of this narration is questionable in many aspects, the most doubtful points being found in the last part.

Firstly there was no major battle between the 'Abbasids and the Byzantines after 242/856⁵⁷ and there is no indication in the sources that the Emperor of Byzantium appealed to the 'Abbasids to liberate his granddaughter.

Secondly, the early Imamite authors, particularly al-Qummi, al-Nawbakhti, al-Kulayni and al-Mas'udi, who were contemporaries of al-Shaybani, the narrator of this report, do not refer to it in their works. In addition, al-Kashshi, who was a companion of al-Shaybani, and the later scholars al-Najashi and Ibn Dawud claim that he was an extremist ⁵⁸.

Thirdly, al-Kulayni states that *al-Qa'im*'s mother was a slave-girl from al-Nawba, the northern province of Sudan⁵⁹. Moreover al-Nu'mani and al-Saduq related other narrations which indicate that *al-Qa'im*'s mother was to be a black slave-girl⁶⁰. It may be that the later Imamites ignored these transmissions and considered the narration of al-Shaybani as authentic because the latter makes *al-Qa'im*'s mother of noble ancestry and high social status.

They would have been particularly attracted by the connection the report establishes between the Twelfth Imam, *al-Qa'im*, and Jesus, since prophetic traditions state that the two of them will rise together to rid the world of tyranny⁶¹.

In the light of these three points the narration of–Muhammad b. Bahr al–Shaybani can be rejected despite the fact that al–Tusi and Ibn Rustam al–Tabari consider it reliable⁶². Possibly the correct account of the origin of *al–Qa'im*'s mother is given by al–Mufid, who states that she was a slave–girl brought up in the house of Hakima, the sister of the tenth Imam. According to his report the Imam saw her one day and predicted that she would give birth to someone with special Divine blessing⁶³.

According to al–Saduq she died before the death of her husband, al–Hasari al–'Askari, in 260/874.⁶⁴ But al–Najashi's report indicates that she was alive after this year hiding at the house of Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Hamza, one of the close associates of her husband al–'Askari⁶⁵.

B) The Birth of the Twelfth Imam

Since the eleventh Imam died without leaving an obvious son, most of his followers, who held that he had in fact left a successor, based their belief on traditions attributed to the preceding Imams concerning *al–Qa'im* al–Mahdi and his occultation⁶⁶. The following statements, some of which we have already had occasion to relate, are examples of these traditions: The world cannot be void of a Proof, either manifest and well–known, or hidden because of fear⁶⁷.

The Imamate cannot be vested to two brothers after al–Hasan and al–Husayn⁶⁸. According to al–Sadiq, the "Master of the Command" (*Sahib al–Amr*) will have two occultations. One of them will be so prolonged that some will say that he has died and others will say that he has been killed, and finally only a few of his followers will remain faithful to his Imamate.

No one will become cognizant of his whereabouts and his affairs except his intimate partisans, who will look after his affairs⁶⁹. It is worth mentioning that this last tradition had also been recorded before the death of al–'Askari in 260/874 by the Sevener Imamites, the Waqifa who had applied these traditions to the seventh Imam Musa al–Kazim⁷⁰.

Abu Sahl al–Nawbakhti reports that al–'Askari had intimate partisans who used to narrate traditions concerning Islamic law on his authority and were his deputies. When al–'Askari died in 260/874 all of them agreed that he had left a son who was the Imam. Al–Nawbakhti adds that they forbade their adherents to ask about his name or to reveal his existence to his foes, who were at that time trying to arrest him⁷¹.

The 'Abbasids' political pressure, which forced al–'Askari to hide the birth of his son from the ordinary Imamites, may also have led the Twelfth Imam to transmit different reports concerning the date of his birth, some of which took on a hagiographical form.

Most of the Imamite sources agree that al–'Askari's son was born on Friday, the 15th of Sha'ban⁷², but they differ about the year of his birth. Al–Qummi gives an account of a group of Imamites who held that al– 'Askari had died and left a son called Muhammad, who had already come of age when his father died⁷³.

But they did not fix the year of his birth. Perhaps this group based their belief in the existence of al-'Askari's son mainly upon the tradition which says that the earth cannot be void of a Proof. Unfortunately al-Qummi does not report any witnesses to the birth of the Imam from this group to support their opinion.

Al-Kulayni, al-Mufid and al-Tusi mention four different viewpoints concerning the date of the Twelfth Imam's birth. The first was related by 'Allan al-Razi and al-Kulayni on the authority of 'Ali b. Muhammad, who states that the Twelfth Imam was born in 255/868.⁷⁴ Al-Tusi reports two narrations attributed to Hakima bint al-Jawad which support this date⁷⁵.

The second report states that he was born in 258/871. This report is attributed by Daw' b. 'Ali al-'Ijli to an anonymous Persian who related that in the year 260/873 he had come to Samarra from Persia to serve in the house of al-'Askari. According to the Persian one of al-'Askari's slave-girls had given birth and he saw the child in the arms of another slave-girl. He estimated his age at about two years⁷⁶.

Another transmission, on the authority of Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Bilal, relates that al-'Askari informed him twice about his successor: once in 258/871, then three days before his death in 260/874.⁷⁷

The narration attributed to Daw' does not mention explicitly the date of the Imam's birth nor the time when the narrator gave his estimation, whether it was before or after the death of al-'Askari. Regarding the narration of Ibn Bilal, although al-'Askari informed him about the existence of his successor in 258/871, this does not indicate or reveal that the birth of his son occurred in that year. In fact it leads us to think that the birth occurred before 258/871.

The third viewpoint was that of a group of Imamites who thought that al-'Askari's son had been born after his father's death in 261/874. They claimed that a slave-girl had conceived a child belonging to al-'Askari, and that her pregnancy had been known to the caliph as well as to other people.

Thus the authorities delayed the division of his share of the estate until the pregnancy was proved invalid to the caliph. They contended that the Imam was born eight months after his father's death, and was then hidden. Al-'Askari had commanded that he be named Muhammad⁷⁸.

From the historical viewpoint, the account given by this group is completely unconvincing and in itself does not encourage one to believe that al-'Askari had left a successor. Firstly, al-'Askari's slave-girl, Saqil, who had claimed that she was pregnant by her master, was detained by the 'Abbasid authorities for two years until the pregnancy was proved invalid⁷⁹. Secondly, al-Kulayni reports that Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari⁸⁰ once asked the eleventh Imam

*"O master, do you have a son?" He replied, "Yes." Abu Hashim said, "If something should happen to you, where should I ask for him?" The Imam said, "In Medina."*⁸¹

It is well-known that al-'Askari died in 260/874 and that Abu Hashim died the following year. Therefore

this narration would suggest that the birth occurred before 260/874. Thirdly, al-Mas'udi reports that the Twelfth Imam was born two years after the death of his grandfather, al-Hadi⁸². As the latter died in 254/869,⁸³ the birth would have occurred in 256/870.

Al-Mas'udi's narration adds weight to the fourth viewpoint, which places the birth of the Twelfth Imam in 256/870. The Imamite sources record many narrations in favour of this date⁸⁴. The most important one, however, is mentioned on the authority of Mu'alla b. Muhammad and Ahmad b. Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah, who related that al-'Askari issued a letter to his reliable followers after the assassination of al-Muhtadi, the caliph, saying,

"This is the punishment of him who has sinned against Allah, the Exalted, in regard to his legatees. He thought that he would kill me without offspring. Now he has seen the omnipotence of Allah, the Exalted."

The narration continues to report that in fact the Imam had a son called Muhammad, who was born in 256/870.⁸⁵

Al-Muhtadi was dethroned and died in Rajab 256/870.⁸⁶

Moreover all the Imamite narrators agree that the birth of the Twelfth Imam occurred on the 15th of Sha'ban. So if one links the death of al-Muhtadi in the month of Rajab with the letter of al-'Askari which was issued in the next month, Sha'ban, it would seem plausible that the Imam's birth occurred on the 15th of Sha'ban in 256/870.

Furthermore al-Mas'udi reports an anecdote attributed to Khadija bint Muhammad al-Jawad to support such a hypothesis. In 262/875 a certain man called Ahmad b. Ibrahim asked her about al-'Askari's successor, and she confirmed his existence, adding that he had taken over the Imamate on 11th Rabi' 1260/874 at the age of four years and seven months⁸⁷, which means that he was born on 15th Sha'ban 256/18th July 870.

It is worth quoting here a narration concerning the birth of the Twelfth Imam which was viewed as authentic by the time of al-Mas'udi, who died in 345/956. Al-Saduq presented it as follows and attributed it to Hakima bint al-Jawad, who related,

"Abu Muhammad al-Hasan b. 'Ali, peace be upon both of them, called on me with the message, 'O aunt, break your fast at our house tonight, because it is the fifteenth of Sha'ban. Tonight Allah, the Exalted, will manifest the Hujja, His Proof on earth.' (When I went to the house), I asked him who the mother of the child was. He said, 'Narjis.'

I said, 'May Allah make me your sacrifice! But there is no sign of pregnancy in her!' He said, 'What I am telling you is so.' Therefore I went in and greeted them. When I had taken my seat Narjis came forward to take off my shoes and said to me, 'My mistress and the lady of my family, how are you tonight?'

I said, 'Nay you are the mistress of myself and my family.' But she denied my speech and replied, 'What

are you saying, O aunt?' I said to her, 'O my daughter, tonight Allah the Exalted will give you a son who shall be the Master in this world and in the hereafter' She became embarrassed and blushed.

"After I had finished my evening prayer I broke my fast and then went to sleep. At midnight I woke for prayer. I performed my prayer while Narjis was sleeping, without any sign of childbirth. Then I sat down performing the supererogatory prayer. Thereafter I went to bed and got up again, but she was still sleeping. Then she got up, performed her supererogatory prayer and lay down again."

Hakima continued, "I went out to see the dawn and found that its first stage was about to appear. But she was still asleep. So I began to doubt al- 'Askari's expectation. Just then he called out from his place, 'Do not be in a hurry, O aunt, the matter is approaching.' I sat down and recited the Qur'anic suras Ha Mim al-Sajda (40) and Yasin (36). At that moment she got up alarmed. I ran to her and said, 'The name of Allah be upon you, do you feel anything?'

She replied, 'O aunt, yes.' Then I said to her 'Gather yourself and procure peace in your heart.' However at that moment we felt sleepy and drowsiness overcame us. After that I got up at the voice of my Master, and when I raised the covering from him I saw him, peace be upon him, prostrate on the ground⁸⁸. I took him to my bosom and noticed that he was pure and clean.

Abu Muhammad called out to me and said, 'O aunt, bring my son to me,' and I did so ... Afterwards al- 'Askari put his tongue in his mouth and gently stroked his eyes, ears and joints with his hand. Then he said, 'O my son, speak.' The child replied, 'I bear witness that there is no god but Allah, He is unique and has no partner, and I bear witness that Muhammad is the Prophet of Allah.' Then he sent his greetings upon the commander of the faithful (Amir al-Mu'minin), and upon the Imams respectively until he stopped at the name of his father. Then he stopped speaking.

"Abu Muhammad said, 'O aunt, take him to his mother, so that he may greet her, and then bring him back to me.' I took him to her and when he had done so I brought him back and left him there. Al-Askari said to me, 'O aunt, come to visit us on the seventh day.' The next day I came to greet Abu Muhammad and raised the curtain to see my Master. But I did not see him. So I asked the Imam, 'May Allah make me your sacrifice! What has happened to my Master?' He replied, 'O aunt, we have entrusted him to the one to whom the mother of Moses entrusted her son.'⁸⁹

Hakima said, "On the seventh day I came and greeted him and took my seat. Abu Muhammad said, 'Bring my son to me.' I brought him wrapped in a piece of cloth, and the Imam repeated what he had done on the first day and the child said what he had said before. Then he recited the Qur'anic verse"

And We desired to show favour unto those who were oppressed in the earth, and to make them Imams and to make them the inheritors. And to establish them in the earth, and to show Pharaoh and Haman and their hosts that which they feared from them. "⁹⁰

The hagiographical nature of this account is obvious. However, certain of its elements suggest

something about the nature of the birth. It seems that the pregnancy of Narjis was deliberately concealed, and a close relative was brought in to act as midwife only when the birth was due. If this was the case and a son was indeed born to al- 'Askari – the likeliest date being 256/870 – then the reasons for the concealment of the pregnancy and the birth would be the same as the reasons for the Imam's occultation.

3. The Reasons for the First Occultation of the Twelfth Imam

The early Imamite works mention three reasons for the occultation of the Twelfth Imam, reasons which mirror the new tactics of the Imams in their religious and political activities

Al-Sadiq was reported to have said that *al-Qa'im* would go into occultation before he rose again, because he would be afraid of being killed⁹¹. A second reason is mentioned on the authority of the Twelfth Imam, who was reported as having informed his partisan Ishaq b. Ya'qub that all his forefathers had paid the oath of obedience to oppressive rulers, but that he had hidden himself in order to rise in arms, and had made no oath of obedience to any oppressive ruler⁹².

This reason had already been mentioned by al-Hasan and al-Riḍā, who both said that *al-Qa'im* alone would not swear fealty to an oppressive ruler⁹³. The third reason is mentioned by al-Kulayni, who states that the occultation was a test set by Allah for his creatures, so as to see who would remain steadfast in acknowledging the Imamate of the Twelfth Imam⁹⁴.

These three reasons depict a new phase in the attitude of the Imamites toward their struggle for power. It appears that the quiescent policy of the Imams towards the 'Abbasid regime, along with their continued intellectual activities, had led the Imamite organization to a more politically developed situation.

This fact encouraged the Twelfth Imam to instigate underground political activities against the 'Abbasids. At the same time, he knew that certain followers of his forefathers had caused the failure of two bids for power in 70/689 and 140/757 by revealing the activities of the Imams to their enemies, which led to their arrest and the failure of their attempt⁹⁵.

Perhaps such incidents obliged the Twelfth Imam to live in a state of concealment even from his own followers so as to practice his underground activities through the Imamite organization and to evade any 'Abbasid bid to arrest him. This is indicated by many traditions commanding the Imamites to keep the name of *al-Qa'im* a secret⁹⁶.

Al-Kulayni reports that, after the death of al- 'Askari in 260/874, some people among his adherents asked the agent (wakil), Abu Abd Allah al-Salihi, to ask about the name and residence of the Twelfth Imam for them. When he did so, the answer was,

"If you reveal the name to them, they will reveal it in public; and if they realize the place of his residence they will lead foes to it."⁹⁷

Al-Kulayni mentions another report which asserts that the occultation of the Twelfth Imam was a preparatory step for the overthrow of the state of injustice⁹⁸.

It is relevant to study the plan of al-'Askari to hide his son and the 'Abbasid attitude towards the Imamites after the death of al-'Askari so as to see why the later felt it necessary to hide his son.

4. Al- 'Askari's Plan to Hide his Successor

The circumstances which accompanied the birth of al-'Askari's son suggest that al-'Askari wanted to save his successor from the restrictive policy of the 'Abbasids, which had been established by al-Ma'mun. Hence he did not circulate in public the news concerning the birth of his son, but only disclosed it to a few reliable followers, such as Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari, Ahmad b. Ishaq, and Hakima and Khadija, the aunts of al-'Askari⁹⁹.

Moreover he decided to move his son to a place safer than Samarra so that he could carry on his religious and political activities through the *Wikala* without suffering the interference of the 'Abbasids.

Study of the hagiographical and historical anecdotes concerning the first occultation of the Imam and his reappearance reveals that al-'Askari hid his son first in Samarra and then in Medina, where he lived under the guardianship of his paternal grandmother. According to al-Saduq, al-'Askari sent his son to an unknown place forty days after his birth, and then his son was brought back to his mother¹⁰⁰.

According to al-Mas'udi, three years later, in the year 259/873, al-'Askari asked his own mother, Hadith to go on the pilgrimage. He explained his critical position to her, gave her full instructions, and sent his son with her. His son had already received from his father the signs of the Imamate, the most esteemed name of Allah, the inheritance and the weapon.

Thereafter Hadith and her grandson went to Mecca under the protection of a close friend called Ahmad b. Muhammad b. al-Muttahir¹⁰¹. It appears that after they had performed the rite of pilgrimage they went to Medina, which was used as the place of concealment for the Twelfth Imam.

Many reports incline one to accept the above description of the Twelfth Imam's early life. As we have seen, Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari once asked al-'Askari where he should ask for his successor were he to die. Al-'Askari said, "In Medina." Another report states that the residence of *al-Qa'im* would be in Medina, surrounded by thirty intimate followers¹⁰².

All the traditions concerning the rise of *al-Qa'im* indicate that it will occur in Mecca¹⁰³. The Imamite sources record that the Twelfth Imam *al-Qa'im* went on the pilgrimage every year¹⁰⁴. Such evidence indicates that the Twelfth Imam was in an area not far from Mecca, perhaps Medina.

As part of al-'Askari's prudent fear, he made his manifest testament only to his mother, Hadith, and did not mention any successor openly to anyone else¹⁰⁵. From all this it seems most probable that the

Twelfth Imam spent most of his early life in Medina, because al-'Askari¹⁰⁵ recognised the danger which his son would face were he to remain in Iraq.

5. The Abbasid Attempt to Arrest al-'Askari's Son

The caliph al-Mu'tamid continued the 'Abbasid policy of putting the Imams under close watch and enforced it even more vigorously with the spread of the traditions concerning the role of the Twelfth Imam.

On hearing about the deterioration of al-'Askari's health, al-Mu'tamid sent five of his most trusted officers, amongst whom was his servant Nahrir, to the house of al-'Askari to watch over him. He also ordered the chief judge, al-Hasan b. Abi al-Shawarib¹⁰⁶, to send ten reliable people to participate in this task.

When al-'Askari died on 8th Rabi' I 260/1st January 874, the caliph sent a contingent to search his house. They sealed off all his estate and then looked for his son to the extent that they even brought women to examine his slavegirls in case any of them were pregnant¹⁰⁷.

Despite the fact that the primary investigation proved to al-Mu'tamid that al-'Askari died without leaving a son, the vast majority of the Imamites held that he had in fact left one¹⁰⁸.

According to the Imamite works, Ja'far, the brother of al-'Askari, who had already claimed to be the Imam and tried to succeed his brother, revealed to the authorities the Imamites' belief in the existence of al-'Askari's successor. Al-Saduq reports that a band of people from Qumm, among whom was Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Himyari, arrived at Samarra in ignorance of the death of al-'Askari with letters of inquiry and legal taxes.

There they learned about his death and were directed to Ja'far. They met him and wanted to indulge in the ceremonies which they had practiced before on such occasions.

They asked Ja'far to tell them about the amount of money they had brought and who had given it to them. Ja'far replied that he was no soothsayer and that the things the Imamites claimed about al-'Askari were mere lies, because Allah alone could know such things. He then told them to hand the money over to him, but they refused to do so, and their quarrel became public.

While they were arguing someone came, called them by name and led them to a house. There he showed them someone who was believed to be the agent of the Twelfth Imam and who revealed to them how much money they had brought. Therefore they accepted the Imamate of the Twelfth Imam. Having done so they were commanded that they should henceforth hand the money to a certain man in Baghdad¹⁰⁹.

According to al-Saduq, Ja'far went straight to the caliph, al-Mu'tamid, and informed him that the

Imamites still believed in the existence of a son of al-'Askari. Al-Mu'tamid immediately had this investigated by sending a band of soldiers with Ja'far to search the house of al-'Askari and the houses of the neighbours¹¹⁰.

They arrested a slave-girl called Saqil and demanded that she show them the child, but she denied having given birth to a child. According to al-Saduq, in order to save the life of the Twelfth Imam, Saqil claimed to be pregnant¹¹¹. Thereupon al-Mu'tamid incarcerated her in his harem for observation.

Under the supervision of Nahrir, the caliph's wives and slave-girls and the wives of the chief judge, Ibn Abi al-Shawarib, observed Saqil for two years until they felt that further observation was no longer necessary. When disturbances occurred in various parts of the Empire and the vizier 'Ubayd Allah Ibn Khaqan suddenly died, they ignored her completely¹¹².

Many reports indicate that while Saqil was imprisoned the 'Abbasids carried out a campaign of persecution against the Imamites and that Ja'far was behind it. In spite of the fact that the Imamites lost many people, all attempts on the part of the authorities to arrest the Twelfth Imam proved fruitless.

According to al-Mufid, al-'Askari wanted to deny the 'Abbasids the opportunity to find any trace which might endanger the life of his successor. Hence he devised a plan whereby according to his public will he left his estate only to his mother, Hadith¹¹³.

On hearing of the death of her son, she came from Medina to Samarra to take over the estate, but found that it was under 'Abbasid control. Furthermore, Ja'far quarrelled with her about his brother's inheritance, insisting on his right to the estate. He raised the case with the authorities, who were trying to confirm that al-'Askari had no son by interfering in it.

Hadith maintained that al-'Askari had made her his sole heiress, and that according to Imamite law Ja'far had no right to the estate of his brother¹¹⁴.

This quarrel went on for two years until the pregnancy of Saqil proved false. Although the judge adjudicated in favour of Hadith, Ja'far's claim was not disputed because of his influential connections. In the end the estate was divided into two parts, in spite of Imamite law¹¹⁵.

1. N. Firaq, 65-6,77,79.

2. The reports of the early Shi'ite authors like al-Kulayni, Sa'd al-Qummi and al-Mufid did not reveal any external cause for al-'Askari's death (al-Kafi, I, 509; Q. Maqalat, 101-2, al-Irshad, 377, 383, 389; al-Mufid, al-Muqni'a fi al-Fiqh [Iran, 1274], 72-5; and his Tashih l'tiqadat al-Imamiyya [Tabriz, 1371], 63,) but the later Shi'ite authors followed al-Tabari, who claimed that the Imam was poisoned or killed. He based this assumption on a tradition whose chain of transmitters related to al-Sadiq, who said "None of us die naturally, but are killed or martyred." (al-Tabarsi, Ilam al-Wara, 349; Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Tabari, Dala'il al-Imama [Najaf, 1369], 223, Ibn Shahr Ashub, Manaqib, IV,421; Bihar, L, 236-8, 335, Muhammad al-Sadr, Tarikh al-Ghayba al-Sughra [Beirut, 1972], 230-4).

3. Ibn Shahr Ashub, Manaqib, III; 533; Ithbat, 262; Subhi, Nazariyyat al-Imaina (Cairo, 1969), 394.

4. Al-Kulayni and al-Mufid report the same chain of transmitters for their information about al-'Askari's death (al-Kafi, I, 503-5; al-Irshad, 381-2, 389). Al-Nawbakhti agrees with Sad al-Qummi that Abu 'Isa prayed 'Askari's body (N. Firaq, 79;

Q. Maqalat, 102). But Sad dates al-ʿAskari's death in Rabi' II, which agrees with al-Mas'udi's report (Ithbat, 248). Al Kulayni's report seems to be more reliable than the latter, since it adds several supporting reports.

5. N. Firaq, 79; Q. Maqalat, 102.

6. al-Irshad, 389–90; Kama'l (Tehran 1378/1958), I, 101.

7. Al-Barqi and al-Kulayni mention many traditions with different chains of transmitters asserting that the world cannot be without a Proof (Hujja); al Barqi, al-Mahasin (Tehran, 1370/1950), 92, 234–6; al-Kafi, I, 178–80, 514; see also Dala'il, 229–30; Ahmad b. 'Ali al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj (Najaf, 1966), II, 48–9, 78.

8. al-Kafi, I, 285–6; al-Ghayba, 146.

9. al-Kafi, I, 276–7.

10. Q. Maqalat, 109.

11. Because of the way al-Shahristani classifies his information on these schisms, it seems that his study is based on the works of al-Nawbakhti and al-Ash'ari. Al Nawbakhti deemed the Imamite sub-divisions to be as many as fourteen, although his work in its present form counts only thirteen. Fortunately al Mufid, who discusses the various factions on the authority of al-Nawbakhti, mentions the fourteenth faction, which is missing from al-Nawbakhti's work. Al-Mas'udi does not give any details on the splits. Later al-Nawbakhti's work became more circulated than Sa'd al-Qummi's work, because the latter contains opinions on the occultation which contrast with the official opinion of the later Imamites from the fifth/eleventh century onwards; al-Qummi's book was gradually withdrawn from these circles; N. Firaq, 79; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 258–60; Muruj, VIII, 50, Milal, 130–1.

12. Kama'l, 40.

13. al-Kafi, I, 178–80, 514.

14. Q. Maqalat, 106; N. Firaq, 78–80; Milal, 129.

15. Q. Maqalat, 106–107.

16. al-Kafi, I, 178; al-Sharif al-Radi (ed.), Nahj al-Bahagha (Beirut, 1967), 497; N Firaq, 80–1.

17. Q. Maqalat, 107.

18. N. Firaq, 89–90.

19. Q. Maqalat, 111; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 259.

20. Al-Fathiyya: A Shi'ite sect which arose after the death of Ja'far al-Sadiq, the sixth Imam, who contended that the Imamate had passed on from al-Sadiq not to Musa but to his eldest son, 'Abd Allah, according to the tradition which says that the Imamate can only be vested in the eldest son, with the condition that he should be free from any bodily defects (al-Kafi, I, 285; Ikhtiyar, 282–3). They were also called al-Fathiyya as an allusion either to 'Abd Allah b. Ja'far because he had broad feet or was broad-headed, or it referred to their leader, who was called 'Abd Allah al-Aftah: N. Firaq 65; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 248–51; Ikhtiyar, 254.

21. N. Firaq, 93; Q. Maqalat, 111–2.

22. Al-bada' means the appearance of something or some knowledge after it has been concealed. In theological terms, it is a dogma which deals with the question of whether or not it is possible for God to change His decision about something. The theologians maintain that this is impossible, even if it often appears to happen, as for example when Ja'far al-Sadiq designated Isma'il as his successor according to God's command. For when Isma'il died, God then ordered Ja'far to designate Musa al-Kazim as his successor. So it seems that God changed his decision about who was to succeed Ja'far. But in fact, God's eternal knowledge never changes. What changes is the degree to which men are cognizant of that knowledge. Hence the doctrine of al-bada' states that God's ultimate judgement about something often appears to men only after first having been concealed. It declares that God cannot have changed His decision, for that would imply that His knowledge changes, or that He was ignorant and then gained knowledge. Finally, the Imamites hold that God does allow certain people to have knowledge of His ultimate judgement. Bandar, A., 'Aqidat al-Bada' (Baghdad, 1976); also a letter from the author dated 15th January 1978.

23. N. Firaq, 99; al-Shahristani's information concerning this sect is confused. He claims that Faris b. Hatim, not his sister, was a follower of Ja'far, but Faris had been assassinated by the order of al-Askari himself; see Milal, 129; Ikhtiyar, 524.

24. For the dogma of Faris b. Hatim, his political activities in Qazwm and Samarra, and his assassination, see Ikhtiyar, 522–8; al-Najashi, 238; T. al-Ghayba, 238.

25. Al-Nawbakhti and al-Qummi mention that a group maintained that Ja'far was the Imam after al-'Askari not by the testament of his brother but by that of his father. Thus they held that the adoption of the Imamate of al-'Askari was incorrect and that people should go back to the Imamate of Ja'far; N. Firaq, 82-3; Q. Maqalat, 110-1; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 259.
26. For this tradition see al-Kafi, I, 285-6; T. al-Ghayba, 146.
27. N. Firaq, 79; Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Rahman b. Qubba, al-Insaf fi al-Imams, quoted in Kama'l, 55.
28. Kama'l, 51-3.
29. Kama'l, 511; Bihar, LIII, 190-1.
30. Q. Maqalat, 112-3; N. Firaq, 88-9, Ibn Qubba, op. cit., quoted in Kama'l, 59. About Ibn Qubba and his work see al-Najashi, 290-1, T. al-Fihrist, 297-8.
31. Q. Maqalat, 103.
32. Q. Maqalat, 109.
33. N. Firaq, 84.
34. T. al-Ghayba, 128-9; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 259.
35. Q. Maqalat, 114; N. Firaq, 84-5; Milal, 130.
36. Kama'l, II, 78, 109-10; see al-Galbaygani, op. cit., 356.
37. Q. Maqalat, 114; T. al-Ghayba, 147; al-Mufid also mentions this faction but thought that they held the same dogma as al-Qat'iyya (The Twelvers); al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 259-60.
38. Q. Maqalat, 114; N. Firaq, 85; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 261; Milal, 130.
39. Al-Nawbakhti's information about this sect is confused, whereas al-Qummi and al-Mufid are much clearer in their presentation; N. Firaq, 85-6; Q. Maqalat, 114-5; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 260.
40. T. al-Ghayba, 60.
41. al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 260.
42. Q. Maqalat, 102-4; Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti, Kitab al-Tanbih, quoted in Kama'l, 88; al-Insaf quoted in Kama'l, 61.
43. This group dated his birth as mentioned but the later Imamites differ about it. Ibn Rustam al-Tabari dates it as 15th Sha'ban 257, while al-Kulayni mentions several traditions giving the dates 252, 255 and 256 respectively. Al-Saduq and al-Mufid follow al-Kulayni on this point. Some of the factions opposed to the Imamites may have abandoned their claims and joined the Imamites, who then accepted their differing transmissions concerning the birth of the Twelfth Imam; al-Kafi, I, 514-16; Dala'il, 271; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 258; Kama'l, 430.
44. al-Insaj; quoted in Kama'l, 55-6.
45. N. Firaq, 90-91.
46. Ibn al-Nadim, al-Fihrist, (Tehran, 1972), 225; Kama'l, 482; Q. Maqalat, 106.
47. Milal, 130-1; N. Firaq, 88-9.
48. Q. Maqalat, 107-8.
49. Q. Maqalat, 108-9; T. al-Ghayba, 147.
50. Kama'l, 485, 487.
51. al-Kafi, I, 518-9.
52. T. al-Ghayba, 142-6; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 261.
53. Ithbat, 248. For the later authors who named her as Narjis see al-Irshad, 390; T. al-Ghayba, 153, 158; 'Uyun, 32-3.
54. Bihar, LI, 28, quoted from al-Dirus.
55. Kama'l, 431-2.
56. Kama'l, 317-23.
57. Tabari, III, 1434.
58. Ikhtiyar, 147-8; al-Najashi, 298; Ibn Dawud, al-Rijal, 541.
59. al-Kafi, I, 323.
60. N. al-Ghayba, 84, 85, 120; Kama'l, 329.
61. Kama'l, 280, 345; al-Marwazi, Kitab al-Fitan, Mss fol, 150-63.
62. T. al-Ghayba, 134-9; Dala'il, 262-4.
63. al-Irshad, 390-1.

64. Kama'l, 431.
65. al-Najashi, 268.
66. Ibn Qubba, Naqd Kitab al-Ashhad, quoted in Kama'l, 113.
67. al-Kafi I, 178; N. Firaq, 91.
68. al-Kafi, I, 285–6; Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti states in his work al-Tanbih that the main argument used by the Imamites to prove the existence of the Twelfth Imam was the traditions of the preceding Imams, which had been narrated before the death of the eleventh Imam in 260/874; quoted in Kama'l, 92–3; also see N. Firaq, 90–91; Q. Maqalat, 102.
69. N. al-Ghayba, 90.
70. T. al-Ghayba, 90.
71. Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti, al-Tanbih, quoted in Kama'l, 92–3.
72. For example, see al-Kafi, I, 514; Kama'l, 424; al-Irshad, 390. However, Ibn Rustam al-Tabari mentions that al-'Askari's son was born on the 8th of Sha'ban, 257/870; Dalail, 272.
73. Q. Maqalat, 114; al-Saduq reports a narration the authority of Ya'qub b. Manfush who claimed that al-'Askari had shown him his son, whose age at that time was between eight and ten years old; Kama'l, 407.
74. al-Kafi, I, 329; al-Irshad, 390–391.
75. T. al-Ghayba, 150–151, 153.
76. al-Kafi, I, 514–5.
77. al-Kafi, I, 328; al-Irshad, 394.
78. Q. Maqalat, 114; N. Firaq, 85.
79. Kama'l, 33.
80. According to al-Tabari, Abu Hashim al-Ja'fari died in 261/875; Tabari, III, 1887.
81. al-Kafi, I, 328.
82. Ithbat, 251.
83. According to al-Kulayni the Tenth Imam, al-Hadi, died on 26 Jumada II, 254/2 June 869; al-Kafi, I, 497.
84. Kama'l, 432.
85. al-Kafi I, 329, 514; Kama'l, 430; T. al-Ghayba, 144.
86. Tabari, III, 1813; al-Kama'l, VII, 157.
87. Ithbat, 261–2.
88. According to al-Kulayni each Imam when he comes out from the womb of his mother puts his hands on the ground and holds his head towards the sky, and then recites some Qur'anic verses; al-Kafi, I, 386.
89. Kama'l, 424–6; the account of the birth of the Twelfth Imam has been related in the Imamites' works with some differences in detail. See Ithbat, 248–50; T. al-Ghayba, 150–4; Dalail, 269–70. All the Imamite sources agree that al-'Askari left only one son; al-Saduq, however, reports a narration on the authority of Ibrahim al-Mazyar which indicates that al-'Askari had two sons, Muhammad and Musa, who were living in Hijaz. A critical study of the context of the narration and its chain of transmitters suggests it was invented, mainly because the narrator Ibrahim b. al-Mazyar died before 260/874, whereas according to the narrative al-'Askari's sons were mature, and this is unlikely if the Twelfth Imam was born in 256/874; Kama'l, 445–53.
90. al-Qasas, 28: 5–6.
91. 'Ilal, 243–4; Kama'l, 24; N. al-Ghayba, 86–7; al-Kafi, I, 340; al-Murtada, Mas'ala wajiza fi al-Ghayba, I I; al-Fusul al-'Ashara, 16.
92. N. al-Ghayba, 101; Kama'l, 303, 485.
93. 'Ilal, 245; Kama'l, 316.
94. al-Kafi, I, 336.
95. al-Kafi, I, 369.
96. al-Kafi, I, 328, 330.
97. al-Kafi, I, 333.
98. al-Kafi, I, VIII, 247.
99. The Imamite works record the names of many individuals who saw the son of al-'Askari. One report attributed to

- Muhammad b. 'Uthman, the second Saf'ir of the Twelfth Imam, says that al-Askari gathered together forty of his reliable followers and showed them his son; Kama'l, 435; al-Kafi, I, 330-1; T. al-Ghayba, 148, 152
100. Kama'l, 429.
101. Ithbat, 247-8, 253.
102. al-Kafi I, 328, 240; N. al-Ghayba, 99-100; T. al-Ghayba, 149.
103. 'Abd al-Razzaq al-San'ani, al-Musannaf (Beirut, 1972), XI, 371; N. al-Ghayba, 98-9.
104. al-Kafi, I, 339.
105. al-Fusul al-'Ashara, 13.
106. Al-Hasan b. Muhammad was related to an Umayyad family called Al Abi al-Shawarib. During the 'Abbasid period most of his relatives worked in the office of Judge (al-Qada'). As part of his anti-shi'ite policy al-Mutawakkil included al-Hasan b. Abi al-Shawarib among his courtiers (Tabari, III, 1428). Later al-Mu'tazz appointed him chief judge in 252/866 (Tabari, III, 1684). Three years later he was discharged from his office, but recovered it during al-Mu'tadid's regime. He continued in this office until his death in Mecca in 261/875; Tabari, III, 1787, 1790-1, 1891, 1907.
107. al-Kafi, I, 505; Kama'l, 43.
108. Kama'l, 43.
109. Kama'l, 476- 478.
110. Kama'l, 473.
111. Kama'l, 476.
112. Kama'l, 474.
113. al-Fusul al-'Ashara, 13.
114. According to Imamite law, if a dead person leaves a mother and a son and a brother, the brother has no right to take anything from the estate; al-Saduq, almuqnia (Tehran, 1377), 171; Kama'l, 47, 58.
115. Muhammad al-Sadr, op. cit., I, 314.

1. A Brief Study of the Wikala Before the Twelfth Imam

As mentioned in Chapter Two, a critical situation the Imams faced, brought about by the 'Abbasids, forced the Imams to search for a new means to communicate with the members of their congregation. The Imamite sources indicate that the sixth Imam al-Sadiq was the first Imam to employ an underground system of communication (*alTanzim al-Sirri*) among his community¹.

The main purpose of the *Wikala* was to collect the *khums*, the *zakat*, and other kinds of alms for the Imam from his followers. Although the *Wikala* may have had other purposes at that time, the sources rarely record them. Al-Sadiq directed the activities of the organization with such care that the 'Abbasids were not aware of its existence.

As part of his prudent fear (*al-Taqiyya*), he used to ask some of his followers to carry out certain tasks for the organization without informing them that they were in fact his agents. Al-Tusi reports that Nasr b. Qabus al-Lakhmi spent twenty years working as an agent (*wakil*) for al-Sadiq, without knowing that he had actually been appointed as one.

Al-Sadiq's most important agent in Iraq was 'Abd al-Rahman b. al-Hajjaj, who continued in this office until his death, after the time of the eighth Imam al-Riḍa².

Mu'alla b. Khunays was al-Sadiq's agent in Medina. In 133/750 he was arrested by the 'Abbasids and sentenced to death because he refused to reveal the names of the Imamite propagandists³.

Despite the difficulties which faced the *Wikala* in its early stages, the areas covered by the agents and their training were extended during the time of al-Kazim as activities were intensified. The rite of pilgrimage was used as a means to communicate with each other. Al-Kazim's agent in Egypt was 'Uthman b. 'Isa al-Rawwasi⁴.

He also had agents in numerous other places, such as Hayyan al-Sarraj in Kufa, Muhammad b. Abi 'Umayr in Baghdad, and Yunis b. Ya'qub al-Bajli in Medina⁵. Al-Mas'udi's report suggests that all the agents received their instructions from 'Abd al-Rahman b. al-Hajjaj, who was then resident in Baghdad⁶.

The agents faced another campaign of arrests in 179/795 instigated by the caliph al-Rashid. It caused the Imamite organization considerable damage. The agent in Baghdad, Muhammad b. Abi 'Umayr, was arrested and tortured in the unfulfilled hope that he would reveal the names and locations of al-Kazim's followers, while his sister was put in jail for four years⁷.

Another agent, 'Ali b. Yaqtin, who used to send money and letters to the Imam through an individual called Isma'il b. Salam, was also arrested and spent the rest of his life in prison⁸. According to the Imamite sources the campaign of arrests led to the arrest of al-Kazim himself and to his death in prison⁹. Sixty other 'Alids also died under torture in prison¹⁰.

After the death of al-Kazim the members of the Imamite organization found themselves faced with an internal theological and political question involving the doctrine of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and his occultation. Al-Kazim's agents, such as al-Rawwasi in Egypt, Ziyad al-Qindi in Baghdad, 'Ali b. Abi Hamza and Hayyan al-Sarraj in Kufa, and al-Hasan b. Qayama in Wasit, had received many traditions attributed to al-Sadiq concerning *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* and his occultation, but these traditions did not explicitly state his identity¹¹.

Perhaps for this reason, they applied these traditions to the seventh Imam al-Kazim by denying his death and contending that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, but that he had gone into occultation¹².

Consequently, they rejected the Imamate of his son al-Riqa and split into a new group called the *Waqifa*, using the money of the organization to their own ends. As a result al-Riqa lost a considerable number of trained agents and over 100,000 dinars¹³.

Between the years 183–202/799–817 al-Riqa managed to solve this problem at least partially by clarifying to the members of the *Waqifa* the true nature of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, as transmitted on the authority of the previous Imams. According to al-Kashshi, he seems to have been able to persuade some of the members of the *Waqifa*, like al-Rawwasi and his followers to recognize his Imamate¹⁴.

Meanwhile the role of the *Wikala* was expanded to embrace the new needs and tasks of the congregation. Al-Riḡa's agents were 'Abd al-'Aziz b. al-Muhtadi in Qumm¹⁵, Safwan b. Yahya in Kufa¹⁶, 'Abd Allah b. Jandab and 'Abd al-Rahman b. al-Hajjaj in Baghdad¹⁷.

Along with another eighty agents 'Abd al-Rahman b. al-Hajjaj controlled the leadership of the organization through the time of the ninth Imam, al-Jawad¹⁸, who achieved considerable success in protecting the organization from new schisms. Moreover the tactics of his agents developed in new directions especially in widening the sphere of *al-Taḡiyya* (prudent fear) by allowing some of his partisans to participate in the administration and the army of the 'Abbasids.¹⁹

During the long Imamate of the tenth Imam, al-Hadi (220–254/835–868) new trends emerged amongst the Imamites due to historical circumstances, trends which were later to play a dangerous role during the time of the Twelfth Imam.

As was pointed out above (Ch. II), al-Mutawakkil practiced the policy of al-Ma'mun, who had made al-Riḡa and his son al-Jawad join his courtiers so that their links with their partisans could be restricted and closely watched. Al-Mutawakkil did the same with al-Hadi. In 233/847 he summoned him, from Medina to Samarra, where he spent the rest of his life²⁰.

The absence of direct contact between the Imam and his followers led to an increase in the religious and political role of the *Wikala*, so that the agents of the Imam gained more authority in running its affairs. Gradually the leadership of the *Wikala* became the only authority which could determine and prove the legitimacy of the new Imam.

For example the ninth Imam, al-Jawad, gave his testament concerning his successor to his chief agent Muhammad b. al-Faraj. He told him that in case he should die, he should take his orders from al-Hadi²¹.

When al-Jawad died in 220/835 the prominent leaders of the organization held a secret meeting at the house of Muhammad b. al-Faraj to determine the next Imam, who was proved to be al-Hadi²².

The agents of the Imam gradually gained a great deal of experience in organizing their partisans into separate units. Several reports suggest that the agents divided their followers into four separate groups according to area. The first included Baghdad, Mada'in, Sawad and Kufa, the second Basra and al-Ahwaz, the third Qumm and Hamadan, and the fourth the Hijaz, Yemen and Egypt.

Each area was entrusted to an independent agent, beneath whom many local agents were appointed. The workings of this system can be observed in letters of instruction attributed to al-Hadi concerning the organization's administration. It is reported that he sent a letter in 232/847 to his local agent, 'Ali b. Bilal, saying:

"I have substituted Abu 'Ali b. Rashid for 'Ali b. al-Husayn b. 'Abd Rabba. I have entrusted him with this

post since he is sufficiently qualified so that no one can take precedence over him. He has been informed that you are the chief (shaykh) of your own area, since I wished to invest you with that area. However, you have to follow him and hand all the revenues to collect over to him."

In a letter to his agents in Baghdad, Mada'in and Kufa, al-Hadi wrote,

"O Ayyub b. Nuh, I am commanding you to cut off relations between yourself and Abu 'Ali. Both of you should engage yourselves with what you have been entrusted and ordered to do in your areas. If you do so you should be able to manage your affairs without consulting me ... O Ayyub, I am ordering you neither to receive anything from the people of Baghdad and Mada'in, nor to give anyone amongst them permission to contact me.

*If anyone brings you revenue from outside your area, order him to send it to the agent of his own area. O Abu 'Ali, I am ordering you to follow what I have ordered Ayyub."*²³

This system saved the organization from otherwise inevitable damage after the harsh attack of al-Mutawakkil upon its underground political cells in 235/850. In the same way it was saved from the attack of al-Musta'in in 248/862.

It should be noted that during the time of the tenth and eleventh Imams, the leadership of the organization in the four areas, was monopolized by a few individuals. Their tasks later fell to their descendants and remained under their control during the shorter occultation of the Twelfth Imam. For example, 'Ali b. Mazyar was the agent of al-Jawad and al-Hadi in al-Ahwaz²⁴, while his sons were the agents of the Twelfth Imam in the same region²⁵.

Ibrahim b. Muhammad al-Hamadani was the agent of al-Hadi in Hamadan²⁶, while his offspring inherited this post from father to son until the time of the Twelfth Imam²⁷.

Another agent was Isma'il b. Ishaq b. Nawbakht²⁸, whose family later directed the members of the organization in Baghdad, while one of his relatives, al-Husayn b. Ruh, became the third *Saf'ir* or "representative" of the Twelfth Imam.

Among the agents, the most important was 'Uthmari b. Said al-'Umari, who, as we shall see, was brought up under the auspices of the tenth Imam, al-Hadi. He made him first his own agent and then the agent of his son, Imam al-'Askari. After the death of the latter 'Uthman controlled the whole leadership of the organization as the first representative of the Twelfth Imam, and his son Muhammad later succeeded him to the post, as the second *Saf'ir*.

The fact that the Imam's activities were underground made it easy for certain people to claim falsely to be the representatives of al-Hadi and al-'Askari, and thus to collect money from the Imamites. It seems that this practice was carried out by the extremists (*al-Ghulat*) and increased throughout the time of the Twelfth Imam at the expense of his rightful agents²⁹.

2. The Main Functions of the Wikala

For the Twelver Imamites the series of Imams ends with the Twelfth Imam, who, from the death of his father in 260/874 up to the year 329/940–1, is believed to have lived in occultation. According to al-Nu'mani this period was called the "short occultation," *al-Ghayba al-Qasira*³⁰, and according to later scholars the minor occultation, *al-Ghayba al-Sughra*.

It was of decisive importance for the organization and the internal evolution of the congregation. During it the Twelfth Imam is considered to have pursued his activities from behind the scenes and to have led his followers by means of four specially chosen representatives. These were called *sufara* (sing. *Saf'ir*) or "ambassadors."

The first was 'Uthman b. Said al-Umari, the second his son Muhammad, the third al-Husayn b. Ruh al-Nawbakhti and the fourth 'Ali b. Muhammad al-Sammari.

A critical study of the history of this period (260–329/874–941) reveals that the main function of the *Saf'irs* was to implement certain tasks previously undertaken by the Imams so as to save him from the political pressure of the 'Abbasids³¹.

His predecessors had suffered this pressure since the time of al-Ma'mun, especially since it was widely accepted among the Imamites of that period that the Twelfth Imam would be *al-Qa'im bi-l-Amr li-l-Zalat al-Duwal*, that is, "he who is to be in charge of eliminating the governments (of the oppressors by militant means).³²"

One of the ambassadors' tasks was to draw complete darkness over the name of the Imam and his whereabouts, not only as regards his foes, but even as regards his followers. Simultaneously the *Saf'ir* had to prove the existence of the Imam to his reliable adherents. This statement can be illustrated by a report of al-Kulayni. 'Abd Allah b. Ja'far al-Himyari once asked the first *Saf'ir* whether or not he had seen the successor of the eleventh Imam.

Al-'Umari, the *Saf'ir*, confirmed that he had seen him. But he added that people were forbidden to ask about his name, because if the government discovered his name they would certainly try to arrest him³³. In this way the first *Saf'ir* led the court of the caliph, al-Mu'tamid, to think that the eleventh Imam had died without a successor³⁴.

According to al-Kulayni's report, the conclusion reached by the 'Abbasids seems to have released the Imamites from the humiliation which they had suffered throughout the time of the previous Imams. The agents of the Twelfth Imam began to carry out their activities without being afraid of the authorities, since they were sure of the non-existence of the Twelfth Imam, and thus did not bother to investigate the Imamite's activities³⁵.

The activities of the *Saf'irs* also aimed at protecting the congregation from any more schisms by proving

the authenticity of the Imamate of al-‘Askari’s son. Towards this aim they employed those sayings of the Prophet and the Imams which indicate that the series of Imams will end with the Twelfth, who would then go into occultation³⁶.

The four *Saf’irs* carried out another task in the name of the Imam. They received and collected the taxes that the Imamites had previously paid to their Imams. According to the Imamite sources all the *Saf’irs* performed miracles before receiving the money so that their adherents would believe in their legitimacy. According to the Imamite belief, whoever proclaimed himself a *Saf’ir* and did not work miracles had lied about the Imam and was driven out of the organization³⁷.

The *Tawqi’at* (written and signed answers or pronouncements) attributed to the Twelfth Imam indicate that he neither gave any statement to elucidate his attitude towards the political and economic situation of his time, nor ordered his followers to implicate themselves in an open political struggle with their rivals, the ‘Abbasids.

In fact, it is reasonable to agree with Muhammad al-Sadr that by acting in this manner the Imam enabled his partisans to pursue their activities without attracting the attention of the ‘Abbasids by statements criticising their rule³⁸.

Moreover it seems most likely that in following this policy the Imam wanted his agents and propagandists to concentrate their efforts upon strengthening the size and quality of their party, until it developed its political means and ideology to a degree which might enable it to put its goal into action.

But the involvement of the agents in an immediate political struggle would have taken place at the expense of an increase in the size and the development of the ideological and political basis of the organization.

3. The Early Career of Uthman b. Sa’id

Most of the Imamite information concerning the activities of the four *Saf’irs* is attributed to al-Tusi in his work *al-Ghayba*. The latter depended mainly on two early missing works, that is, *Kitab fi Akhbar Abi Amr wa Abi Ja’far al-‘Umariyyayn* by Ibn Barina al-Katib, the son of the granddaughter of the second *Saf’ir*, and *Kitab Akhbar al-Wakil al-‘Arba’a* by Ahmad b. Nuh³⁹.

Unfortunately, the work of al-Tusi and other works give very few details concerning the background to the career of the first *Saf’ir*. We know that the latter was Abu ‘Amr ‘Uthman b. Sa’id al-Umari from the tribe of Asad.

Javad ‘Ali, whose opinion was followed by Rajkowski, thought that the grandfather of ‘Uthman was ‘Amr b. Hurayth al-Sayrafi al-Kufi, a well-known Shi’ite from Kufa who belonged to Banu Asad. According to Javad ‘Ali, since both belonged to the same tribe, both are known by the epithet al-Asadi⁴⁰.

But this cannot be accepted because there is no explicit evidence leading one to link the lineage of the two individuals. Nothing is known about the *Saf'ir* nor of his position in the congregation. Moreover, the year of his birth and the details of his youth have not been handed down.

It is said that at the age of eleven 'Uthman b. Said was contracted to become a servant in the house of the ninth Imam, al-Jawād, and that he never left his service. Later he became his gate-keeper and chamberlain. As the Imam's "right hand", he enjoyed his entire confidence and was entrusted with the execution of all his commissions⁴¹.

'Uthman b. Said occupied this same position of trust throughout the lifetime of al-Hadi, the tenth Imam⁴², who was watched carefully and suspiciously by the government of the day, so that he even avoided speaking with the individual members of the community.

For this reason, al-Hadi presented 'Uthman to those who found it difficult to consult him directly. He told them that 'Uthman was his trusted associate and a man of honour, and that whatever he did was done in the Imam's name⁴³.

Furthermore, al-Kashshi's account indicates that during the last ten years of the time of al-Hadi, the leadership of the underground organization (*al-Wikala*) was in 'Uthman's hands. He organized its internal affairs and systematized the relations between the centre of the organization and its branches in the remote provinces.

When the agent, 'Ali b. 'Amr, came to Samarra from Qazwin with money and contacted Faris b. Hatim, without knowing that the latter had been cursed by the Imam in 250/864, 'Uthman quickly moved his lieutenants to save the money and prevented 'Ali b. 'Amr from having contact with Faris b. Hatim⁴⁴.

'Uthman continued to hold this position of trust under the eleventh Imam al-'Askari, who appointed him using the same words as had his father. It is reported that al-'Askari had only informed a few of his followers that 'Uthman b. Said was his agent. However, at one point a group of Yemenite Shi'ites brought money to al-'Askari, and he revealed to them that 'Uthman was his agent and that his son, Muhammad, would be the agent of the Twelfth Imam, *al-Mahdi*⁴⁵.

According to another narration al-'Askari presented his successor to forty reliable Shi'ites, such as al-Hasan b. Ayyub, 'Ali b. Bilal, Ahmad b. Hilal, and 'Uthman b. Said. He informed them that they would not see him again and commanded them to obey 'Uthman during the concealment of the Twelfth Imam, because he would be his representative⁴⁶.

Moreover, during the last illness of the eleventh Imam, 'Uthman looked after him and cared for him. According to al-Tusi, he performed the last rites for the dead man, washed the corpse, wrapped him in his shroud and buried him. For the Imamites these are the unmistakable signs that 'Uthman was the rightful representative *Saf'ir* of the hidden Imam. They contended that 'Uthman did all this on the orders of al-'Askari⁴⁷.

4. The Career of the First Saf'ir

The first *Saf'ir* managed to satisfy the prominent Imamites who were already members of the organization that the Twelfth Imam was in a state of occultation and thus safe from his enemies, while also convincing them that he was the rightful representative of the Imam.

It appears that his occupation of the leadership of the organization during the time of the tenth and the eleventh Imams encouraged the agents to accept his claim and follow his instructions, without asking him to show a miracle or proof⁴⁸.

However, the ordinary Imamites, who had nothing to do with the organization, were confused by the occultation of the Imam and, as has been noted⁴⁹, held different views concerning the Twelfth Imam's successor. Many Imamites refused to pay the *khums* to 'Uthman b. Said unless he showed, by means of a miracle, that he had been rightfully appointed by the Twelfth Imam. This is illustrated by a narrative of al-Kulayni attributed to Sa'd al-Ash'ari al-Qummi:

"Al-Hasan b. al-Nadr, Abu al-Saddam and a number of others spoke together after the death of Abu Muhammad (the eleventh Imam) about the agents and decided to search for the new Imam. Al-Hasan b. Nadr came to Abu al-Saddam and said to him, 'I desire to make the pilgrimage.' Abu al-Saddam said to him, 'Delay it this year.'

Al-Hasan b. al-Nadr said to him, 'I am frightened by my dreams, so I must go.' He made Ahmad b. Ya'la b. Hammad his executor. The latter had devoted some money to the Imam. Hearing of al-Hasan's decision, he gave the money to al-Hasan and commanded him not to hand anything over without proof.

"Al-Hasan said, 'When I arrived at Baghdad I rented a house. Thereafter an agent brought me clothes and money and entrusted them to me. I said to him, "What is this?" He said to me, "It is what you see." Then another one brought similar goods, and a third one until they filled the house. Afterwards Ahmad b. Ishaq (the assistant of the Saf'ir) brought me all the goods he had. Thus I became confused. But later I received a message from al-Rajul (the Imam), peace be upon him, ordering me to take the goods to al-'Askar (Samarra).

. . . When I arrived there I received a message ordering me to bring the goods (to him). So I loaded them in the baskets of the carriers. When I reached the corridor of his house, I found a black slave standing there. He asked me, "Are you al-Hasan b. al-Nadr?" I said, "Yes." He replied, "Enter." So I entered the house, and then I entered an apartment, where I emptied the baskets of the carriers. . .

There was a curtain leading to another apartment. Someone called me from behind it, "O al-Hasan b. al-Nadr, praise Allah for His grace is upon you, and do not doubt, for Satan would be pleased if you waver." Thereafter he sent out two garments for me and said, "Take them, because you will need them." So I took them and went out.' "

Sa'd al-Ash'ari reports that al-Hasan b. al-Nadr departed and died in the month of Ramadan, and the two garments were used as his shroud⁵⁰.

This event was a clear proof to al-Hasan, because both his name and his doubts concerning the validity of the agents' activities had been revealed to him. Moreover, according to Sa'd al-Ash'ari, the two garments which al-Hasan had received were a prediction of his death, which occurred a month later.

If one studies carefully the circumstances surrounding al-Hasan b. al-Nadr from the time of his decision to investigate the activities of the agents until his death, one can surmise that the agents arranged them so as to remove his doubts.

They would have done so because al-Hasan b. al-Nadr was prominent amongst the Imamites of Qumm⁵¹, and his doubts might have affected the Imamites of his area. So perhaps the agents of Qumm informed the *Saf'ir* in Baghdad about his arrival there. This can be understood from the act of Ahmad b. Ishaq and the other agents who brought the clothes to al-Hasan's house and later sent him a letter ordering him to send the goods to Samarra.

There, it is reported, he met the Imam, who confirmed for him the validity of the agent's activities. One can discover from this example and many others not quoted here⁵² the means used by the *Saf'ir* to remove the doubts and confusion of the Imamites brought about by the concealment of their Imam, and to make them obey his instructions.

As has already been indicated the *Saf'ir* forbade his partisans to ask about the name of the Imam. Perhaps, their silence along with al-Askari's last will in which he bequeathed his endowments to his mother and placed her in charge of his affair without referring to his successor⁵³, encouraged the authorities to believe that the Imamites no longer had an Imam and, therefore, that any Imamite activities were useless. In doing so the *Saf'ir* gained a certain freedom to have communication with the Twelfth Imam and his followers. This is illustrated by a statement attributed to the *Saf'ir*:

*"The caliph thinks that Abu Muhammad (al-'Askari), peace be upon him, died childless. Thus his estate was divided and given to someone, who had no right in the estate but he (the Twelfth Imam) kept quiet. These are his agents carrying out their activities without being afraid that someone would stop them for investigation. If the (Imam's) name is identified, the (authorities) would start searching for (his whereabouts). So, by Allah, do not ask about his name."*⁵⁴

The belief that al-'Askari had no successor was circulated among some sunni scholars, such as Abu al-Qasim al-Balkhi (died around 300/912). In his account of the Imamite doctrine, he states, "In our time al-Hasan b. 'Ali died and had no son. Therefore they (the Imamites) became confused⁵⁵.

Gradually this belief was so disseminated among the non-Imamite circles that leading sunni scholars such as Ibn Hazm (d. 456/1063) and al-Shahristani (d. 548/1153) were encouraged to view it as a matter of fact⁵⁶. Later al-Dhahabi believed that al-'Askari left a son but he disappeared when he was

nine years old or less in 265/878, when he entered a cellar (*sardab*) in Samarra and was not seen again⁵⁷.

In other words the Twelfth Imam died during the lifetime of the first *Saf'ir*. But al-Dhahabi is a later historian, since he died in 748/1347. Moreover he does not give the source of his narration, nor does he state explicitly how al-'Askari's son died even though he presents his information concerning the concealment of the Twelfth Imam in the list of people who died in 265/878 to give the impression that he had passed away in that year.

The earliest report concerning the occultation of al-'Askari's son in the cellar is given by al-Kanji, who died in 08/1260, but he also does not mention the source of his information⁵⁸.

It is therefore most likely that al-Dhahabi based his report upon a belief common among the Imamite masses, that the Twelfth Imam had hidden himself in the cellar of his house. This belief spread after the fifth/eleventh century and later became popular among certain scholars, such as Ibn Khaldun⁵⁹.

Moreover, several reports in the early Imamite sources refute al-Dhahabi's narration and prove that the Twelfth Imam was alive after 265/878. Al-Tusi mentions that many of the Imamites received written answers to their letters from the Imam in the same handwriting as in the letters they used to receive during the lifetime of the first *Saf'ir*⁶⁰, and al-Saduq lists thirteen agents and forty-six ordinary Imamites from numerous cities who claimed to have seen the Twelfth Imam both during and after the time of the first *Saf'ir*⁶¹.

From this it is clear that al-Dhahabi's report is based on popular belief rather than upon sound historical facts. So it would be foolish to give credence to his claims concerning the death and occultation of the Twelfth Imam.

5. The Opposition to the First *Saf'ir*

As has been noted the occultation of the Imam resulted in the gradual expansion of the role of the *Saf'ir*. However it also made it easier for a pretender to the deputyship (*al-sifara* or *al-niyaba*) to practice his activities among the Imamites at the expense of the Imam's rightful representative. As we have seen, this was practiced mainly throughout the period of the short occultation by the extremists (*al-Ghulat*).

That they were extremists is indicated by a number of factors. Firstly, the claimant to the *sifara* believed in the incarnation of God (*hulul*)⁶².

Most of the claimants to the *sifara* from the time of al-Hasan al-Shari'i up until al-Shalmaghani claimed first that they were the agents of the Imam. Then when the Imam excommunicated them, they called people on their own account. Extremists had claimed to be the Imam's representative even before the occultation of the Twelfth Imam, but with a slight difference.

The claimant would first announce that he was the Gate (*Bab*) of the Imam, and then claim that he was a prophet. Al-Kashshi mentions many extremists who did so, such as Muhammad b. Furat, al-Qasim al-Yaqtini and Ali b. Haska⁶³.

The third factor indicating that the claimants were extremists is that certain links existed between the extremists active during the time of the tenth and eleventh Imams and the claimants who lived during the time of the short occultation.

According to al-Kashshi, Ali b. Haska was the teacher of Muhammad b. Musa al-Shari'i, al-Qasim al-Yaqtini and al-Hasan b. Muhammad b. Baba⁶⁴. The last of these was a close follower of Muhammad b. Nusayr, who led the extremists trend during the time of the eleventh Imam, and then claimed that he was the agent of the Twelfth Imam⁶⁵. Moreover, Ibn Nusayr was supported by some of Banu Furat, the descendants of the extremist Muhammad b. Furat⁶⁶.

According to al-Tusi, Abu Muhammad al-Hasan al-Shari'i⁶⁷ was the first to claim falsely to be the Imam's representative during the short occultation, but the Imamites cursed him and refused to accept him. Then the Twelfth Imam issued a *Tawqi*; in which he excommunicated al-Shari'i and announced the falseness of his claim⁶⁸.

Although al-Shari'i did not achieve immediate success, his following grew in strength and eventually he formed a strong threat to the leadership of the second *Saf'ir*.

6. The Imam's Wikala During the Time of the First Saf'ir

The main problem facing any historian dealing with the period of the short occultation is that most of the activities of the Twelfth Imam and his representatives were carried out underground. Perhaps for this reason, the Imamite scholars such as al-Kulayni, 'Abd Allah b. Ja'far al-Himyari, Sa'd al-Ash'ari and al-Hasan b. Musa al-Nawbakhti rarely mention the names of the Imam's agents, or refer to their activities or links with each other: however, they do refer to those of their activities which did not attract the attention of the authorities.

Therefore, the historical information concerning the underground activities of the agents is to be found scattered throughout the theological and heresiographical works much more than in the histories. Because of the nature of these works the historical information has taken on a heresiographical form. In addition, both questions asked by the Shi'ites and answers of the Twelfth Imam and his *Saf'irs* were collected during his time, but unfortunately, most of them have been lost.

Only a few are extant, especially in works dealing with the concealment (*Ghayba*). For example the second *Saf'ir* Abu Ja'far Muhammad b. 'Uthman, collected the pronouncements of his father, but his collection is not extant. However, many anecdotes which assist us in discovering the links among the Imam's agents and the nature of their activities have been recorded.

A) Iraq: The Centre of the Wikala

After the death of the eleventh Imam, the first *Saf'ir* had not the slightest reason to remain in Samarra, which was then the capital and the headquarters of the troops of the 'Abbasid dynasty, which had opposed the Imams from the very beginning.

Perhaps for this reason, 'Uthman b. Said wanted to carry out the activities of the organization beyond the surveillance of the authorities in the capital. Therefore he moved to Baghdad, where he made the area of alKarkh, which was inhabited by Shi'ites, the centre for the leadership of the organization⁶⁹.

A part of 'Uthman's prudent fear (*al-Taqiyya*) was to evade the investigation of the regime by not involving himself in any open political or religious arguments. He also disguised himself as a butter-seller (*samman*) and, used to bring money to the Imam in a butter-sack. Consequently he acquired the nickname al-Zayyat or al-Samman⁷⁰.

Al-Kashshi reports that his name was Hafs b. 'Amr al-'Umari⁷¹, which may have been a pseudonym he used when he held underground meetings with other agents.

It has been noted that the Twelfth Imam was sent by his father to Medina in 259/873. However, the first *Saf'ir* made Baghdad the centre of the organization. He followed the traditional geographical divisions of the Islamic provinces in organizing the underground political units (cells) of the organization. Nevertheless he took into consideration the size of each factional unit, the distance of each area from the capital, and its situation on the main roads.

According to al-Kashshi, 'Uthman b. Said was the head of the *Wikala* from the time of the eleventh Imam, in the sense that all the revenue sent by the adherents to the Imam through his agents was given in the end to 'Uthman, who in turn handed it over to the Imam⁷².

Many agents were situated below the *Saf'ir* in the ranks of the organization in Baghdad and in the other cities of Iraq, such as Hajiz b. Yazid al-Washsha', Ahmad b. Ishaq al-Ash'ari and Muhammad b. Ahmad b. Ja'far al-Qattan, the last two of whom were the chief assistants of the first *Saf'ir*.

Ahmad b. Ishaq was at first al-'Askari's agent for his endowments awqaa in Qumm⁷³. However, after the death of al-'Askari the sources begin to refer to his activities in Baghdad as assistant to 'Uthman b. Said in the financial affairs of the organization. AlKulayni reports that in 260/874 some people from the east doubted the validity of the agents after al-'Askari's death and for this reason they came to Baghdad. Along with other agents Ahmad b. Ishaq managed to remove their doubts⁷⁴.

The first *Saf'ir* may have summoned him from Qumm because he needed his service in Iraq after al-'Askari's death. According to Ibn Rustam al-Tabari, Ahmad b. Ishaq continued his career in the organization in Iraq until his death during the time of the second *Saf'ir*⁷⁵.

Muhammad al-Qattan was the second agent of the *Saf'ir* in Baghdad. In order to hide his activities he disguised himself as a cotton dealer. The agents used to bring money and letters to him hidden in cotton which he then took to the *Saf'ir*⁷⁶.

Ibn Rustam reports that in 261–3/875–6 the people of Dinawar collected 16,000 dinars, which were entrusted to a certain Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Dinawari. At Qarmisin⁷⁷ he collected 1,000 dinars more and some garments. After an intensive search in Baghdad and Sdmarra, he received in Samarra a letter describing the money and other items and ordering him to take them to 'Uthman b. Sa'id and to follow his instructions.

The latter ordered al-Dinawari to hand over the items to al-Qattan⁷⁸. It is reported that al-Qattan had dealings with an agent in Tus called al-Hasan b. al-Fadl b. Zayd al-Yamani. According to al-Mufid, al-Yamani used to deal with al-Qattan as if he were the *Saf'ir*⁷⁹.

The third agent of the *Saf'ir* in Baghdad was Hajiz. His relations with a large number of agents indicate that he held a high position in the organization. He was perhaps the connecting link between the agents in the eastern provinces and the *Saf'ir* in Baghdad, especially since al-Saduq and al-Kulayni mention certain persons from the cities of Balkh and Marv who contacted the Imam and his *Saf'ir* through Hajiz⁸⁰.

While the first *Saf'ir* seems to have led the affairs of the organization in Baghdad with the help of his three assistants, he may also have directly supervised the activities of his agents in the other main cities, such as al-Mada'in, Kufa, Wasit, Basra and al-Ahwaz.

In the last of these the leadership of the *Wikala* had been in the hands of Banu Mazyar or Mahzayar from the time of the ninth Imam. Al-Kashshi reports that the agent of the Imam in al-Ahwaz, Ibrahim b. Mazyar, had collected a large amount of money.

On his deathbed he revealed to his son Muhammad a special secret code and ordered him to hand the money over to the person who would disclose to him his knowledge of this code.

Al-Kashshi adds that when Muhammad arrived at Baghdad, al-'Umari the *Saf'ir* came to him and divulged to him the exact code, so he handed the money over to him⁸¹. It is clear from this report that the first *Saf'ir* had already agreed on the code with Ibrahim al-Mazyar so as to save the organization from infiltration and misuse by false agents.

According to al-Kulayni and al-Mufid, a few days later Muhammad received a letter of promotion indicating that he was installed in the post of his father in al-Ahwaz⁸².

This underground system of communication between the *Saf'ir* in Baghdad and the agent in al-Ahwaz was similar to other such systems which existed at this stage between the *Saf'ir* and his other agents in Iraq, such as Banu al-Rakuli in Kufa⁸³.

B) The Second Area: Egypt, the Hijaz and Yemen

The main centre for the organization in this area seems to have been Medina. It is reported that al-‘Askari had many agents there amongst the ‘Alids (*al-Talibiyyin*). However, after al-‘Askari's death, some of them denied the existence of his son, the Twelfth Imam.

According to al-Kulayni, those who held that al-‘Askari had left a son received letters confirming them in their posts, whereas the deniers did not receive such letters which showed that they were dismissed from their posts in the *Wikala*⁸⁴.

Another report indicates that the principal agent in Medina in 264/877–8 was Yahya b. Muhammad al-Arid⁸⁵. Unfortunately, the sources neither explain how the *Saf’ir* in Baghdad used to contact his agents in Hijaz, nor do they refer to the connecting links among the agents of Egypt, Hijaz and Yemen. However, it is most likely that the agents used the occasion of the pilgrimage to communicate with each other⁸⁶.

But it seems that the *Saf’ir* did not keep in direct contact with his agent in Medina and preferred to employ slaves who were mostly ignorant and irreligious as the connecting link. He did this to keep the attention of the authorities away from such activities.

The agents in Egypt followed the instructions of the agents in Hijaz, especially as regards their contact with the centre in Iraq. Al-Kulayni reports a narration attributed to al-Hasan b. ‘Isa al-Aridi, who was probably the agent in Mecca⁸⁷.

He says that after the death of al-Askari, an Egyptian came to Mecca with money for the Imam, but was confused because some people held that al-‘Askari had died without a son and that the Imam was his brother Ja’far, whereas other people informed him that al-‘Askari had, in fact, left a successor.

Afterwards he sent a certain person called Abu Talib to Samarra with a letter, probably a recommendation from the agent in Mecca. In Samarra Abu Talib first contacted Ja’far, asking him for proof so that he could accept his Imamate, but Ja’far could not produce any.

Therefore he went to the Gate (*Bab*, deputy), who gave him a strong proof that he was the rightful representative of the new Imam (the Twelfth), by revealing to him that his master, the Egyptian, had entrusted him with money to deal with according to his wish. For this reason Abu Talib handed over the money to the *Bab* and received a letter in reply to his letter⁸⁸.

Perhaps the agent in Mecca had sent forward complete information concerning the case of his Egyptian colleague.

Yemen was a traditional region for Shi‘ite tendencies. Al-Hadi had had agents there since 248/862,⁸⁹ and there were agents who had direct contact with ‘Uthman b. Said during the time of al-Askari⁹⁰.

According to al-Kulayni, the chief agent in Yemen during the time of the Twelfth Imam was Ja'far b. Ibrahim, who was related to a family working in the Imamite organization in Hamadan, Kufa and Yemen⁹¹. A report mentioned by al-Najashi indicates that the connecting link between the agents in Yemen and the first *Saf'ir* was 'Ali b. al-Husayn al-Yamani⁹².

C) The Third Area: Azerbaijan and Arran

The third area was Azerbaijan. According to Muhammad al-Safwani⁹³, the agent there was al-Qasim b. al-'Ala, who had held the post from the time of al-Hadi and who continued his activities from the province of Arran⁹⁴ during the time of the Twelfth Imam. The Twelfth Imam remained in touch with al-Qasim until the latter died during the time of the third *Saf'ir*, when his post was given to his son al-Hasan at the Twelfth Imam's order.

Al-Safwani does not mention the name of the connecting link between the agent of this area and the centre of the organization. However, he states explicitly that al-Qasim b. al-'Ala was in direct contact with the *Saf'ir* in Iraq through a messenger, who used to deal with him without revealing his name⁹⁵.

D) The Fourth Area: Qumm and Dinawar

It is well-known that Qumm was a traditional area for the Shi'ites, the bulk of whom were Arab⁹⁶, and that there were many endowments (*awqaf*) for the Imams in Qumm. Therefore, it probably received more attention from the first *Saf'ir*, who used to keep in direct contact not only with the agent of Qumm but also with the other agents in the province of Jabal.

The prominent agent in Qumm was 'Abd Allah b. Ja'far al-Himyari⁹⁷, who remained in this post during the time of the second *Saf'ir*⁹⁸. Moreover, there were many sub agents in numerous cities with a considerable Imamite population, such as Dinawar, whose agent in 261-3/875-6 was Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Dinawari. The agent in Qurmisin was Ahmad al-Madra'i⁹⁹.

E) The Fifth Area: Rayy and Khurasan

Al-Kashshi's account of the situation of the organization during the time of the tenth and the eleventh Imams indicates that the latter had several agents in various cities in Khurasan and the eastern provinces, extending as far as the city of Kabul. Those agents, along with other sub-agents, used to carry out their missions according to the direct instruction of the Imam. For example, al-'Askari sent Ayyub b. al-Nab to Nisapur as his agent¹⁰⁰.

However, the penetration of the movement into remote regions of the east, the rise of the Zaydite state in Tabaristan from 250/864, and the continual military activities of the Khawarij in Sijistan, which caused a great deal of trouble for Imamites¹⁰¹, all helped make it difficult for al-'Askari to supervise directly the activities in each area.

Therefore al-‘Askari issued a letter ordering the activities of the agents in Bayhaq and Nisapur to be linked with those of the agents in Rayy so that the two former cities could only receive his instructions from the agent in Rayy, who was to take his orders directly from ‘Uthman b. Said in Samarra.

According to this letter al-‘Askari appointed Ishaq b. Muhammad as his agent in Nisapur, commanding him to pay the dues to Ibrahim b. ‘Abda, his agent in Bayhaq and its districts. The latter in turn was commanded to hand the dues to the agent of Rayy, Muhammad b. Ja’far al-Razi or to the person appointed by al-Razi.

At the end of his letter the Imam pointed out that all the *khums* and other taxes which were sent by his followers should be given to ‘Uthman b. Said, who would then hand them to him¹⁰². Such a statement reveals that ‘Uthman b. Said was at the top of the organization before the death of al-‘Askari in 260/874.

After the death of al-‘Askari the first *Saf’ir* followed the system of communication which had been practiced before. Several anecdotes reveal that he directed the activities of this area through the agent in Rayy, al-Rgazi, who in turn directly supervised the activities of the agents in Bayhaq, Nisapur¹⁰³, and perhaps Hamadan.

There were many sub-agents of different ranks below the main agent in each city. Al-Najashi reports a narration which elucidates this system. He mentions that al-Qasim b. Muhammad al-Hamadani, Bistam b. ‘Ali and ‘Aziz b. Zuhayr were sub-agents in one place in Hamadan and carried out their task under the instructions and commands of al-Hasan b. Harun b. ‘Umran al-Hamadani¹⁰⁴. Al-Najashi does not explain how the latter used to contact the *Saf’ir*.

Al-Kulayni, however, reports that Muhammad b. Harun b. ‘Umran al-Hamadani, the brother of the agent of Hamadan, made his shops an endowment (*waqf*) to the Twelfth Imam and wanted to hand them over to his agent, whose identity was unknown to him.

Thereafter Muhammad b. Ja’far al-Razi, the agent of Rayy, received an order to take these shops as *waqf* ¹⁰⁵ in his capacity as *wakil* for the whole of Iran. This narration reveals that there was a strong link between the agent of Rayy and the agent of Hamadan and that the latter was below al-Razi in the ranks of the organization¹⁰⁶.

Since the agents in this area held different ranks within the organization, it is most likely that this system existed in the other areas of the organization as well.

7. The Death of the First Saf’ir

Despite the important role of the first *Saf’ir*, ‘Uthman b. Said, no one gives the date of his death. Modern historians have tried to supply plausible dates. Hashim al-Hasani thinks that the deputyship (*al-sifara*) of ‘Uthman b. Said continued until the year 265/879,¹⁰⁷ but he does not give any source for this information. In contrast Javad Ali states as follows:

*"Twenty years after the withdrawal of the Twelfth Imam, in the year 280/893, the first Saf'ir died, according to a tawqi, said to have been addressed by the hidden Imam to the son of the first Saf'ir and the Shi'ite congregation, in which after expressing sentiments of condolence on the death of such a pious man, the Imam appointed his son Abu Ja'far (Muhammad) as his successor."*¹⁰⁸

However, Javad Ali relied on al-Tusi, who only indicates that the narrator, Muhammad b. Humam, heard the narration from Muhammad al-Razi in 280/893; he does not cite any date for the death of the first *Saf'ir*¹⁰⁹.

Furthermore, it seems that the first *Saf'ir* did not remain in office for a long period, because al-Tusi reports that when Muhammad b. 'Uthman (Abu Ja'far) succeeded his father, a certain Ahmad b. Hilal al-Abarta'i, whose death occurred in 267/880–1,110 denied that Abu Ja'far was the *Saf'ir* of the Twelfth Imam after his father.¹¹¹ Hence the death of the first *Saf'ir* must have occurred after 260/874, the date of the death of the eleventh Imam, and before 267/880.

According to Ibn Barina, 'Uthman b. Sa'id was buried on the western side of Baghdad in the Darb Mosque. This mosque takes its name from its position at Darb Jibla, an avenue in the Maydan street¹¹². Al-Tusi confirms Ibn Barina's report when he states that he saw the grave in a place which he used to visit every month between the years 404/1013 and 433/1040.¹¹³

1. Javad 'Ali, op. cit., in *Der Islam*, XXV (1939), 212.
2. al-Ghayba, 224–5. Al-Tusi thinks that Ibn al-Hajjaj died during the time of al-Riḍā, but al-Mas'udi reports that he was still alive after al-Riḍā's death in 203/818; *Ithbat*, 213.
3. al-Kafi, II, 557; *Ikhtiyar*, 381; al-Saduq, *Man la Yahduruh al-Faqih* (al-Mashyakha), IV, 67. The date of his death is not mentioned. However, al-Kashshi reports that Dawud b. 'Ali, who killed Mu'alla, died a few days after Mu'alla, and according to al-Dhahabi, Dawud died in 133/750 (*Mizan*, II, 14). So the persecution of Mu'alla must have occurred in the same year.
4. *Ikhtiyar*, 459–60.
5. al-Najashi, 21, 231, 250, 348.
6. *Ithbat*, 213.
7. al-Najashi, 250.
8. al-Najashi, 209.
9. *Ikhtiyar*, 258; N. Firaq, 67–8, 'Uyun, 194–5.
10. 'Uyun, I, 89–90, II, 143.
11. For a full account of these traditions see Chapter I pp 17–30; however the Waqifa report a tradition attributed to al-Sadiq which states that al-Qa'im would be the seventh Imam; *Ikhtiyar*, 475; al-Kafi, I, 320–1
12. *Ikhtiyar*, 463–7, 475–8; T. al-Ghayba, 227–8.
13. 'Ilal, I, 235; T. al-Ghayba, 46–7; *Ikhtiyar*, 459–60, 466–7.
14. *Ikhtiyar*, 597–9.
15. *Ikhtiyar*, 483, 506, 591–2.
16. al-Najashi, 148.
17. T. al-Ghayba, 224–5; al-Tusi states that 'Abd Allah b. Jandab was the agent of the seventh and the eighth Imams but it seems that his career in the organization was earlier than that. According to Ibn Shu'ba, he was the agent of the sixth Imam, al-Sadiq; Ibn Shu'ba, *Tuhaf al-Uqul*, 223.
18. *Ithbat*, 213–5.
19. al-Najashi, 80, 98, 254; al-Tusi, al-Istibsar, II, 58–61; al-Kafi V, 111.

20. al- Ya'qubi, III, 217.
21. Ibn Shahr Ashub, Manaqib, IV, 389.
22. al-Kafi, I, 324.
23. Ikhtiyar, 513-4; according to another letter the agent of al-Hadi in Baghdad and its environs was 'Ali b. al-Husayn b. 'Abd Rabba. After his death in Mecca in 229/843, Abu 'Ali b. Rashid assumed his post; Ikhtiyar, 510.
24. al-Najashi, 191.
25. Kama'l, 442; al-Kafi I, 518.
26. Ikhtiyar, 608, 611-2, 557.
27. Ibn Dawud, al-Rijal, 248; al-Kafi, I, 519; Ikhtiyar, 608; al-Najashi, 265-6.
28. Ithbat, 215; al-Barqi categorized him as one of the close followers of al-Hadi; al-Rijal, 60
29. The representatives of this trend throughout the time of the tenth and the eleventh Imams were mainly such extremists as Ahmad b. Muhammad al Sayyari, Ja'far b. Waqid, Abu al-Samhari, 'Amr b. Yahya al-Dihqan, Faris b. Hatim al-Qazwini and Muhammad b. Nusayr al-Numayri; Ikhtiyar, 525, 529, 573, 606.
30. N. al-Ghayba, 92
31. al-Sadr, op. cit., I, 341-6.
32. T. al-Ghayba, 56, 109.
33. T. al-Ghayba, 57.
34. al-Kafi, I, 505; Kama'l, 441-2.
35. T. al-Ghayba, 157; al-Kafi, I, 330.
36. For a full account of the traditions which were used by the Imamites during the short occultation, see al-Kafi, I, 525-35; N. al-Ghayba, 26-47; al-'Asfari, Asl Abu Said al-'A fari, f. 1-3.
37. Kama'l, 476-8; Javad 'Ali, op. cit., in Der Islam, XXV (1939), 197-227.
38. al-Sadr; op. cit., I, 377-9.
39. al-Najashi, 343; T. al-Fihrist, 48.
40. Javad 'Ali, op. cit. in Der Islam, XXV (1939), 199; Rajkowski, op. cit., 667; al Najashi, 222; T. al-Fihrist, 243; al-Tusi mentions that the grandfather of 'Uthman was 'Amr, however, he does not link him with 'Amr b. Hurayth; T. al-Ghayba, 231.
41. Javad 'Ali, op. cit., in Der Islam, XXV (1939), 199.
42. Dala'il, 217.
43. T. al-Ghayba, 229.
44. Ikhtiyar, 526.
45. T. al-Ghayba, 229-31.
46. T. al-Ghayba, 231-2; Kama'l, 435; for a full account of those men see al-Najashi, 41, 202, 323.
47. T. al-Ghayba, 231.
48. Kama'l, 90, 441-2; al-Kafi, I, 329-30; T. al-Ghayba, 157.
49. For a full account see Chapter III.
50. al-Kafi, I, 517-8, 522-3.
51. Al-Kashshi gives his statement as regards al-Hasan b. al-Nadr along with his account of Abu Hamid al-Maraghi. He does not name explicitly the city which al-Hasan belonged to; Ikhtiyar, 535. According to al-Mustawfi Maragha is a large town, and was formerly the capital of Azerbaijan; al-Qazwini, Nuzhat al Qulub, 88. However, there is evidence to support the claim that al-Hasan b. al Nadr was a native of Qumm. Al-Saduq reports that al-Hasan was from Qumm and listed him among the people who saw the twelfth Imam; Kama'l, 442.
52. Al-Kulayni reports in his account of the birth of the twelfth Imam sixteen narrations, elucidating the activities of the first Saf'ir with his followers. Most of these narrations indicate that he practiced miracles to persuade them that he was rightfully appointed by the Imam; al-Kafi: I, 514-24, narrations nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12-17, 20, 21, 23, 28.
53. al-Fusul al-'Ashra, 13.
54. T. al-Ghayba, 157-8, al-Kafi, I, 329-30.
55. Abd al-Jabbar, al-Mugni (Cairo, 1963), II, 176; quoted from al-Balkhi.

56. Milal, 128; Fasl IV, 181, however some later sunni scholars such as Abu Nu 'aym al-Asfahini, 'Abd al-Wahhab al-Sha'ram al-Maliki, al-Kunji al-Shafi'i and Sibti b. al-Jawzi held that al-Hasan al-'Askari had, in fact, left a son. For full account of the later sunnite views concerning the existence of the twelfth Imam, see Sulayman al-Qanduri, al-Hanafi; Yanabi al-Mawadda (al Istana, n.d.), 451,471,491; Sadr al-Din al-Sadr, op. cit., 65-7.
57. al-Dhahabi, al-'Ibar, II, 31.
58. al-Kanji, op. cit., 336-7.
59. Ibn Khaldun writes that the twelfth Imam disappeared in a cellar in Hilla. However, Hilla was established in 495/1101 by Banu Mazyad whereas the occultation of the Imam, according to al-Dhahabi took place in 265/878. Thus it appears that Ibn Khaldun also relied in his report on the popular belief; al Muqaddima (Cairo, 1322), 157.
60. Al-Tusi states that the second Saf'ir saw the twelfth Imam in Mecca holding the drapes of the Ka'ba. According to another report a certain Yusuf b. Ahmad al-Ja'fari on his way to Syria in 309/921 saw the Imam (T. al-Ghayba, 162, 166). For a full account of the letters of the Imamites and their answers by the Imam, see T, al-Ghayba, 184-93; Muhammad al-Sadr, op. cit., 1, 403,430.
61. Kama'l, 442-3.
62. Abu al-Fida, al-Mukhtasar, II, 80-I; al-Kamil, VIII, 219-20.
63. Ikhtiyar, 518, 520, 555.
64. Ikhtiyar, 521.
65. According to al-Tabrani (a Nusayri writer), the Nusayriyya movement was established by 'Ali b. Ahmad al-Tarba'i, who during the time of al-'Askari gained thirty-five partisans in the village of Tarba' and other followers in Ninawa near Hilla. Then he attracted Muhammad b. Nusayr to his side. The latter led the movement along with his student al-Husayn b. Harridan during the time of the short occultation. In 336/947 the movement became independent from the Imamites, and gave more emphasis to the role of the Gate (Bab) than the Imam himself; al-Tabrani, Sabil Rah at al-Arwah, in Der Islam, XXVII (1946), 129-31.
66. T. al-Ghayba, 259.
67. Al-Tusi mentions that al-Shari'i was an adherent of the tenth Imam and that he is not sure about his real name. Al-Kashshi mentions a certain Muhammad b. Musa al-Shari'i or al-Sharif amongst the Ghulat during the time of the tenth Imam. It is most likely that he is the same person discussed by al-Tusi; Ikhtiyar, 521
68. T. al-Ghayba, 258.
69. Javad 'Ali, op. cit., in Der Islam, XXV (1939), 203; In his account of al-Karkh district al-Baghdadi states that many places were inhabited by Rafidites (Shi'ites); al-Khatib, I, 81.
70. T. al-Ghayba, 229.
71. Ikhtiyar, 532.
72. Ikhtiyar, 580.
73. al-Qummi, Tarikhi Qumm, 211.
74. al-Kafi, I, 517-8.
75. Dala'il, 272, 275-7.
76. Bihar, LI, 316-7.
77. Qarmisin: A small town in the province of Jabal about thirty-one farsakhs from Hamadan. Ibn Khurdadhba, al-Masalik wa-l-Mamalik (Leiden, 1889), 41, 198.
78. Bihar, LI, 300-3; Dala'il, 283-5.
79. al-Irshad, 399. For the relations of al-Qattan with the eastern provinces, see Ikhtiyar, 535.
80. Kama'l, 488, 499; al-Kafi, I, 521; Bihar, LI, 294, 295-6.
81. Ikhtiyar, 531.
82. al-Kafi, I, 518; al-Irshad, 397.
83. al-Fusul al-'Ashara, 17; According to al-Mufid Banu al-Rakuli were the agents of the Imam in Kufa; however, after the death of the first Saf'ir, the sources begin to refer to Banu Zuzara and Banu al-Zajawzi as the agents in Kufa. The two different names. الركولي الزجوزجي seem to refer to one family. Perhaps the correct spelling of this name is الزجوزجي but the copyist of al-Mufid's work misread it as الركولي. T. al-Ghayba, 198-200.

84. al-Kafi, 1, 518–9.
85. Kama'l, 496–7; al-Saduq reports that al-'Aridi knew the place of the twelfth Imam in Medina and guided a person from Kashmir to the Imam; Kama'l, 497, 440.
86. al-Irshad, 401.
87. al-Kafi, I, 523.
88. al-Kafi, I, 523. Al-Mufid relates the same report but both of them did not give the name of the agent of the Imam in Samarra, al-Irshad, 401.
89. Ikhtiyar, 527; al-Kafi, I, 519.
90. T. al-Ghayba, 216.
91. al-Najashi, 264.
92. al-Kafi, I, 519–20.
93. According to al-Tusi, al-Safwani was the assistant of al-Qasim b. al-'Ala during the time of the third Saf'ir; another report indicates that he met the second Saf'ir in Baghdad in 307/919; T. al-Ghayba, 203–5
94. Al-Safwani reports that Arran was a city in Azerbaijan, but it is well known among the geographers that Arran is a province and that its capital was Barda'. It is included in the great triangle of land lying to the west of the junction point of the rivers Ayrus (Kur) and Araxas (al-Ras); T. al-Ghayba, 204.
95. T. al-Ghayba, 204.
96. Ibn Hawqal, al-Masalik wa-l-Mamalik, 264; al-Subki, op. cit., III, 230, 233.
97. T. al-Ghayba, 229–30.
98. al-Najashi 162–3.
99. Bihar, LI, 300, quoted from Kitab al-Nujum.
100. Ikhtiyar, 542–3, 527.
101. Al-Kashshi's report indicates that the relations between the Khawarij and the Imamites in Sijistan were tense. He states that al-Fadl b. Shadhan escaped from the Khawarij when they attacked Bayhaq, but he died during his escape; Ikhtiyar, 543. Al-Isfahani reports that the Khawarij killed an 'Alid called Muhammad b. Ja'far b. Muhammad; Maqatil, 453.
102. Ikhtiyar, 509–10, 575–8.
103. al-Kafi, I, 523–4; Ikhtiyar, 509–10, 575–80.
104. al-Najashi, 264–5.
105. al-Kafi, I, 524.
106. Although there is no clear statement concerning the links between al-Razi and Hamadan. there is ample evidence that al-Razi controlled the activities of all the agents in Iran, so it is more than probable that he directed those of al-Hasan b. Harun, especially in view of this narration.
107. Hashim al-Hasam, op. cit., II, 568.
108. Javad Ali op. cit., in Der Islam, XXV (1939), 205.
109. T. al-Ghayba, 235.
110. al-Najashi, 65.
111. T. al-Ghayba, 260.
112. T. al-Ghayba, 232. Although Ibn Barina states that the location of the grave was on the western side of Baghdad, today there is a grave within a mosque located in an avenue leading to the Maydan crossroad on the eastern side of Baghdad. The Imamites believe that this is the grave of 'Uthman b. Said.
113. T. al-Ghayba, 232–3.

1. The Designation of the Second Saf'ir, Abu Ja'far

The second *Saf'ir* was Muhammad b. 'Uthman b. Said al-'Umari. His kunya was Abu Ja'far. He carried out his activities first as the agent of the Twelfth Imam and then as his *Saf'ir* for about fifty years, having

been the principal assistant of his father, the first *Saf'ir*, from the time of the eleventh Imam, al- 'Askari. According to al-Tusi, when the first *Saf'ir* died, Abu Ja'far carried out the last rites for the dead man, washed the corpse, clad him in his shroud and buried him.

For the Imamites, these are the unmistakable signs that Abu Ja'far was acting as the representative and the *Saf'ir* of the hidden Imam. According to them he did all this at the order of the first *Saf'ir*. Moreover he was promoted to the office of the *sifara* both by the designation of al- 'Askari and of the first *Saf'ir*, 'Uthman b. Said, according to the order of the Twelfth Imam¹.

After his father's death, Abu Ja'far received a letter of condolence attributed to the Twelfth Imam, who offered his sympathy at his father's death and pointed out that he had been installed in his office².

However, some of the principal agents of the organization had serious reservations and doubts about his designation, as did Muhammad b. Nusayr, the leader of the extremist trend, the Ghulat. So Abu Ja'far did not succeed to his office without encountering certain difficulties.

A) Ibn Hilal

Ahmad b. Hilal al- 'Abarta'i was one of those who denied the validity of Abu Ja'far's designation. He was born in the year 180/796 in 'Abarta', a village in the district of Askaf near Nahrawan, and died in the year 267/880. It is clear from the numerous references to his name in the chain of transmissions (*isnad*) of the Imamite narrations that he was a well-known theologian who had narrated most of the Shiite books of traditions (*usul*).

Beginning in 204/819 he had related several traditions indicating, that the Twelfth Imam would be *al-Qa'im*, having first gone into occultation³.

Al-Kashshi reports that he was a pious mystic and an eminent scholar, and some biographers respectfully mention the fact that he undertook fifty-four pilgrimages to Mecca, twenty of them on foot⁴. According to a letter attributed to the Twelfth Imam, Ibn Hilal was one of the most important scholars in Iraq during the time of the first *Saf'ir* and possessed an amount of money belonging to the Imam, which he refused to pay to the second *Saf'ir*⁵.

The Imamite reports of his refusal to obey Abu Ja'far's instructions are confused and contradictory so it is rather difficult to discover the true state of affairs. According to al-Najashi Ibn Hilal had already been cursed by al- 'Askari, who had spoken against him on several occasions⁶.

Sa'd al-Qummi states that he abandoned Shi'ism and embraced the *nasb* doctrine⁷, whereas al-Tusi accused him of holding an extremist doctrine (*ghuluw*)⁸.

It is clear that the different accusations made against him are attempts to define his beliefs rather than explanations of his dispute with the second *Saf'ir*. Al-Tusi mentions a report attributed to an agent called Muhammad b. Humam, which states that Ibn Hilal denied neither the existence of the Twelfth Imam nor

the validity of the office of the first *Saf'ir*.

When Ibn Hilal was questioned about this by the Imamites, he replied that the Imam had personally installed the first *Saf'ir*, 'Uthman b. Said, and made this known 'to all the faithful, but he had not done any such thing for Abu Ja'far⁹.

Because of Ibn Hilal's attitude towards the second safar he was expelled by the Imam in a letter distributed amongst the agents in Iraq which warned that the mystical practices of Ibn Hilal grew from hypocrisy and dissimulation¹⁰.

Despite the fact that the eminent agents of the organization already knew the cause of Ibn Hilal's excommunication, some of the Imamite narrators in Iraq who were not agents were astonished at the excommunication of such a pious mystic, and so they rejected the pronouncement. In fact the considerable support for Ibn Hilal may have been brought about by the Iraqi narrators who did not know about the dispute between Abu Ja'far and Ibn Hilal concerning the *sifara*.

They asked the agent al-Qasim b. al-'Ala to inquire about the pronouncement against Ibn Hilal, and he put this question in writing to the Imam via the second *Saf'ir*. The Imam replied:

"Ibn Hilal was an incorrigible sinner and Allah will not forgive his sins. He behaved like a stubborn and arrogant person. Moreover, he has kept for himself money given for the Imam and refused to carry out our commands unless they suit his wishes. However we kept our composure until Allah fulfilled our prayer by taking away his soul.

*Concerning his case, we had informed a few of our close associates (mawali) during his time and ordered them to reveal it to the intimates among our adherents. . . There is no excuse for any of our followers to doubt the statements of our confidantes (thuqatuna), because we reveal these statements to them."*¹¹

This document shows clearly that Ibn Hilal had died, but that in spite of the Imam's strong criticism of him, the second *Saf'ir* could not completely eliminate the danger he had stirred up for his office. Al-Kashshi reports that a group of people remained firm in their loyalty towards Ibn Hilal and doubted the authenticity of the above pronouncement¹².

In fact the loyalty of this body to Ibn Hilal was mainly based not upon his inward spiritual faith, but upon his external and personal mystic acts. According to the Imamite doctrine, the worship of Allah is invalid without the recognition of and obedience to the Imams¹³. Hence the mystic acts of Ibn Hilal were invalid, because he refused to recognise the second *Saf'ir*, who had been installed by the Imam himself.

According to al-Tusi, the influence of Ibn Hilal continued until the time of the third safar, Ibn Ruh, who circulated another *Tawqi'* on the authority of the Imam against Ibn Hilal¹⁴:

"Although there are those among the Imamites who do not understand how such a great and pious man

could have been excommunicated, what is piety if it please Allah to transform good deeds into sins? Al-Dihqan¹⁵ was also a pious man in the service of the Imam, yet Allah eventually changed his faith into impiety because of his arrogance. This also happened to Ibn Hilal¹⁶

In fact it is hard to attribute this Tawqī' to the time of the third *Saf'ir*, Ibn Ruh 305–326/917–937), because during that time there is no trace of the influence of Ibn Hilal's claim upon the Imamites. It is most likely that Ibn Ruh circulated this pronouncement against Ibn Hilal on the order of the second *Saf'ir* while he was still working as an agent beneath him, especially if one bears in mind that Ibn Hilal died in 267/880, a long time before Ibn Ruh held the *sifara*. It would appear that Ibn Hilal's challenge had vanished before the death of the second *Saf'ir* in 305/917.

B) al-Bilali

According to al-Tusi, a second opponent to Abu Ja'far's claim to the *sifara* was Abu Tahir Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Bilal, who was commonly called al-Bilali¹⁷. He was well known in Imamite circles as a narrator of traditions¹⁸. Furthermore he was amongst the principal agents in Baghdad and had close relations with both the tenth and the eleventh Imams¹⁹.

The latter described al-Bilali to Ishaq b. Ibrahim al-Nisaburi with this phrase: "He is reliable, trustworthy and is acquainted with what he must do."²⁰ Moreover, according to al-Kulayni and al-Saduq, al-'Askari twice revealed to al-Bilali the birth of his son, the Twelfth Imam: firstly in 258/871 and then three days before his death ²¹.

However, al-Bilali denied that Abu Ja'far was the *Saf'ir* and claimed that he himself was the agent of the Imam. He kept the money of the Imam in his own hands and refused to relinquish it to Abu Ja'far. Al-Bilali's claim led to a serious split amongst the Imamites, since a considerable body of Imamites accepted his claim and followed him, such as his brother Abu al-Tayyib and Ibn Hirz²². But al-Tusi neither fixes the date of this split nor the duration of al-Bilali's claims.

It is most likely that al-Bilali's claim lasted only for a few years after the death of the first *Saf'ir*, by which time Abu Ja'far had proved himself to be the rightful *Saf'ir* of the Imam. Al-Tusi reports that Abu Ja'far arranged a secret meeting between al-Bilali and the Twelfth Imam to prove to him that he was acting on his behalf. Abu Ja'far took him to one of his houses where, it is said, they met the Imam, who ordered al-Bilali to hand the money over to Abu Ja'far²³.

Al-Tusi's report reveals that al-Bilali's importance in the organization was such that Abu Ja'far had to arrange this secret meeting with the Imam in Baghdad to put an end to the doubts raised by al-Bilali, doubts which had led to a split among the agents. According to al-Tusi, shortly after this meeting Abu Ja'far went to al-Bilali's house, where his close supporters like Ibn Hirz and Abu al-Tayyib were assembled. Abu Ja'far asked al-Bilali,

"O Abu Tahir (al-Bilali), I ask you by Allah, did the Sahib al-Zaman (the Twelfth Imam), peace be upon

him, not command you to hand the money (of the Imam) which you have over to me?" Al-Bilali replied, "Yes." Then Abu Ja'far left the house.²⁴

It is clear from this report that by these two steps Abu Ja'far managed to refute al-Bilali's claim. Al-Tusi mentioned one person who abandoned his support of al-Bilali and took Abu Ja'far's side, and perhaps other supporters did likewise, because the existent sources do not refer to any trace of this split during the last years of the second *Saf'ir's* time in office, a fact which probably indicates that it had ended.

C) Muhammad b. Nusayr

A third rival to Abu Ja'far for the office of the *sifara* was Muhammad b. Nusayr, who was not an ordinary Imamite, but belonged to the extremists, (al-Ghulat). It has been noted that some of the extremists, first during the time of the ninth Imam (such as Ja'far b. Waqid, Abu al-Ghamr and Hashim b. Abi Hashim), then during the time of the tenth and eleventh Imams (such as 'Ali b. Haska al-Hawwari al-Qummi and his students al-Qasim al-Sharani al-Yaqtini, al-Hasan b. Muhammad b. Baba al-Qummi and Muhammad b. Musa al-Shariqi or al-Shari'i), had claimed that they were the agents or the Gates (*Abwab*) of the Imam and had collected money from the ordinary believers. They also preached extremist ideas aimed at abolishing the Islamic rites, that is, the prayer, fast, *zakat* and pilgrimage.

According to Al-Kashshi their roots lay in the extremist doctrines of Ibn Abi al-Khattab²⁵, the contemporary of the sixth Imam al-Sadiq. However, the presence of the Imams had limited the activities of the extremists, since the Imams used to have direct contacts with their followers and circulated open letters of excommunication against false agents.

But the occultation of the Twelfth Imam enabled the *Ghulat* to extend their role as agents or Gates (*Abwab*) at the expense of the *Saf'ir*, since the *Saf'ir* could not refute their claim by declaring publicly that he was the true Imam's *Saf'ir*, a declaration which might put his life and the Imam's life in danger. For this reason, when al-'Askari died, Muhammad b. Musa al-Shari'i claimed – as has been noted – that he was the Gate (*Bab*) of the Imam.

According to al-Tusi, after the death of al-Shari'i, Muhammad b. Nusayr al-Numayri aspired to the office of the *Saf'ir*²⁶.

This shows that both men may have been representatives of a single trend. According to Al-Kashshi, Ibn Nusayr had already claimed that he was the *Bab* of the tenth Imam²⁷. Al-Tusi's account suggests that he had abandoned this claim during the lifetime of the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari. It seems that only after the death of the first *Saf'ir* did he dispute the legality of Abu Ja'far as the *Saf'ir* and claim that he was the *Bab* of the Twelfth Imam.

Therefore Abu Ja'far cursed and excommunicated him. Having been excommunicated, Ibn Nusayr tried to make Abu Ja'far change his mind, but he did not even receive him, so the Imamites excluded him from their community²⁸.

Ibn Nusayr was encouraged in his claim by Muhammad b. Musa b. Furat, who belonged to the well-known family of Banu Furat²⁹.

He was a well-known *muhaddith* in Kufa and Baghdad and seems to have been the first man from Banu Furat to hold an important administrative office in the 'Abbasid government³⁰.

With the support of Banu Furat, Ibn Nusayr was able to circulate his claim amongst the Imamites, and, because of the participation of the two of them, their sect was known as "*al-Numayriyya al-Furatiyya*"³¹. The vast majority of their followers who came from Mada'in, and were called al-Ishaqiyya after one of their leaders, Ishaq b. Muhammad al-Ahmar³².

It appears that Ibn Nusayr's claims neither weakened Abu Jafar's position nor attracted any of his followers to Ibn Nusayr's side, so the latter's influence must have been limited mainly to the extremists.

According to al-Nawbakhti a certain Ahmad was supposed to have been designated as the successor of Ibn Nusayr, but a Nusayri work refers to a certain Muhammad b. Jundab as Ibn Nusayr's successor in the view of the majority of the Nusayriyya. It is said that he was in turn followed by Muhammad al-Junbulani³³.

But this occurred at a later stage after the death of the second *Saf'ir* in 305/917, so it is beyond the topic of this section. However, it is worth mentioning that, from the time of second *Saf'ir* onwards, the followers of Ibn Nusayr separated themselves totally from the direct control of the second *Saf'ir*, Abu Ja'far, who in the Imamite view was the only representative of the hidden Imam. Moreover the Nusayriyya gave more importance to the role of the *Bab* than to the Imam himself, which was proof enough to place them outside the circle of the Twelver Imamites.

2. The Activities of Abu Jafar, the Second *Saf'ir*

A) The Career of Abu Ja'far

Despite the difficulties faced by Abu Ja'far because of the false agents Ibn Hilal, al-Bilali and Ibn Nusayr, he continued to direct the underground activities of the organization, extending its role amongst the Imamites. At the same time he followed in the first *Saf'ir's* footsteps by trying to prove the existence of the concealed Imam to the reliable Imamites. He sought to circulate amongst them the idea that the Imam's occultation had taken place because of divine intervention, that it was a vindication of the traditions related by the previous Imams, and that he, Abu Ja'far, was the rightful *Saf'ir* of the hidden Imam³⁴.

Furthermore, he sought to make it possible to continue his duties without being harassed by his encouraging the belief of the authorities, originally fostered by the first *Saf'ir*, that al-'Askari had died without leaving a successor." He hoped that the government would therefore relax, content in the belief that an uprising was unlikely, because the Imamites no longer had an Imam to rally and lead them.

The dual plan of Abu Ja'far as regards the existence of the hidden Imam can be seen in several narrations. At one time, a certain Hamdan al-Qalansi asked Abu Ja'far about al-'Askari's successor. Abu Ja'far replied, "Al-'Askari has died, but he has left amongst you a successor whose neck is like this"³⁵, and he indicated the size of his neck as a sign that al-'Askari's son had attained maturity.

According to al-Saduq, Abu Ja'far made the same statement to the well-known scholar 'Abd Allah b. Ja'far al-Himyari, when the latter asked him about al-'Askari's sons³⁶. Furthermore on another occasion Abu Ja'far informed him as follows:

*"The Sahib al-Amr (the Imam) attends the pilgrimage every year and sees the people and knows them, while they see him but do not recognise him." He added that he had seen the Imam at al-Ka'ba holding its drapes and praying, "O Allah, avenge me against my enemies."*³⁷

However, despite the fact that Abu Ja'far revealed the existence of the Twelfth Imam to al-Himyari, he warned him against trying to discover his name. The authorities were content that al-'Askari had died without leaving a successor³⁸, but if they were to come to know the name of the Imam and his whereabouts, they would search for him and endanger both his life and the life of his agents³⁹. Other reports indicate that the second *Saf'ir* instructed other agents similarly.

A careful study of the activities of the agents reveals that the second *Saf'ir* managed in fact to keep the existence of the hidden Imam secret from the 'Abbasids until the early years of the reign of al-Mu'tadid (278-89/891-901).

Perhaps this was due to the wise instructions issued to his agents by Abu Ja'far who, as a part of his prudent fear (Taqiyya), carried out his activities unnoticed and in secret. Like his father before him he disguised himself as a butter-seller and acquired the nickname *al-Samman*⁴⁰.

He used pseudonyms in his underground meetings with some of his agents. According to al-Kashshi, Abu Ja'far's name was Muhammad b. Hafs al-'Umari⁴¹, whereas his real name was Muhammad b. 'Uthman b. Sa'id, and it might be that the first name was a pseudonym.

Furthermore a careful study of the chain of transmitters (*asanid*) of the Prophetic traditions and the sayings of the Imams shows that there is no reference to his name as a narrator, whereas most of the *Tawqi'at* (written and signed answers or pronouncements) attributed to the eleventh and Twelfth Imams concerning legal matters came on the authority of Abu Ja'far⁴².

This fact reveals that he did not wish to implicate himself in any open discussions of religious matters, so that he could hide his real position amongst the Imamites from the government and convey communications between the hidden Imam and his followers, free from the interference of the authorities.

B) The Agents of the Second *Saf'ir* in Baghdad

It has been noted that the first *Saf'ir* had three agents in Baghdad, Ahmad b. Ishaq, Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Qattan, and Hajiz al Washsha'. It appears that the responsibilities of the second *Saf'ir*, Abu Ja'far, were greater than that of the first, since according to al-Tusi he had ten people beneath him in Baghdad. to run the affairs of the organization.

Amongst them was al-Husayn b. Ruh al-Nawbakhti, who later became the third *Saf'ir*.⁴³ Al-Tusi does not name the other agents, but it is most likely that the three agents of the first *Saf'ir* were amongst them, since these three served the organization in Baghdad during the time of the second *Saf'ir*, who had direct contact with them⁴⁴.

Al-Qattan was the most active amongst the agents in Baghdad. According to al-Saduq, he had seen the Imam⁴⁵. Al-Kashshi describes his reliability when he relates that, "After Abu Ja'far, there was no one on earth closer to the origin (the Imam) than he" ⁴⁶, because he used to be the direct mediator between the Imam and the Imamites via the second *Saf'ir*, although the Imamites had no knowledge whatsoever of his relationship with Abu Ja'far.

Perhaps for this reason a certain agent called al-Hasan b. al-Fadl, who used to deal with al-Qattan concerning legal affairs, thought that he was the *Saf'ir*.⁴⁷

The available sources make it rather difficult to detect the names of the rest of the agents in Baghdad, particularly if one bears in mind the fact that Abu Ja'far continued in his office for about fifty years. It is therefore probable that some of the agents, like Ahmad b. Ishaq, al-Qattan and Hajiz, had died and been replaced by other agents. Ibn Rustam al-Tabari reports that Ahmad b. Ishaq died in Hilwan on his way to Qumm, but he does not fix the date of his death⁴⁸.

According to al-Saduq, when Hajiz died his office was filled by Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Asadi al-Razi⁴⁹. Al-Qattan seems to have continued his activities after the years 279-282/891-894, when the government tried to arrest him after discovering the existence of the Twelfth Imam⁵⁰. He also carried out his activities during al-Mu'tadid's regime (279-289/891-901),⁵¹ but there is no reference to him after that period, which may indicate that he died around that date.

Al-Tusi gives several accounts of the last will of the second *Saf'ir* in 305/917 which includes the names of the eminent agents at that time. He reports:

"During Abu Ja'far's last illness, the heads of the Shi'a congregated around him. Among them were Abu 'Ali b. Humam, Abu 'Abd Allah b. Muhammad al-Kdtib, Abu 'Abd Allah al-Baqtani, Abu Sahl Isma'il b. 'Ali al-Nawbakhti, Abu 'Abd Allah al-Wajna, and other leaders. They asked him, "If something happens to you, who will succeed you?"

He said to them, "This is Abu al-Qasim al-Husayn b. Ruh b. Abi Bahr alNawbakhti. He will be in charge

*of my office and will be the safar between you and the Sahib al-Amr (the Imam), because he is his agent and is honest and trustworthy. So consult him in your matters, and depend on him in your needs, because I was ordered to announce this proclamation."*⁵²

According to another narration Ibn Ruh and Ahmad b. Ja'far b. Matil were amongst those who attended this meeting. The agents thought that, in the case of the death of the second *Saf'ir*, either Ja'far b. Ahmad b. Matil or his father would succeed him, because of their close relations with him⁵³.

These two reports disclose the names of the chief agents of Baghdad around the year 304–5/916–7 and the fact that the agents Ahmad b. Ishaq, Hajiz and al-Qattan, who had been in office in Baghdad from the time of the first *Saf'ir*, had died, as has already been mentioned. Likewise they show that some of the other agents from the time of the first *Saf'ir* were still living and had been promoted to high ranks, like al-Baqtani and al-Wajna ⁵⁴.

C) The Relationship of the Second *Saf'ir* to the Agents in the other Provinces

Apart from his agents in Baghdad, Abu Ja'far had other agents in various provinces, who were mediators between the provincial Imamites and the Twelfth Imam in legal inquiries and the collecting of the khums.

He had three principal agents in Iraq. His agent in Kufa was Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Zajawzji, whose family had controlled this office since the time of the first *Saf'ir* and who was to hold this office during the time of the third *Saf'ir*.⁵⁵

According to al-Tusi and al-Najashi, the Twelfth Imam also had contacts in Kufa with two people from the family of Zurara: Muhammad b. Sulayman (237–300/851–912),⁵⁶ and his uncle 'Ali b. Sulayman, who died around the year 313/925.⁵⁷

It seems that they were in fact cooperating with al-Zajawzji and were working under his instruction in their areas. The family of Zurara had been well-known for their allegiance to the Imams from the time of their great-grandfather Zurara b. A'yun (d. 150/767), the companion of the Imams al-Baqir and al-Sadiq⁵⁸.

His grandson Sulayman had been the agent of the tenth Imam, al-Hadi, both in Kufa and Baghdad. Furthermore, Ahmad, the son of Muhammad b. Sulayman, worked during the time of the third *Saf'ir* under Ahmad al-Zajawzji⁵⁹, which indicates the close relationship between the latter and the family of Zurara.

In al-Ahwaz the deputyship of the Imam was still in the hands of Muhammad b. Ibrahim al-Mahzayar. It is said that he received an order from the Imam to follow the instructions of the second *Saf'ir*.⁶⁰

According to al-Saduq one member of this family, Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Mahzayar, doubted the existence of the Twelfth Imam. His case was raised with the Imam, who issued a letter in which he

confirmed that Allah would remove his doubt and lead him to recover his faith 61.

The second *Saf'ir* extended his activities to new areas. According to al-Saduq his main agents in Wasit were Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah al Ha'iri and al-Hasan b. Muhammad b. Qatat al-Saydalani. The latter was the Imam's agent for endowments (*wakil al-waqf*) in Wasit, and was contacted by the *Saf'ir* through Ja'far b. Muhammad b. Matil⁶².

Al-Mawsil was another area in which the second *Saf'ir* gained partisans, and al-Tusi mentions a certain Muhammad b. al-Fadl al Mawsili, who had accepted Abu Ja'far as the *Saf'ir* of the Imam⁶³. Abu Ja'far himself succeeded in attracting some influential people to his side in that area. Al-Irbili reports that al-Husayn b. Hamdan (from the famous ruling family, the Hamdanids) became the governor of Qumm in 296/908.

After he had been dismissed from his office, he contacted the second *Saf'ir* in Baghdad. He paid him the *khums* on all the money he had earned while in office as a sign of his obedience to the Twelfth Imam. As a result of his belief, the rest of his family also converted to the Imamite doctrine⁶⁴.

In Samarra the agent was Abu al-Qasim al-Hasan b. Ahmad. According to al-Saduq, he had direct contact with both the second *Saf'ir* and the Imam⁶⁵.

Meanwhile all the affairs of the Imamites' institutions in Iran were controlled by the agent of Rayy, Muhammad b. Ja'far b. Muhammad al-Asadi al-Razi. He had direct contact with the second *Saf'ir* and previously he had been answerable only to the first *Saf'ir*. The other agents of the Iranian provinces were instructed to pay the legal taxes to him.

He continued in this office until his death in 312/924.⁶⁶ According to al-Tusi, at this time al-Qasim b. al-'Ala was the agent of the second *Saf'ir* in Azerbaijan. He continued in his office until the time of the third *Saf'ir*, Ibn Ruh⁶⁷.

The second *Saf'ir* carried out extensive secret activities with his agents, whom he used to meet in various districts of Baghdad. During the period of the caliph al-Mu'tadid (279–289/892–901) the agents from the remote provinces like Qumm used to contact the second *Saf'ir* and convey money and goods to him through traders who had no knowledge of the relationship between those who sent the goods and Abu Ja'far as the second *Saf'ir*. On the contrary they brought these goods from Qumm to Abu Ja'far thinking that he was involved with the traders only commercially⁶⁸.

In his relations with his agents Abu Ja'far was careful to leave no trace which the authorities could use against him and which would jeopardise both his own life and that of the Imam. Al-Saduq reports a secret meeting between Abu Ja'far and Ibn Matil, one of the ten agents in Baghdad. Abu Ja'far took Ibn Matil to a ruin in al-'Abbasiyya in Baghdad,⁶⁹ where he read a letter written to him by the Twelfth Imam and then tore it to pieces⁷⁰.

To evade any government spies Abu Ja'far also did not implicate himself in any direct contact with agents from remote provinces. He used to order any person who brought letters or money to put them in a certain place, and he would give him no receipt⁷¹.

Another narration indicates that the second *Saf'ir* had established a complicated system of communications between himself and the agents in the Imamite areas. There seems to have been a secret code reserved for the *Saf'ir* and his agents, while the messengers were sometimes ignorant of both the contents of their messages and the code. For example, Abu Ja'far once sent messages to Samarra with one of his reliable partisans, but the messenger inserted a letter amongst the other messages without the *Saf'ir's* knowledge. In Samarra he received answers to all the letters except his own⁷².

The second *Saf'ir* sometimes dealt directly with certain agents with whom he had previously communicated indirectly. The career of Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Aswad is a good example of such a case. According to al-Saduq, al-Aswad used to bring the legal taxes for the Imam to Abu Ja'far, who commanded him to hand them over to the well-known scholar al-'Abbas b. Ma'ruf al-Qummi⁷³, and did not offer him any receipt⁷⁴.

However, we later find al-Aswad dealing directly with the second *Saf'ir* and the third *Saf'ir*, who both received in person the money which al-Aswad had collected from the Imamites⁷⁵.

3. The Effect of the Shiite Revolutionary Activities upon the 'Abbasids' Attitude towards the Twelfth Imam

3.1) The events of the second *Saf'ir's* career suggest that he instructed his agents to avoid any act which might lead the government of the day to think that the Imamites still had political aspirations or that they had an Imam leading them secretly. Despite the fact that he directed the Imamites to maintain this policy, the political activities of the other Shiite groups, particularly the Zaydites, the *Qaramita* and the Isma'ilis, complicated the Imamites' relations with the 'Abbasids and made it difficult for them to keep the existence of the Twelfth Imam totally concealed from their opponents.

When the Zanj revolution (255–270/866–883) was taking place the situation of the Imamites was critical. The leader of that revolution, 'Ali b. Muhammad, traced his lineage to the brother of Imam al-Baqir, Zayd b. 'Ali. For this reason a considerable group of 'Alids joined his side and participated in his uprising from the year 257/871.⁷⁶

In spite of the fact that the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari, had openly announced that the leader of the Zanj was not a member of the People of the House (*Ahl al-Bayt*),⁷⁷ the authorities considered this revolution to be linked with the 'Alids. According to al-Tabari, the collapse of the Zanj revolt in 270/883 was followed by governmental propaganda against the 'Alids in general. This attitude can be discerned in the poem of Yahya b. Muhammad al-Aslami, who praised the 'Abbasid leader al-Muwaffaq in 270/883 with

this verse:

ويتلى كتاب الله في كل مسجد * ويلقى دعاء الطالبين خاسيا

*And the Book of Allah is recited in every mosque, and the Talibiyyin invite people to their cause in vain*⁷⁸.

It is most likely that the 'Abbasid antagonism towards extreme Shi'ite groups extended also to the Imamites. According to al-Tusi, the Imamites were too frightened to express their doctrine openly between the years 270-273/883-887.⁷⁹

Another factor which strained relations between the Imamites and the 'Abbasids was the discovery of the underground activities of the two Isma'ili parties, the original Isma'lis and the *Qaramita*⁸⁰. Like the Imamites, the Isma'ilis had reported the Prophetic traditions stating that *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* would go into occultation as a preparatory action for his rising⁸¹.

However, they interpreted some of these traditions in a manner which would support their struggle to gain immediate success in North Africa (*al-Maghrib*). Thus they understood the tradition which states that *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* will appear when the sun rises from the place of its setting to mean that the *Qa'im* would rise in the west (*al-Maghrib*)⁸².

Furthermore they applied other traditions narrated by the Imamites about *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* to their own concealed leader, who had organized his followers into a strong underground movement and had commanded them to extend their activities into new areas by military means to prepare for his rising as *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*⁸³.

According to Sa'd al-Ash'ari the number of the *Qaramita* increased in the villages around Kufa, and according to al-Nawbakhti, they gained about 100,000 partisans there⁸⁴.

Afterwards they expanded their propaganda on the western shores of the Gulf and Yemen, where a large number of Arabs accepted their cause, thus helping them to become powerful. As a result of all this they announced their rebellion⁸⁵, which according to al-Tabari occurred in the suburbs of Kufa in 278/891.⁸⁶

In the occultation of the Twelfth Imam the Isma'ilis seem to have found a good opportunity to use the Imamite masses in their political struggle. According to Ibn al-Athir, the *Qaramita* missionary Yahya b. al-Mahdi went to Bahrain, which had a large Shi'ite population. In 281/894 he contacted an eminent Shi'ite leader, 'Ali b. al-Mu'alla b. Hamdan, and informed him that he had been sent by al-Mahdi to inform his followers that his rising was at hand.

‘Ali b. al-Mu‘alla was satisfied with the message and revealed it to the Shiites in the town of Qatif and other villages of Bahrain. They in turn accepted it and promised that they too would support *al-Mahdi* if he rose. By such means the *Qaramita* succeeded in circulating their propaganda among the Arab tribe of Qays and began to collect the *khums*.⁸⁷

By using the same methods, they expanded their activities among the tribes of Asad, Tayy and Tamim in the Sawad; while in the desert of the Samawa, the tribe of Banu al-‘Ulays, which used to protect the trade route between Kufa and Damascus, also joined their side⁸⁸.

It is worth mentioning that the *Qaramita* took into consideration the sectarian beliefs and economic situation of the people with whom they worked. Therefore we find that their propaganda spread mainly among people who already had Shiite inclinations, such as the Qarmatiyyun, who had participated in the Zanj revolt⁸⁹, and also among the people of the Sawad⁹⁰, who wished to join the side of any rebel in order to improve their social and economic conditions.

There is evidence that the *Qaramita* permitted their followers to kill their opponents and confiscate their property⁹¹.

The Imamites seem to have been aware of the danger of such a principle, since the ‘Abbasid government might accuse them of holding the same principle and it could be easy for it to attack them under this pretext.

Since both the *Qaramita* and the Imamites were Shiites and lived in the same areas, practicing the same religious rites, expecting the rise of *al-Qa’im al-Mahdi* in the near future, it was difficult for the government to differentiate between them without extensive and careful investigation.

3.2) The Twelfth Imam wanted to protect his followers from the influence of the *Qaramita* and make the government of the day differentiate between the *Qaramita* and his own adherents. Perhaps this is why he is said to have sent a letter to his second *Saf’ir*, in which he denounced and cursed Muhammad b. Abi Zaynab and his followers (the *Qaramita*).

He declared that he had no relations with them and ordered his ‘followers neither to have any discussion with them nor to attend their gatherings. The second *Saf’ir* circulated the pronouncement of the Imam among the Imamites via his agent Ishaq b. Ya’qub⁹².

It seems most likely that the Imamites obeyed the instructions in the pronouncement. According to Ibn al-Athir, a group of people from Kufa revealed to the Abbasid authorities the underground activities of the *Qaramita* in their area and informed them that they were inventing rules contrary to Islamic law, according to which it was permissible to murder any Muslim other than those who paid them an oath of allegiance.

Ibn al-Athir also reports that later a group of Talibiyyun fought beside the government against the

Qaramita in Kufa⁹³.

It is plausible that in both of these instances these groups were Imamites, and that these steps were part of their plan to make the 'Abbasids realize in a practical way that they were not responsible for the *Qaramita*'s activities.

However, there is evidence that the 'Abbasids paid no attention to the Imamite claims⁹⁴. The period of al-Mu'tadid (279–289/892–901) was distinguished as one of oppression and pursuit for the Imamites. It was well known among the Sunni scholars like al-Ash'ari that the Imamites had achieved a consensus in respect of the prohibition of any militant revolution unless the Imam himself appeared and ordered them to such action⁹⁵.

But the propaganda of the *Qaramita* concerning the rise of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* seems to have encouraged the government to link their activities with the occultation of the Twelfth Imam and to consider them as a preparation for his rising. They were certainly encouraged in this direction by the fact that the cousin of the Twelfth Imam, Muhsin b. Ja'far b. 'Ali al-Hadi involved himself in the *Qaramita*'s rebellion in Damascus⁹⁶.

This critical situation gave the opponents of the Imamites a vital weapon, which the viziers used not only against the Imamites but also against their own colleagues who were anti-Shi'ite⁹⁷. In 278/891 the caliph, al-Mu'tamid, ordered the arrest of a member of the Imamite family Banu Furat who had held the office of *Diwan al-Sawad*. The family members hid themselves, but Abu Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Furat was still arrested⁹⁸.

In the same time al-Mu'tamid appointed 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulayman, who was well-known for his anti-'Alid attitude⁹⁹, to the office of the vizara¹⁰⁰. If one can link the uprising of the *Qaramita* in 278/891 with these two steps – taking into account the attitude of 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulayman – one can claim that 'Ubayd Allah's appointment was part of the precautions taken by the 'Abbasids against Shiite activities in general.

Afterward, al-Mu'tadid carried out a careful investigation of Shiite underground cells in general. In 282/895 he discovered that Muhammad b. Zayd, the head of the Zaydite state in Tabaristan, was sending 32,000 dinars every year to Muhammad b. Ward al-'Attar so that he could distribute the money among the 'Alids in Baghdad, Kufa, Mecca and Medina¹⁰¹.

The continual investigations of al-Mutadid caused the arrest and murder of many 'Alids; according to al-Isfahani they were not *Qaramita*, but were simply persecuted under that pretext¹⁰².

As a result of these measures, the authorities realized that the Imamites had their own organization. According to al-Kulayni the spies of the vizier 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulayman discovered that the Imamites still had an Imam who guided their activities secretly. Al-Kulayni reports:

"Al-Husayn b. al-Hasan al-'Alawi said that two intimates of Badr Hasani (the servant of the caliph)

were talking and one of them said, 'Why, he (the Twelfth Imam) is collecting money and has agents, wukala'. Afterwards they named all the agents in all the districts. Then they reported this information to the vizier 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulayman, who endeavoured to arrest them.

But the caliph (al-Mu'tadid) told him to search for the place of this man (the Imam), because this matter was important. 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulaymān said, 'Let us arrest the agents.' But the caliph said, 'No, but infiltrate among them some spies who are unknown (in their service to the government), and ask them to give money to the agents. Then arrest anyone who accepts the money.'¹⁰³

Al-Kulayni does not mention the date of this incident, but we know that 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulayman continued in the service to the caliph al-Mu tadid until the year 288/900, so this incident must have taken place between the years 282–288/895–900.

It is clear from this report that the 'Abbasid spies had reached the conclusion that the eleventh Imam al-'Askari had in fact left a successor, who directed the underground activities of his agents; but they were unsure of his place of residence. So they tried to arrest some of his agents and partisans, hoping that by interrogating them they might also arrest the Twelfth Imam. For this reason, the caliph ordered that spies be sent with money to infiltrate amongst the agents.

However, it seems that the *Saf'ir's* network was so strong and worked so efficiently that his agents from near and far knew at once that the caliph and his vizier planned to act against them. Before the plan to arrest the agents of the Imam could be executed an order to stop the collection of taxes had gone out to almost every agent.

When a spy infiltrated as far as the main agent in Baghdad, Muhammad alQattan, and informed him that he had money and wanted to send it to the Imam, al-Qattan pretended that he knew nothing about this matter. The other agents acted similarly¹⁰⁴.

It appears that the caliph failed to obtain any information from his spies. Therefore he decided to try to gather information by arresting the Imamites who came to visit the tomb of al-Hir, where al-Husayn was buried, and the tombs of Quraysh, where the seventh and the ninth Imams were buried.

However, according to the Imamite sources, this plan was revealed to the Imamites, and an order was sent out to both the families of Banu Furat and al-Barsiyyin, warning them not to visit the tombs of Quraysh in Baghdad."¹⁰⁵

According to al-Rawandi, a report came to the caliph revealing that the Twelfth Imam was staying in the house of his father in Sdmarra. The caliph did not want knowledge of his information to reach the hands of the Imamites, so he himself dispatched three of his personal soldiers to Samarra.

He described the house of al- 'Askari to them and commanded them to enter it and kill whomever they found inside, but he did not reveal to them the name of the person whom they were going to murder.

However, the Twelfth Imam managed to evade the soldiers, and they returned to the capital¹⁰⁶.

The hostile 'Abbasid attitude towards the agents of the second *Saf'ir* continued after 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulayman's death in 288/900. His office was given to his son al-Qasim. The latter was well-known in his hatred toward the Imamites and the Shiites in general. Throughout his service in office he followed the same policies of his father towards the Imamites and showed more malevolence.

Al-Tusi gives a report supporting this point: Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah of Qumm, who had seen the Imam and had obvious Imamite inclinations, attracted the attention of the vizier al-Qasim b. 'Ubayd Allah. On several occasions he tried to murder him, so he finally fled to Egypt¹⁰⁷.

According to Ibn al-Jawzi, al-Qasim arrested many innocent 'Alids on the pretext that they had Qarmatian inclinations, and they remained in jail until 291/903.¹⁰⁸

The continual campaigns of arrest carried out by the 'Abbasids and the ill-effects of the *Qaramita* uprisings made the Imam and his agents even more careful. According to Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti the correspondence between the Imam and the *Saf'ir* stopped about this time¹⁰⁹, probably in order to erase any traces which might lead the authorities to them.

As another precautionary measure from the reign of al-Mu'tadid onwards the Imam changed his place of residence several times. Reports mentioned by al-Tusi indicate that, in an unspecified year, a certain agent told Abu Sawra that he was going to the Maghrib to meet the Imam. Someone else saw him in Syria and another met him in Egypt¹¹⁰.

Yet another report states that the 'Imam was resident in the mountains near Mecca about the year 293/905.¹¹¹ According to the second *Saf'ir*, the Imam used to perform the pilgrimage every year¹¹². All these narrations would seem to indicate that the Imam did not stay in one place for more than a short time, perhaps fearing that the authorities would discover his identity and plot against his life.

3.3) The *Qaramita*'s use of the Prophetic traditions predicting the rise of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* in their struggle to gain immediate political success may have made the Imamites give greater emphasis to the physical signs which would precede the rise of *al-Qa'im* as mentioned in the Prophetic traditions and the statements of the Imams.

Al-Kulayni, who was a contemporary to the second *Saf'ir*, and his student al-Nu'mani narrate, as has been mentioned before, five signs which must precede the rise of the real *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*:

(1) *al-Sufyani will rise in Syria and dominate it for only nine months.*

(2) *At the same time a rebel called al-Yamani (al-Qahtani)¹¹³ will start a rebellion and advance towards Mecca.*

(3) *Afterwards the pure soul, a descendant of al-Hasan, will revolt in Medina. Its inhabitants will kill him and send his head to al-Sufyani.*

(4) *He will dispatch an army against Medina, but God will cause it to sink into the ground in the vicinity of the city.*

(5) *Thereupon in the morning an outcry in the sky will announce the full name of al-Qa'im al-Mahdi, who accordingly will rise in Mecca. These events will take place consecutively within one year.* 114

It is clear from the Imamite presentation of these signs that they considered them a refutation of the claims of the Isma'ili leader who called himself al-Mahdi after his rising in 296/908. 115

The emphasis which was given to these signs served to prevent the ordinary Imamites from involving themselves in Shiite activities with which the Imamite organization (*al-Wikala*) was not involved. This view is confirmed by the fact that around this period many traditions were being related and attributed to the previous Imams warning the Imamites not to participate in any revolution before the rise of *al-Qa'im* 116.

The circulation of these traditions led people to feel that they should live peaceably and not involve themselves in any activities which might lead to revolution. This conclusion along with the five signs of the rise of *al-Qa'im*, encouraged some Imamites to put forward the idea that the establishment of the state of the People of the House (*Ahl al-Bayt*) is the responsibility of *al-Qa'im* himself and that any militant decision must be delayed until the rise of *al-Qa'im*.

In fact these traditions were intended as warnings against taking part in militant activities led by false claimants. But because of the way people interpreted them, very little attention was given to the traditions which encouraged the people to prepare for the rise of the Twelfth Imam after his occultation. It is reported that the tenth Imam said,

"If your Imam goes into occultation, expect freedom from grief (to come from) beneath your feet." 117

It is clear that the above statement of al-Hadi meant that it is the responsibility of every follower of the hidden Imam to prepare for the rise of their Imam by their own efforts.

It is most likely that this quiescent approach was encouraged by the Imamite scholars, whose role increased during the time of the second *Saf'ir* 118. These people trained the ordinary Imamites to follow the instructions of the narrators during the occultation of the Imam. They were aided in achieving this aim by a statement attributed to the Twelfth Imam:

"Concerning the occurrences which will happen, consult the narrators of our traditions, because they are my proof towards you, and I am the Proof of Allah." 119

It appears that by such methods the Imamite narrators were able to prevent the Imamite masses from taking part in the militant activities of the *Qaramita*. However, this quiescent attitude, which was only a precautionary measure taken against the Isma'ilis, developed later into the fundamental approach towards the question of the rise of *al-Qa'im*.

4. The Death of Abu Ja'far

It is reported that the second *Saf'ir* prophesied the time of his death and prepared his own gravestone upon which Qur'anic verses and the names of the Imams were inscribed. He died in 305/917¹²⁰ and was buried in his house on the road leading to Kufa, on the western side of Baghdad¹²¹.

1. T. al-Ghayba, 230–1, 233, 236; Kama'l, 432.
2. T. al-Ghayba, 235; Kama'l, 510.
3. T. al-Fihrist, 50–1; T. Tahdhib al-Ahkam, IV, 134; T. al-Ghayba, 100–1. Most of the narrations attributed to Ibn Hilal come on the authority of Sad al-Ash'ari al-Qummi, which reveals that Ibn Hilal had a high position among the Imamites before he was excommunicated by the Saf'irs (Ikhtiyar, 18, 141, 503, 603). For the narration concerning the twelfth Imam being al-Qa'im related on the authority of Ibn Hilal, see Kama'l, 252–3, 350, 649; al-Kafi, I, 342; N. al-Ghayba, 175, 100–1, 149, 283.
4. Ikhtiyar, 535.
5. al-Sadr, op. cit., I, 501.
6. al-Najashi, 65.
7. al-Saduq, Mashyakhat al-Faqih, IV, 128. Al-Nasb literally means to declare war on someone, or to show open hostility to someone. In the Shiite works the term al-nasb has been used to define a doctrine of a group of people called al-Nawasib (pl. of nasib). The Nawasib were mainly distinguished by their hostility towards the People of the House (Ahl al-Bayt). Al-Kulayni considers Ahmad, the son of the 'Abbasid vizier 'Ubayd Allah b. Khaqan, as one of them, and adds that al-Qa'im would order them to pay the jizya (al-Kafi, I, 503, 508, VIII, 227, 101, 160–1). The Khawarij were amongst the Nawasib and al-Tusi considered them as infidels (kuffar) and forbade the Shiites from having any social relations with them. Furthermore, he permitted the Imamites to confiscate their money; al-Tusi, Tahdhib al-Ahkam, IV, 22; al-Istibsar, III, 183–4.
8. T. al-Fihrist, 50–1; al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 289.
9. T. al-Ghayba, 260.
10. Kama'l, 489; al-Sadr, op. cit., I, 500.
11. Ikhtiyar, 536–7.
12. Ikhtiyar, 537.
13. According to the Imamites, Islam is based on five pillars: prayer, zakat, fasting, pilgrimage, and the Wilaya, that is, the recognition of the Imam, to which they gave priority over the pillars. Therefore if a person spends all his life performing the other four Islamic pillars but does not recognize the Imam, his worship is invalid. For a full account of this point, see al-Kafi, I, 181–4, 374–5, II, 18–19.
14. T. al-Ghayba, 260.
15. Al-Dihqan is 'Urwa b. Yahya al-Nakhkhas al-Baghdadi; he is said to have been the wakil and treasurer of the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari. But he was deposed and cursed because he seized the money of the organization and burnt the documents of the Imam, which were kept in the treasury; Ikhtiyar, 573, 579.
16. Ikhtiyar, 536–7.
17. T. al-Ghayba, 260.
18. al-Najashi, 254–5; Ikhtiyar, 564, 566.
19. al-Barqi, al-Rijal, 57, 61.
20. Al-Kashshi mentions a document attributed to al-'Askari containing instructions to his agents in Iraq and Khurasan, in which he ordered Ishaq al-Nisaburi to contact al-Bilali in Baghdad; Ikhtiyar, 579.
21. al-Kafi I, 328; Kama'l, 499.
22. T. al-Ghayba, 260.
23. T. al-Ghayba, 261.
24. T. al-Ghayba, 261.

25. Ikhtiyar, 517–21, 528–9.
26. T. al-Ghayba, 259. Javad Ali and Rajkowski think that Ibn Nusayr was an eminent citizen of Basra. Moreover the latter thinks that he was of Persian origin. However, both base their accounts on al-Khatib al-Baghdadi (al-Khatib, III, 163–4) and Al-Kashshi, whereas the latter refers to two people bearing the same name. The first one was his teacher in Basra and the second was the above extremist. Al-Kashshi attributed the latter lineage to the Arab tribe Fahr and criticized him bitterly; Ikhtiyar, 5, 278, 503, 520.
27. Ikhtiyar, 520–1.
28. T. al-Ghayba, 259.
29. N. Furat, 78. Al-Sabi reports that Banu Furat used to inhabit a village called Babili Sasfrin in the district of upper Nahrawan, and that there were more than 300 people in this village (Hilal b. Muhsin al-Sabi, al-Wizara [Cairo, 1958], 11–12). They named themselves after their great-grandfather, Furat b. Ahnaf al-'Abdi, who had lived and died in Kufa around 120/737 and was a close associate of al-Sajjad and al-Baqir (al-Barqi, al-Rijal, 8–9, 16). Another member of this family was 'Umar b. Furat, who was executed on the orders of Ibrahim b. al-Mahdi in 203/808, as a punishment inflicted on him for his propaganda in favour of the vizier Ibn Sahl. See for details, D. Sourdel, "Ibn al-Furat", E.I. 2; Rajkowski, op. cit., 769–70.
30. al-Khatib, III, 163–4; al-Sabi, op. cit., 30–31.
31. Rajkowski, op. cit., 772–3, quoted from al-Khasibi, Diwan, f. 49b, 4, 5a.
32. al-Khatib, VI, 380; Salih Ahmad al-'Ali, "al-Mada'in fi al-Masadir al-'Arabiyya", Sumar, XIII (1967), 50.
33. Javad Ali, op. cit., in Der Islam, XXV (1939), 206.
34. T. al-Ghayba, 233–4.
35. al-Kafi, I, 329, 331.
36. Kama'l, 435.
37. Kama'l, 440.
38. Kama'l, 442..
39. al-Kafi, I, 330.
40. Abu al-Fida, op. cit., II, 69; al-Kamil, VIII, 80; T. al-Ghayba, 192.
41. Ikhtiyar, 532.
42. al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 297–301.
43. T. al-Ghayba, 240.
44. Concerning the activities of al-Qattan, see al-Kafi, 520; T. al-Ghayba, 190–1; Bihar, LI, 302, 217; Kama'l, 409–1; al-Irshad, 398–9. For Hajiz's relations with the Saf'ir, see Bihar, LI, 294, and for Ahmad b. Ishaq's contacts with the Saf'ir and other agents, see Dala'il, 272; Ikhtiyar, 556–7.
45. Kama'l, 442.
46. Ikhtiyar, 535.
47. al-Irshad, 399.
48. Dala'il, 272 According to al-Sadnq, Ahmad b. Ish'aq died in Hilwan before 260/874, but this cannot be accepted because there are several indications that he outlived al-'Askari (d. 260/874). Moreover al-Saduq relates his report on the authority of Muhammad b. Bahr, who was well-known for fabricating narrations; Kama'l, 454, 466–7; al-Najashi, 298.
49. Kama'l, 488.
50. al-Kafi, I, 525.
51. T. al-Ghayba, 191–2.
52. T. al-Ghayba, 242.
53. T. al-Ghayba, 240– 241.
54. According to Ibn Rustam, after the death of al-'Askari in 260/874, al-Baqtani claimed that he was the agent of the twelfth Imam (Bihar, LI, 300). But later he appears to have abandoned his claim and served both the second and third Saf'irs (T. al-Ghayba, 242). Al-Wajna' was amongst those who had seen the Imam (Kama'l, 443). He was resident in Baghdad during the time of the second Saf'ir (al-Kaf, I, 521). However it appears that he had some relations with the political bases of the Imamites in Mosul in 307/919; T. al-Ghayba, 205.

55. T. al-Ghayba, 198.
56. T. al-Ghayba, 193, 195.
57. al-Najashi, 198; Buzurg, Nawabigh al-Ruwat, 186.
58. N. al-Ghayba, 179; Mizan, II, 69–70, 399; Buzurg, Nawabigh al-Ruwat, 53–55, 161–3; al-Najashi, 132–3.
59. al-Khirsan, Sharh Mashyakhat TahdhTb al-Ahkam (Najaf, 1963), 13–16.
60. T. al-Ghayba, 235.
61. Kama'l, 485.
62. Kama'l, 504.
63. T. al-Ghayba, 205–6.
64. al-Irbili, Kashf al-Ghumma, IV, 409; Bihar, LI, 56–7; al-Irbili does not mention the year in which Ibn Hamdan controlled Qumm; however, Ibn al-Athir reports that he was installed in this post in 296/908. Consequently the contact between the second Saf'ir and Ibn Hamdan must have occurred after that date; al-Kamil, VIII, 14, 32.
65. Kama'l, 493, 495.
66. Kama'l, 488, 486; Bihar, LI, 294, 325.
67. T. al-Ghayba, 202.
68. T. al-Ghayba, 192– 193.
69. Al-'Abbasiyya was a fief in Baghdad granted to al-'Abbas, the brother of the caliph al-Mansur. According to al-Khatib al-Baghdadi there were two fiefs of the same name, one on the eastern side of Baghdad and the other on the western side. Because the house of Abu Ja'far was on the western side, the meeting may have occurred there; al-Khatib, I, 79, 95.
70. Kama'l, 498.
71. T. al-Ghayba, 192.
72. Kama'l, 499.
73. Al-'Abbas b. Ma'ruf was a companion to the tenth Imam, al-Hadi. He devoted his time during the short occultation to relating Imamite narrations; therefore, perhaps, Abu Ja'far originally ordered al-Aswad to hand the money over to Ibn Ma'ruf because al-Aswad, at that time, was not aware of the necessity of the underground organization. For a full account of the career of Ibn Ma'ruf as a narrator of Imamite narrations which criticise those Shiites who considered Ibn al-Hanafiyya or the seventh Imam Musa al-Kazim, as the hidden Imam, see Ikhtiyar, 315, 461; al-Najashi, 215–6, 151; al-Tusi, Tahdhib al-Ahkam, IV, 122, 137, V, 292, VI, 122, 194.
74. Kama'l, 502.
75. T. al-Ghayba, 241.
76. Tabari, III, 1857, 2024, 2109; for a full account of the Zanj revolt see the two important articles by Naji, "Tarikh al-Tabari Masdaran 'an Thawrat al-Zanj", al-Mawrid VII, No. 2 (1978), 37–92; and "al-Tanzim al-'Askari li jaysh Sahib al Zanj", al-Mu'arikh al-'Arabi, VII (1978), 116–157; Faysal al-Samir, Thawrat al Zanj (Basra, 1952).
77. al-Irbili, Kashf al-Ghumma, IV, 428; Bihar, L, 293.
78. al-Tabari, Tareekh, 8 vols., (Beirut, Mu'assasah al-'Alami lil-Matboo'aat, 4th ed., 1403), vol. 8, pg. 145
79. Al-Tusi reports that the tomb of al-Husayn collapsed in 273/886 probably due to an act of sabotage, especially if one bears in mind the fact that an attack upon the grave of 'Ali was foiled about the same time; al-Tusi, Tahdhib al-Ahkam, VI, 111–12.
80. For the distinction between the Mubarakiiyya and the Qaramita, see Q. Maqalat, 80–6; N. Firaq, 67–74; al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 247–8.
81. T. al-Ghayba, 39, 130. The Isma'ili writer Ibn Hawshab narrates in al-Kashf several Qur'anic verses about the Last Day, which for him means the rise of al-Qa'im al-Mahdi (al-Kashf 5–6, 10, 11, 14, 24; Abu Ya'qub al-Sijistani, Kashf al-Mahjub (Teheran, 1949, 81–3). Moreover, Ibn Hawshab mentions a narration attributed to al-Sadiq, stating that al-Qa'im will rise in Mecca. Thus he agrees with the Imamite reports regarding this point; al-Kashf 32–5.
82. Ibn Hawshab, Asrar al-Nutaqa', 51–3, 90–2. For the details of the Isma'ili use of these traditions in their activities with the tribe of Kitama in 280/893, see al-Kamil, VIII, 24–5, 26.
83. Ibn Hawshab, al-Kashf 62; al-Kamil, VIII, 22–3; Ivanow supports this point in suggesting that "the terrible slaughter of the pilgrims in the Ka'ba itself, and the seizure of the sacred relics were not acts of wanton cruelty, but were connected with

- some expectations of a religious character, such as the return of Muhammad b. Isma'il in full glory, etc., which most probably was expected to be due about that time." Ivanow, "Ismailis and Qarmatians", *Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society*, XVI (1940), 82; also see W. Madelung, "Karmati", E.I.2.
84. N. Firaq, 76; al-Tabari confirms this number; Tabari, II, 2218.
85. Q. Maqalat, 86.
86. Tabari, III, 2124.
87. al-Kamil, VII, 340-1, VIII, 21-2. There is evidence which encourages the present writer to link the activities of the Qaramita with those of the Ismailis. Ibn Hawqal mentions that after the establishment of the Qaramita state in Bahrain they used to send the khums to the Sahib al-Zaman, that is the Ismaili leader in Egypt; Ibn Hawqal, *op. cit.*, 21-3.
88. Tabari, III, 2218; Ibn Hawqal, *op. cit.*, 29.
89. Tabari, III, 1757. Shaban put forward the theory that the Isma'ili movement in Iraq and Bahrain was called the Qaramita after a specific race called al-Qaramatiyyun. They came originally from Libya and they could hardly speak Arabic. They took part in the Zanj revolt and joined the Ismaili movement when the revolt collapsed. (Shaban, *op. cit.*, 130).
90. Tabari, III, 2202.
91. Maqalat, 85.
92. Kama'l, 483, 485.
93. al-Kamil, VIII, 311, 376.
94. Tabari, III, 2127-8; al-Kamil, VII, 311.
95. al-Ash'ari, Maqalat al-Islamiyyin, 58; Ibn Shadhan, al-Idah, 475.
96. Later in 300/912 Muhsin was arrested in the suburbs of Damascus. His head was sent to Baghdad, where it was impaled on a bridge in the eastern side of the city, an area which was inhabited by a large Shiite population; Maqatil, 449; al-Kubaysi, *op. cit.*, 446.
97. 'Ali b. Isa, who was known for his anti 'Alid attitude, was called a Qarmati by his personal enemies; as a result he was dismissed from office ('Arib, *op. cit.*, 59). Al-Qasim al-Khaqani was discharged in the same manner. Later al-Hallaj was arrested on the accusation that he was a Qarmatian missionary; Nujum, III, 182; Ibn Taymiyya, Aami' al-Rasa'il, I, 188.
98. al-Sabi, al-Wuzara, 292-3; Tabari, III, 2123.
99. al-Kamil, VII, 333.
100. Tabari, III, 2123. 'Ubayd Allah b. Sulayman was a close associate of al-Muwaffaq in Samaria in 264/878, but four years later he was imprisoned. When al-Mu'tadid became the heir-apparent to al-Mu'tamid, 'Ubayd Allah was promoted to the office of the wizar, whereupon he used his office to pursue his rivals such as Bann Furat. At the same time he managed to uncover the activities of the agents of the second Saf'ir. He died in 288/900; al-Kafi, I, 525; al-Kamil, VII, 219, 227, 309; al-Fakhri, 302.
101. Tabari, III, 2148; al-Muntazam, V, 150.
102. Al-Isfahani mentions that two 'Alids, Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Ibiahim and 'Ali b. Muhammad b. 'Ali, were tortured along with the Qarmati Sahib al-Kahl, whose hands and legs were cut off, yet they did not support the Qaramita nor did they have any relations with them (Maqatil, 446). Al-Tabari states twice that the 'Abbasid troops arrested a group of Qaramita in 286/899 and investigated them. They disclosed the name of their leader, Abu Hashim b. Sadaqa al-Katib, who was arrested and put in jail (Tabari III, 2179). Al-Mu'tadid's investigation of the Qaramita leader, Abu al-Fawaris, shows that he differentiated between the doctrine of the Qaramita and the Imamites; al-Kamil, VII, 354.
103. al-Kafi, I, 525.
104. al-Kafi, I, 525.
105. al-Kafi, I, 525; al-Irshad, 402; T. al-Ghayba, 183-4. This order is believed to have come from the Imam himself.
106. al-Rawandi, al-Khara'ij wa-l-Jara'ih (Bombay, 1301), 67. Al-Tusi mentions the names of two of the three soldiers: Ahmad b. 'Abd Allah and Rashid, the servant of al-Mu'tadid. It appears that al-Tusi's report is sound, because al-Tabari confirms that Rashid was the personal soldier (ghulam, khadim) of al-Mu'tadid and took part in the fighting against the Zanj revolution; Tabari III, 1953, 2003, 2007, 2017-9, 2082-3; T. al-Ghayba, 160-1.
107. T. al-Ghayba, 163-5.
108. Ibn al-Jawzi, al-Muntazam, VI, 46.

109. Kama'l, 92–3, quoted from Kitab al-Tanbih by Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti.
110. T. al-Ghayba, 166, 195–6.
111. T. al-Ghayba, 166, 165.
112. Kama'l, 440.
113. The Prophet's companion 'Abd Allah b. 'Amr b. al-As used to narrate a Prophetic tradition predicting the rise of al-Qahtani, whose rising is only a sign for the rise of al-Qa'im al-Mahdi. However, Mu'awiya prohibited this companion from narrating the tradition, because he thought that the spread of such traditions would encourage the people to overthrow him; B. Sahih, 384.
114. al-Kafi, VIII, 209, 264, 265, 274, 310, 331; from 273/886 onward 'Ali b. al-Husayn al-Taymali used to narrate other signs of al-Qa'im's uprising (N. al-Ghayba, 131, 164; Kama'l, 649–656; al-Hadrami, Asl Ja'far b. Shurayh al Hadrami, f. 37a, 39a, 48a.
115. Tabari, III, 2225.
116. Al-Nu'mani devotes a section of his work al-Ghayba to the refutation of the claims of the Isma'ilis (N. al-Ghayba, 53–7, 176–80); al-Kulayni reports a narration on the authority of al-Sadiq, forbidding his follower 'Umar b. Hanzala from taking part in any 'Alid revolution before the outcry in the sky; al-Kafi, VIII, 310. See also Ikhtiyar, 262–3.
117. Bihar, LI, 161.
118. For a full account of the increase of the role of the Imamite fuqaha', see Chapter VII.
119. Kama'l, 484.
120. Al-Tusi gives two dates for the death of Abu Ja'far, the first of which, 304/916, is on the authority of the grandson of Abu Ja'far, Hibat Allah. The second report attributed to Abu Ghalib al-Zurari, the agent of Ibn Ruh in Kufa puts the date at 305/917. Because the latter had close relations with Abu Ja'far and was his contemporary, it seems that his report is more likely to be accurate; T. al-Ghayba, 238–9; al-Kamil, VIII, 80; Abu al-Fida, al-Mukhtasar, II, 69.
121. T. al-Ghayba, 238–9. On the eastern side of Baghdad there is a tomb situated in a mosque called al-Khullani. The people believe that it is the grave of Abu Ja'far. Since al-Tusi mentions that his grave is on the western side it is possible that his corpse was transported to the new grave, but there is no available source to support such a claim.

1. Al-Nawbakhti's Activities During the Time of the Second Saf'ir

The third *Saf'ir* was Abu al-Qasim al-Husayn b. Ruh b. Abi Bahr al-Nawbakhti. He remained in the office in the years 305–326/917–937, although the date of his birth is not known. According to Ibn Shahr Ashub, al-Nawbakhti was a close associate of the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari, and was his Gate (*Bab*)¹, but it is difficult to accept such a report because al-'Askari died in 260/874 and al-Nawbakhti died in 326/937.

There are several reports indicating that al-Nawbakhti was a native of the traditional Shiite city of Qumm. Al-Kashshi and Yahya b. Abi Tayy (d. 630/1232) called him al-Qummi².

Moreover al-Nawbakhti was fluent in the Persian dialect of the people of Abah, one of the suburbs of Qumm³, and this suggests that he belonged to the branch of Banu Nawbakht resident in Qumm. However, he had emigrated to Baghdad during the time of the first *Saf'ir*. According to al-Tusi, he was brought up in Baghdad under the guardianship of Muhammad b. 'Ali Bilal,⁴ who later denied that Abu Ja'far al-'Umari was the second *Saf'ir* of the Twelfth Imam.

Al-Nawbakhti joined the service of the second *Saf'ir* and became his agent (*wakil*). Despite his youth al-

Nawbakhti was distinguished by his shrewdness, particularly in his relations with opponents⁵.

Perhaps it was this quality which enabled him to climb quickly in the ranks of the organization.

Al-Nawbakhti spent several years working as an agent for the second *Saf'ir*, who used to pay him a salary of 30 dinars a month. He also received financial support from high Shi'ite officials and viziers like Banu Furat. The second *Saf'ir* employed him to look after his properties and made him the connecting link between himself and the other leaders of the Imamites⁶.

According to al-Tusi, al-Nawbakhti was the intermediary between the second *Saf'ir* and the two agents of Kufa, al-Zajawzi and Abu Ghalib al-Zurari⁷. His service in the *Wikala* helped him in contacting high Shi'ite officials of the 'Abbasid administration, particularly his relatives Banu Nawbakht and also Banu Furat⁸.

By the year 298/910 he had become highly respected by the people. At that time an interesting occurrence took place which reveals his importance. Al-Saduq narrates that an 'Alid called al-'Aqiqi went to visit the vizier 'Ali b. 'Isa al-Jarrah asking him to solve his financial problems, but the vizier would not listen to him. Therefore al-Nawbakhti sent a message to al-'Aqiqi and solved his difficulties⁹.

This narration gives some indication of the social position of al-Nawbakhti while he was still an agent of the second *Saf'ir*.

2. The Installation of the Third *Saf'ir*

As we have already seen, (106–7) the ten eminent agents in Baghdad expected that Ja'far. b. Ahmad b. Matil would take over the office of the second *Saf'ir* when he died. But on his death bed, when the agents were all gathered together, he appointed Ibn Ruh al-Nawbakhti, saying that the Twelfth Imam had ordered him to do so¹⁰.

At that time the Imamites put forward different reasons for the designation of Ibn Ruh al-Nawbakhti. Umm Kulthum, the daughter of the second *Saf'ir*, thought that Ibn Ruh was promoted to the office of the deputyship (*sifara*) because of his close relationship with her father. She reports that her father even used to reveal to him what had occurred between himself and his slave-girls¹¹.

However, according to the agent Ibn Qurd, the other nine agents in Baghdad, especially Ibn Matil, were closer to the second *Saf'ir* than Ibn Ruh¹².

It seems most likely that Ibn Ruh had personal qualifications which made him a suitable *Saf'ir*. Indeed we have a report attributed to Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti to support this point. The latter was asked by some Imamites as to why he had not been promoted to the *sifara* instead of Ibn Ruh. He answered,

"They (the Imams) know best about whom they have selected for this office. I am a person who meets

opponents and argues with them. If I had known what Abu al-Qasim (Ibn Ruh) knows about the Imam, perhaps in the course of my arguments, having found myself under the attack of enemies to give them wellfounded reasons for the existence of the concealed Imam, I would have pointed out his whereabouts.

But if Abu al-Qasim had the Imam underneath his garments, and if his flesh was being cut into pieces to make him reveal his whereabouts, he would not yield or reveal his presence to his foes." 13

This document indicates that Ibn Ruh was promoted to the *sifara* mainly because of his loyalty and the shrewdness which he had shown on several occasions. Therefore we cannot accept the opinion of Sachedina, who thinks that the appointment of Ibn Ruh as *Saf'ir* to the Twelfth Imam, "must have been influenced by another eminent member of the Nawbakht family, namely Abu Sahl Isma'il b. 'Ali.

He was one of those leading Imamites, who were present in the last days of the second agent to bear witness to the designation of Abu al-Qasim as the agent."¹⁴

In fact, there are also many points to encourage us to disagree with Sachedina's opinion.

First, the installation of all the twelve Imams in the office of the Imamate from Imam 'Ali b. Abi Talib until the time of the Twelfth Imam, had been carried out neither by the interference of their followers nor by election. As has been demonstrated repeatedly throughout this work the fact is that the promotion of each new Imam occurred according to the personal stipulation (*al Ta yin bi-l-Nass*) of the preceding Imam in his last will.

Moreover the installation of the first and second *Saf'irs* had followed the same method. They were promoted to the office of the *Wikala* by the order of the Imam and there is no evidence to prove that Ibn Ruh was not similarly appointed.

Second, none of the ten eminent agents, who were present at that meeting, expected to participate by election, nomination or other means in the appointment of Abu Ja'far's successor. On the contrary, they attended the meeting so as to know who would be stipulated as the third *Saf'ir* by the order of the Imam himself.

Third, the second *Saf'ir* had ordered his personal servant, Dhaka, that in the case of his death, he should hand his staff and the treasure chest over to Ibn Ruh¹⁵.

Fourth, if we take into consideration the fact that the rest of the ten agents recognised the promotion of Ibn Ruh, especially Ibn Matil, who was expected to be the third *Saf'ir*¹⁶, and the fact that al-Shalmaghani, even after his own aspiration to the office of the *Sifara* recognised Ibn Ruh as the *Saf'ir*¹⁷, it is clear that Ibn Ruh must in fact have been appointed by the Imam himself and neither Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti nor his family did influence the Imam's decision.

3. The Activities of Ibn Ruh al-Nawbakhti

According to al-Dhahabi, after the death of the second *Saf'ir* in 305/917, his successor Ibn Ruh went to the headquarters (*Dar al-Niyaba*) of the organization, where he met the eminent Imamites such as the servant of the second *Saf'ir*, Dhaka. The latter prepared the things which his master had entrusted to him, that is, the staff and the treasure chest containing the seals of the Imams, and handed them over to Ibn Ruh as he had been instructed.

Thereafter Ibn Ruh together with the other agents went to the house of Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Shalmaghani¹⁸, his close associate who later became his rival.

From the very beginning, Ibn Ruh proved his ability to lead the organization successfully. His shrewdness enabled him to avoid the consequences of participation in the sectarian discussions which took place at the palace of Ibn Yasar, one of the high officials of alMuqtadir, the caliph. He used to attend those discussions only as a listener.

According to al-Tusi, Ibn Ruh was so cautious that he even discharged his servant because he had cursed Mu'awiya¹⁹. Perhaps he took this step to avoid the danger posed by the vizier, Hamid b. al-'Abbas (306-311/918-923), who was well-known for his hatred of Shiites generally²⁰.

There is evidence that the ten agents of the second *Saf'ir* continued their activities under al-Nawbakhti. Among these agents were Ja'far b. Ahmad b. Matil, Abu Abd Allah al-Katib, al-Hasan al-Wajna', Muhammad b. Humam, 'Isma'il b. Ishaq al-Nawbakhti, Ahmad b. Matil, Muhammad al-Aswad, and al-Madd'im. Two other names also appear in the lists of the agents in Baghdad: al-Shalmaghani and Ahmad b. Ibrahim al-Nawbakhti.

The latter was a narrator of traditions and the husband of Umm Kulthum, the daughter of the second *Saf'ir*, and Ibn Ruh employed him as his personal secretary. The former was appointed as an agent by Ibn Ruh after he had become the *Saf'ir*²¹.

Through his ten agents in Baghdad Ibn Ruh directed the activities of the Imamite agents and their underground political cells in the other provinces. He sent his first letter of instructions to the agent of al-Ahwaz, Muhammad b. Nafis, on 5th Shawwal 305/23rd November 917, in which he confirmed him in his office²².

He appointed his agent al-Shalmaghani to supervise the underground Imamite cells among the people of Band Bistam in Baghdad²³ and made him the mediator between himself and the agents of Kufa, Abu Ja'far al-Zajawzi and Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Sulayman al-Zurari²⁴. Al-Shalmaghani continued his supervision of the agents of Kufa and Baghdad until the year 312/923, when Ibn Ruh discharged him from his office and excommunicated him after he had taught the incarnation of God in human form²⁵.

According to al-Tusi, Abu 'Abd Allah al-Hasan al-Wajna', one of the ten agents in Baghdad, practised

his activities in Nisibin and Mosul. In 307/919 he met a certain individual called Muhammad b. al-Fadl al-Mawsili who denied that Ibn Ruh was the *Saf'ir* of the Twelfth Imam. He tried to convince him that Ibn Ruh was truly appointed as *Saf'ir* by the Imam, but al-Mawsili argued that, if Ibn Ruh was so, he must show miracles as the first and the second *Saf'irs* did before.

In order to content him, al-Hasan al-Wajna' brought him to Baghdad, where he saw with his own eyes Ibn Ruh's miracles which prompted him to recognize him as the rightful *Saf'ir*.²⁶

This report reveals that al-Hasan al-Wajna' was appointed by the third *Saf'ir* to direct the Imamites' activities in the province of Jazira. In Wasit, al-Hasan b. Muhammad b. Qatat al-Saydalani, the Wakd al-Waqf during the time of the second *Saf'ir*, and Ibn Matil, who had worked as the connecting link between al-Saydalani and the second *Saf'ir*²⁷, continued their activities during the time of Ibn Ruh²⁸.

As has already been noted, some reports reveal that because of the persecution of the Imamites which had been carried out by the caliphs al-Mu'tadid (279-89/892-902) and al-Muktafi (289-95/902-8) and their attempts to arrest the Imam, he changed his place of residence from Samarra to the Hijaz. This situation naturally led to difficulties as regards the methods of communication between him and his agents.

Furthermore information concerning the relations between the third *Saf'ir* and his agents in the other provinces is rare and obscure. However, there is evidence that the Imam continued to practise his activities from Mecca. Al-Tusi relates that a certain Ya qub b. Yusuf al-Ghassani saw a group of men from different provinces come to the house where the Imam lived and correspond with them through an old serving woman. Some of those men were from Baghdad²⁹.

Al-Saduq reports that the agent al-Hasan al-Wajna' met the Imam at the same house in 314/926,³⁰ which indicates that the residence of the Imam was in the Hijaz during the time of the third *Saf'ir*. But there is no available reference to the names of the agents in Mecca and Medina.

The Imam also had agents in Egypt who recognized the *sifara* of Ibn Ruh³¹. According to al-Tusi, al-Qasim b. al-'Ala was still the agent in Azerbaijan. He directed the Imamite activities through two assistants, that is Abu Hamid 'Umran b. al-Mufallis and Abu 'Ali b. Jahdar, and also used to look after the personal domain which the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari, had endowed to the Twelfth Imam.

Correspondence between al-Qasim b. al-'Ala and Ibn Ruh took place through a messenger who used to come to Azerbaijan. After the death of al-Qasim his son al-Hasan was promoted to the office by the order of the Imam³².

In Iran, Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Asadi al-Razi, the agent of Rayy, had been instructed by the second *Saf'ir* to supervise the activities of the agents of the other Iranian provinces. He continued this supervision during the time of the third *Saf'ir*³³. But after the death of al-Razi in 312/924,³³ the method of communication between the agents in Iran and Ibn Ruh changed from indirect correspondence via al

Razi to direct contact between Ibn Ruh and the agents.

Al-Saduq reports several narratives in support of this point. For example, 'Ali b. al-Husayn b. Babawayh, the leader of the Imamites in Qumm, made direct contact with the third *Saf'ir* via the agent in Baghdad, al-Aswad³⁴.

An agent from Balkh, Muhammad b. al-Hasan al-Sayrafi, did likewise. He collected the tax dues (gold and silver) from the Imamites of Balkh and handed them over to Ibn Ruh in Baghdad, and he continued his direct contact even during the time of the fourth *Saf'ir*, al-Sammari³⁵.

In the same way al-Husayn b. 'Ali al-Qummi received ten gold ingots from Ibn Jawshir, who asked him to hand them over to Ibn Ruh, so he did so³⁶. These reports indicate that the position of Ibn Ruh as the *Saf'ir* of the Twelfth Imam became well known amongst the Imamites, in contrast to that of the first and the second *Saf'irs*, whose office had been kept secret. For this reason some ordinary Imamites were encouraged to ignore the agents of their areas and contact the third *Saf'ir* directly.

Ibn Ruh was highly esteemed by the 'Abbasid court during the time of the caliph of al-Muqtadir (295-320/907-932). This can be attributed to the influence of Ibn Ruh's family, Banu Nawbakht, in the 'Abbasid administration, an influence which had begun during the time of the caliph al-Mansur (d. 158/774) and lasted until the time of al-Muqtadir. Ibn Ruh himself participated in the Abbasid administration. According to al-Jahshayari, he was at one point in charge of the personal domain of the caliph (*Diwan al-Diya al-Khasya*)³⁷.

Therefore we find some agents, such as Abu Ghalib al-Zurari, paying respect to Ibn Ruh because of the economic and political influence of his family³⁸. Abbas Iqbal illustrates Ibn Ruh's influence by reporting that, during the time of the vizier Hamid b. al-'Abbas (306-311/918-923), Ibn Ruh's house became the place for the meetings of administrators, nobles, and deposed viziers, especially Banu Furat³⁹.

Most likely Ibn Ruh exercised his influence upon the Shi'ites, who were working in the administration, encouraging them to employ their brothers in faith in the 'Abbasid administration and offer financial help to the needy among the Shiites in general. Certain references indicate that these instructions were put into action by 'Ali b. Muhammad b. Furat. According to Ibn Khallikan, he used to support 5,000 people financially⁴⁰.

When he was a vizier he appointed the agent Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti as governor of the Mubarik district of Wasit and Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Bazawfari as governor of the district of al-Sulh and al-Muzara'at in Wasit⁴¹. Simultaneously Muhsin b. al-Furat appointed the Baghdad agent al-Shalmaghani as deputy to certain governors in other districts⁴².

Participation in the administration enabled the agents to study the economic and political situation of the government and facilitated communications through their administrative positions.

Despite Ibn Ruh's great influence he seems to have been put in a critical situation by the militant activities of the other Shiites, particularly the Qaramita. These were used by his rivals as a pretext to cause his arrest. In 311/923 a caravan of Baghdadi pilgrims, including some relatives of the caliph al-Muqtadir, were attacked and captured by the Qaramita, an act which caused the people of Baghdad to be very upset.

Since the Qaramita were Shiites, this gave the enemies of the Shiites, like Nasr al-Hajib the chamberlain, an excellent weapon against the vizier Ibn al-Furat. Nasr claimed that because Ibn al-Furat was Shiite, he had encouraged the Qaramita to attack the pilgrims. Moreover, the masses were provoked to shout in public that Ibn al-Furat and his son Muhsin were the "greater Qarmati and the lesser Qarmati". In 312/924, as a result of these events Ibn al-Furat and his son were discharged and then murdered⁴³.

Al-Tusi reports that Ibn Ruh was arrested in 312/924, but does not give any reason for his imprisonment. Al-Dhahabi claims that his arrest was caused by the inflammatory propaganda against the Qaramita. He was accused of corresponding with the Qaramita in an effort to have them besiege Baghdad⁴⁴.

According to Ibn 'Arib, Ibn Ruh was arrested because he failed to hand over to the government the money which he owed it⁴⁵.

This reveals that some officials may have falsely accused Ibn Ruh of corresponding with the Qaramita in order to facilitate his arrest.. In any case, Ibn Ruh spent five years in jail until the caliph, al-Muqtadir, released him in 317/929.⁴⁶

Ibn Ruh recovered his previous respect and reputation, renewed his direct supervision over the Imamite activities, and once again received money from the Imamites. Many of his relatives, such as Ishaq b. Isma'ili (d. 322/933), Ali b. al- Abbas (d. 324/935) and al-Husayn b. Ali b. al- Abbas, had managed to maintain high offices in the Abbasid administration, so his influence increased.

Many influential officials and deposed viziers like 'Ali b. Muqla sought his acquaintance in order to pave the way for their advancement in the 'Abbasid administration⁴⁷.

For example, the vizier Ibn Muqla spent 20,000 dinars on estates and endowed them as *awqaf* for the Talibiyyin in 319/931.⁴⁸ But later he lost his office and therefore asked Ibn Ruh to help him. Ibn Ruh contacted his relative al-Husayn b. 'Ali b. al-'Abbas al-Nawbakhti, who was the secretary (*katib*) of *Amir al- Umara* , Ibn Ra'iq, and asked him to support Ibn Muqla in his efforts to recover his office, which were successful in 325/936.⁴⁹

At the same time that the third *Saf'ir* was wielding his powerful influence in official circles, he was faced with the serious deviation of his main deputy, al-Shalmaghani, who began to make claims outside Islamic beliefs.

4. The Third Saf'ir and al-Shalmaghani

Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Abi al-'Azaqir al-Shalmaghani, who was brought up in the village of Shalmaghan situated in the suburbs of Wasit, became one of the reciters (*qurra*) of the Qur'an in Wasit. Afterwards he moved to Baghdad where he joined the 'Abbasid administration, working as secretary (*katib*)⁵⁰.

He was also an Imamite scholar (*faqih*) and wrote eighteen works dealing with Shiite law and theology, among which is his book *al-Ghayba*. His writings were highly esteemed by the Imamites before his deviations⁵¹.

It has been noted that after the promotion of Ibn Ruh to the *sifara*, he appointed al-Shalmaghani to direct the activities of the Imamites in Baghdad, especially those of Banu Bistam, and those of the two agents of Kufa, al-Zajawzji and al-Zurari⁵².

Al-Shalmaghani continued directing the Imamites' activities in Baghdad and Kufa for many years. He was well-known for his impatient political ambitions, and he may have lost hope of gaining power in the near future by following the instructions of the Twelfth Imam to the letter. Therefore he decided to ignore the Twelfth Imam's instructions and started searching for other groups to achieve his political ambitions. According to Ibn Hawqal, al-Shalmaghani paid allegiance to the Isma'ili Mahdi⁵³.

However, Ibn Hawqal is the only narrator of this occurrence, and he gives neither the date of al-Shalmaghani's deviation, nor the reason he later abandoned his Isma'ili ties. It is most likely that he turned away from the Isma'ilis to the underground movement of the *Ghulat* because he found in their belief in the incarnation of God (hulul) in human form the best means to put his political and economic ambitions into action.

According to al-Shalmaghani's belief, throughout the course of history God has been incarnated in human form. In other words, God was incarnated first in the body of Adam and thereafter transmigrated to the bodies of the Prophets. After the Prophet Muhammad, He transmigrated to the bodies of the Imams until the time of the eleventh Imam, and then He appeared in the body of al-Shalmaghani himself. Simultaneously Allah had created His foe Iblis, who was also incarnated and who transmigrated throughout the course of history into a series of wicked human forms.

According to al-Shalmaghani, Allah's purpose in His incarnation and transmigration was to prove His existence and His excellence⁵⁴.

Al-Shalmaghani did not leave the Imamite organization immediately after his deviation nor did he announce the incarnation of God in his own body. Several reports suggest that he used his office as a deputy of the *Saf'ir*, Ibn Ruh, to train gradually the agents who were below him to accept his heretical teachings. The agent Muhammad b. Humam reports that he heard al-Shalmaghani saying, "The truth (God) is one, but His forms are several.

One day He takes on a white form, another day a red one, and on another a blue one." Ibn Humam reports, "This was the first statement which caused me to reject al-Shalmaghani, because this was the doctrine of the people of the incarnation of God (*al-Hululiyya*).⁵⁵"

According to another report al-Shalmaghani managed to persuade some agents together with their families, especially the agents of Banu Bistam, to accept the doctrine of the incarnation of God and the transmigration of souls. Afterwards he divulged to them that the soul of the Prophet had transmigrated into the body of the second *Saf'ir* Abu Ja'far, the soul of 'Ali b. Abi Talib had transmigrated into the body of the third *Saf'ir* Ibn Ruh, and the soul of Fatima, the Prophet's daughter, had transmigrated into the body of Umm Kulthum, the second *Saf'ir's* daughter.

At the same time al-Shalmaghani told the sub-agents not to divulge this secret, because it was the true faith⁵⁶.

It appears that Ibn Ruh discovered the deviation of al-Shalmaghani through a female missionary, Umm Kulthum, who used to supervise the Imamite activities among the females of Banu Bistam. He ordered her to stop her relations and her secret meetings with them.

He told her that al-Shalmaghani had impressed his deviation so deeply on their hearts that they would even accept it if he were to claim that Allah Himself had become incarnated in his body; then he would follow in al-Hallaj's footsteps and claim that he was Allah⁵⁷.

The precise date of this incident is unknown. However, according to Ibn al-Athir the deviation of al-Shalmaghani began during the early time of the vizierate of Hamid b. al-'Abbas, between the years 306-311/918-923.⁵⁸ This is consistent with al-Tusi's report, which indicates that the deviation of al-Shalmaghani must have occurred before 312/924.⁵⁹

After discovering al-Shalmaghani's heretical ideas, Ibn Ruh discharged him from his office and caused knowledge of his heresy to become widespread, first among the people of Banu Nawbakht and then among others⁶⁰. Afterwards he ordered the agents to sever their relations with him.

It seems that the agent of Kufa, Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Zajawzi followed this order, because al-Tusi reports that he considered anyone possessing the book *al-Taklif* by al-Shalmaghani as extremist⁶¹.

But the agents of Banu Bistam in Baghdad refused Ibn Ruh's order and continued to receive instructions from al-Shalmaghani. For this reason Ibn Ruh disclosed al-Shalmaghani's situation to all the Imamites and excommunicated him along with all those who paid attention to him⁶².

Ibn Ruh's announcement reveals that a considerable body of the agents in Baghdad and the ordinary believers had been influenced by al-Shalmaghani. After his excommunication, he began propagating the idea that he and not Ibn Ruh was the rightful representative (*Saf'ir*) of the Twelfth Imam⁶³.

Through this claim and his belief in the incarnation of Allah in the bodies of the Prophets and the Imams,

al-Shalmaghani tried to monopolize the economic and political positions of the organization. Later he even advanced the claim that Allah was present in his own body," and that *Iblis* was localized in the human form of the Twelfth Imam, since the latter was known as *al-Qa'im*.

Here al-Shalmaghani was claiming that *al-Qa'im* ("the one standing") meant *Iblis*, who had refused to prostrate himself before Adam when other angels had done so⁶⁴.

He also claimed that 'Ali b. Abi Talib was Allah, and that He had sent Muhammad to be His Prophet, but that the latter had betrayed Him. Therefore 'Ali gave Muhammad a period of truce lasting about 350 years, at the end of which Islamic law would be changed⁶⁵. Then the law would have a new interpretation, e.g. Paradise would be the acceptance of al-Shalmaghani's claim and allegiance to him, while Hell would be the rejection of his doctrine.

Moreover, he aimed at eliminating the main claimants to the caliphate, particularly the 'Alids and the 'Abbasids, and considered himself the rightful claimant to all religious and political authority⁶⁶.

The political ambitions of al-Shalmaghani are obvious in his materialistic interpretation of the Qur'anic verses concerning Hell and Paradise to serve his own ambitions. These are especially apparent with reference to two points. Firstly, he fixed a date for the change of the Islamic Shari'a; 350/967. By this "prophecy" he was attempting to mobilise people to support him in his preparation for the "coming age".

Secondly he concentrated his propaganda among the high officials of the 'Abbasid army and administration and gained a considerable number of followers, like Ahmad b. Muhammad b. 'Abdus, Ibrahim b. Abi 'Awn, the author of the book *al-Tashbihat*, Ibn Shabib al-Zayyat, Abu Ja'far b. Bistam and Abu 'Ali b. Bistam, all of whom were secretaries (*kuttab*) of the state⁶⁷.

In 312/924 al-Muhsin b. al-Furat, the son of the vizier Ibn al-Furat, joined his side and enabled his followers to penetrate the 'Abbasid administrative circles⁶⁸. Moreover, al-Husayn b. al-Qasim b. 'Ubayd Allah b. Wahb, who held the vizierate between the years 319–20/9312, was one of the partisans of al-Shalmaghani⁶⁹.

It has already been noted that the third *Saf'ir* was imprisoned in 312/924. Al-Shalmaghani seized this opportunity to expand his activities among the Imamites, who had not yet received an answer from the Imam himself concerning the claims of al-Shalmaghani. Therefore the Imam sent via Ibn Ruh this pronouncement concerning his attitude towards the claims of al-Shalmaghani:

... Muhammad b. 'Ali, known as al-Shalmaghani, is one of those upon whom Allah has hastened His judgement and to whom He has granted no respite. He has deviated from Islam and separated himself from it. He has become an apostate from the religion of Allah, making claims which indicate the denial of Allah, the Most Glorious and High, fabricating lies and falsehoods, and pronouncing untruths and great transgressions. Those who associate another with Allah are in far error and clearly suffer great loss.

For indeed we declare ourselves free (of any relationship with al-Shalmaghani) before Allah, may He be exalted, and His messenger and his family, may the blessings of Allah, His peace, His mercy and His benediction be upon them according to His benevolence; while we curse him (i.e. al-Shalmaghani), may the curses of Allah be showered successively (upon him) externally and internally, secretly and publicly, at every time and in every circumstance.

And (may the curse of Allah be) upon those who agree with him and follow him, and also upon those who, having heard our announcement, continue to pay allegiance to him.

So inform them (the Imamite agents) that we shall guard and take precautions against him, as was the case with those who preceded him and held similar views, like al-Shari'i, al-Numayri, al-Hilali, al-Bilali and so forth. For the traditions of Allah are conformable to us. In Him we place our trust, and from Him we seek assistance. He is sufficient for us in all our affairs and is the best of Guardians.⁷⁰

According to al-Tusi the agent Muhammad b. Humam received this pronouncement from Ibn Ruh while he was in prison. He spread it personally among all the agents in Baghdad and sent it to the agents in the other cities until it became well-known among the ordinary Imamites⁷¹.

According to Ibn al-Athir, Ibn Ruh disclosed al-Shalmaghani's claim even to the 'Abbasids. As a result in 313/925 the vizier al-Khaqani tried to arrest him⁷², an attempt which brought about the imprisonment of many people who had inclined towards him⁷³.

However he disappeared and escaped to Mosul, where he took refuge from the ruler Nasir al-Dawla al-Hasan b. 'Abd Allah b. Hamdan. He lived there in a village called Ma'althaya in the vicinity of Mosul. However, he did not break off communication with his followers in Baghdad⁷⁴.

According to al-Najashi, during his concealment in the village of Ma'alth'aya, al-Shalmaghani narrated his books to a certain Abu 'Abd Allah al-Shaybani. He was an Imamite *Muhaddith* who lived in the Nawbakhtiyya district of Baghdad," but he later turned away from the Imamite school⁷⁵.

In 316/928 al-Shaybani returned secretly to Baghdad⁷⁶ in order to be in direct contact with his followers, whose activities had spread widely among the officials of the Abbasid administration, a development which can possibly be regarded as a step toward his objective of obtaining power.

Al-Husayn b. al-Qasim b. 'Ubayd Allah b. Wahb, the partisan of al-Shalmaghani, was promoted to the vizierate in 319/931 and his name was stamped on the coin beside the name of the caliph al-Muqtadir⁷⁷.

As a vizier Ibn Wahb enabled his partisans to assume high positions, but after a year he was discharged. Later the new caliph al-Qahir (320–322/932–934) exiled him to al-Riqqah in Syria because of his allegiance to al-Shalmaghani. He also arrested his comrades, especially the Banu Bistam, and seized their property⁷⁸.

This campaign continued until al-Shalmaghani himself was arrested in 323/934. Along with a few of the leading personalities of his movement, like Ibn Abi 'Awn, he was tortured and executed, and the corpses were burnt at the police headquarters (*Dar al-Shurta*) on the western side of Baghdad⁷⁹.

Ibn Ruh's influence and authority among the 'Abbasids increased after the persecution of al-Shalmaghani, who was their common enemy. Thus Ibn Ruh recovered his high influence and became close to the caliph al-Radi (322–29/934–40).

Moreover it appears that Ibn Ruh's cooperation with the 'Abbasids against al-Shalmaghani led the caliph al-Radi to think that his activities with the Imamites had no connection with the Twelfth Imam and would probably cease in the near future. Al-Suli reports:

Al-Radi sometimes mentioned that the Imamites used to hand the *khums* (*al-amwal*) over to Ibn Ruh but we refuted this accusation, and claimed that it was a lie. So he said to us, "What is wrong with that? By Allah, I wish that there were a thousand people like him to whom the Imamites might bring their possessions so that Allah might impoverish them. I do not mind if they (Ibn Ruh and others) become rich through receiving their possessions (i.e. those of the Imamites).⁸⁰"

Ibn Ruh died on the 18th of Sha'ban 326/20 June 938, and was buried in al-Nawbakhtiyya district⁸¹ in the western side of Baghdad⁸². He was succeeded by Abu al-Hasan 'Ali b. Muhammad al-Sammar⁸³.

1. Ibn Sahr Ashub, *Manaqib*, I, 458.
2. *Ikhtiyar*, 557. The work of Ibn Abi Tayy is not extant, but al-Dhahabi used it in writing al-Nawbakhti's biography; al-Dhahabi, *Tarikh al-Islam*, f. 132.
3. T. al-Ghayba, 209–10; Iqbal, *Khandan Nawbakhti*, 214.
4. T. al-Ghayba, 252–253.
5. T. al-Ghayba, 250–252.
6. T. al-Ghayba, 242–3; Bihar, LI, 355.
7. The narrator of this report is Abu Ghalib al-Zurari. He reports that he used to contact the second Safi'r via al-Nawbakhti, who when he became the third Safi'r, contacted the agents of Kufa through al-Shalmaghani; T. al-Ghayba, 202.
8. T. al-Ghayba, 242.
9. *Kama'l*, 505–6.
10. The testament of the second safi'r to Ibn Ruh al-Nawbakhti was reported on the authority of the agents, Muhammad b. Humam and Ja'far b. Ahmad b. Matil, who were present at that meeting and bore witness to the designation. Moreover Ibn Barina, the grandson of the second Safi'r, also reports the testament and agrees with the other agents in regard to its authenticity. *Kama'l*, 503.
11. T. al-Ghayba, 242–3.
12. T. al-Ghayba, 240.
13. T. al-Ghayba, 255.
14. Sachedina, *The Doctrine of Mahdism in Imami Shi'ism* (Ph.D.) Thesis, Toronto University (Canada, 1976), 137.
15. al-Dhahabi, *Tarikh al-Islam*, f. 132.
16. T. al-Ghayba, 240. According to some reports, the decision that Ibn Ruh would be the successor of Abu Ja'far had already been revealed by Abu Ja'far himself to a few agents three years before his death in 305/917. Ja'far al-Mada'ini and Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Aswad were amongst the agents who received these instructions. *Kama'l*, 501–2.
17. T. al-Ghayba, 256.
18. al-Dhahabi, *Tarikh al-Islam*, f. 132 b.

19. T. al-Ghayba, 250–1.
20. For a full account of the career of Hamid b. al-‘Abbas, see al-Kubaysi, op. cit., 190–9.
21. Bihar, LI, 320–1; T. al-Ghayba, 212, 242–3.
22. Iqbal, op. cit., 216.
23. Bihar, LI, 371; T. al-Ghayba, 263.
24. T. al-Ghayba, 197–8, 212.
25. al-Najashi, 293–4; Buzurg, Nawabigh al-Ruwat, 289.
26. T. al-Ghayba, 205–6; Buzurg, Nawabigh al-Ruwat, 96.
27. Kama’l, 504.
28. T. al-Ghayba, 240.
29. T. al-Ghayba, 176, 179–80.
30. Kama’l, 443–4.
31. T. al-Ghayba, 255.
32. T. al-Ghayba, 202–5. According to Buzurg, al-Qasim died in 304/916. But al-Tusi refers to his activities during the time of the third Saf’ir (305–26/917–37), so his death must have occurred after 304/916; T. al-Ghayba, 202.
33. al-Najashi, 289.
34. Kama’l, 502.
35. Kama’l, 516–517.
36. Kama’l, 518–9.
37. al-Jahshayari, al-wuzara’, 300
38. T. al-Ghayba, 199.
39. Iqbal, op. cit., 217.
40. Ibn Khallikan, Wafayat al-A’yan (Cairo, 1948), III, 99.
41. al-Sabi, op. cit., 40–1.
42. Ibn Miskawayh, op. cit., I, 123.
43. Ibn Miskawayh, op. cit., I, 120–7.
44. T. al-Ghayba, 200; al-Dhahabi, Tarikh al-Islam, f. 132a.
45. ‘Arib, op. cit., 141.
46. ‘Arib, op. cit., 141; T. al-Ghayba, 200.
47. Iqbal, op. cit., 220
48. Ibn Miskawayh, op. cit., I, 225.
49. al-Suli, al-Awraq, 87.
50. Ibn al-Athir, al-Lubab, II, 27; Yaqut, Irshad al-Arib, I, 296; Mujam al-Buldan, V, 288.
51. al-Najashi, 293–4; T. al-Fihrist, 305–6; T. al-Ghayba, 158, 221, 267. It seems that the extant work called Fiqh al-Riṣā’a is in fact Kitab al-Taklif of al-Shalmaghani because it has a tradition concerning testimony (al-Shahada) and another concerning the definition of the measure called kurr which al-Shalmaghani gave in contrast to the other Imamites.
52. T. al-Ghayba, 212, 263.
53. Ibn Hawqal, op. cit., 211.
54. Yaqut, Irshad al-Arib, I, 301–3, al-Kamil, VIII, 218–9.
55. Bihar, LI, 374; Hashim al-Hasani, op. cit., II, 575.
56. Bihar, LI, 372; al-Sadr, op. cit., I, 516.
57. Such a claim obviously contradicts the beliefs of both the Shia and the Sunni alike. For details of God’s essential nature according to the Imamites, see al-Hilli, al-Hasan b. Yusuf, Anwar al-Malakut fi Sharh al-Yaqut (Teheran, 1338), 77–85, and his al-Bab al-Hadi Ashar, A Treatise on the principles of Shiite theology, trans. from Arabic from W. Miller (London 1958), 15–52
58. al-Kamil, VIII, 218–9.
59. T. al-Ghayba, 268.
60. T. al-Ghayba, 265.

61. T. al-Ghayba, 253–4.
62. T. al-Ghayba, 2564.
63. al-Kamil, VIII, 218.
64. Yaqut, Irshad al-Arib, I, 302; Ibn al-Athir, al-Lubab, II, 27
65. T. al-Ghayba, 266.
66. Yaqut, Irshad al-Arib, I, 302–3; al-Shibi, op. cit., 203.
67. al-Dhahabi, al-Ibar, II, 191.
68. Ibn Miskawayh, op. cit., I, 123.
69. Yaqut, Irshad al-Arib, I, 303.
70. al-Sadr, op. cit., 517–8.
71. T. al-Ghayba, 269.
72. al-Kamil, VIII, 217.
73. Yaqut, Irshad al-Arib, I, 299.
74. al-Najashi, 289, 294.
75. Al-Shaybani seems to have been an Imamite Muhaddith but after the deviation of al-Shalmaghani he inclined toward him, ignoring the Twelfth Imam's pronouncement against him; T. al-Fihrist; 299; al-Najashi, 309.
76. al-Sadr, op. cit., I, 527; Hashim al-Hasani, op. cit., II, 575.
77. Ibn Miskawayh, op. cit., I, 215–7, 223.
78. Ibn Miskawayh, I, 267.
79. al-Mas'udi, al-Tanbih, 343; Yaqut, Irshad al-Arib, I, 299–304.
80. al-Sufi, op. cit., 104.
81. T. al-Ghayba, 252.
82. At the present time the grave of Ibn Ruh is situated on the eastern side of Baghdad, whereas al-Tusi mentions that this grave was in the Nawbakhtiyya district at the avenue which leads to Qantar al-Shawk, which was located in the western side of Baghdad; Yaqut, Mujam al-Buldan, IV, 191; al-'Amid, op. cit., 70.
83. Kama'l, 517.

1. The Career of the Fourth Saf'ir (326–329/937–941)

Al-Tusi reports that Ibn Ruh designated Abu al-Hasan 'Ali b. Muhammad al-Sammari as his successor¹. According to al-Tabarsi, this designation was by the stipulation and order of the Twelfth Imam himself².

His surname is derived from the name of al-Sammar or al-Saymar, situated in one of the districts of Basra, where the relatives of al-Sammari used to live³. According to al-Mas'udi many members of this family, like al-Hasan and Muhammad, the sons of Isma'il b. Salih and 'Ali b. Ziyad, had large estates in Basra. They devoted half of the income from these estates to the eleventh Imam, who used to receive it every year and correspond with them⁴.

Moreover, some of al-Sammari's relatives were agents of the Twelfth Imam. Among these was 'Ali b. Muhammad b. Ziyad, who had also been an agent to the tenth and eleventh Imams and who wrote a book called *al-Awsijya* to confirm the Imamate of the Twelfth Imam⁵.

He was the brother-in-law of the vizier Ja'far b. Muhammad, a relationship which enabled him to achieve

an important office in the 'Abbasid administration⁶. According to al-Kulayni, the Twelfth Imam divulged to him in a letter that his death would occur in 280/893, when it actually occurred⁷.

These points indicate that al-Sammari came from a family whose members were well-known for their Shiite beliefs and their service to the organization. In fact, such a background was necessary to enable al-Sammari to reach the office of the *sifara* with little opposition, especially if one takes into consideration the prolonged occultation of the Twelfth Imam, which shook the faith of a considerable body of the Imamites.

However, the *sifara* of al-Sammari was too short-lived to cause any remarkable changes in the relations between him and the other agents. Although nothing is known about the details of his activities, a report mentioned by al-Saduq makes it clear that the agents recognised him as the rightful *Saf'ir* and handed the *khums* over to him⁸.

Al-Sammari died on the 15th of Sha'ban 329/15th May 941 and was buried in al-Khaljani street in the quarter of al-Muhawwal⁹. According to Yaqut, this quarter was situated to the north of the village of Buratha, on the western side of Baghdad¹⁰.

A week before the death of al-Sammari the following pronouncement (Tawqi') was issued by the Twelfth Imam:

May Allah give good rewards to your bretheren concerning you (i.e. on your death), for indeed you shall die after six days. So prepare your affairs, and do not appoint anyone to take your place after your death. For the second occultation has now occurred, and there can be no appearance until, after a long time when Allah gives His permission, hearts become hardened and the world becomes filled with injustice.

And someone shall come to my partisans (Shi'a) claiming that he has seen me; but beware of anyone claiming to have seen me before the rise of al-Sufyani and the outcry from the sky, for he shall be a slanderous liar¹¹.

Six days after the announcement of this document the principal agents congregated at the death-bed of the fourth *safer* and asked him who was to take charge of his office. Al-Sammari replied, "To Allah belongs the matter which He shall accomplish" (*Li-llah amr huwa balighuh*).¹²

This was the last statement heard from al-Sammari, the fourth *Saf'ir*. Thereby direct communication between the *safars* and the Twelfth Imam was brought to an end. In other words the short occultation had ended and the second occultation or, according to the later sources, the major occultation had begun.

2. An Analysis of the Tawqi' of the Fourth Saf'ir

The pronouncement of the Twelfth Imam illustrates five points:

Firstly, it reveals that the fourth *Saf'ir*, al-Sammari, would die six days after the issue of the Tawqi'. According to the Imamite reports, al-Sammari did die on the mentioned day. It is worth pointing out that the Twelfth Imam is also said to have predicted the times of the deaths of the three previous *Saf'irs*¹³. For the Imamites this prediction was strong proof that the Tawqi' had been issued by the Imam himself.

Secondly, the Imam ordered the fourth *Saf'ir* not to designate anyone to succeed him or to be in charge of his office, "*wa-la tusi ila ahad fa-yaqum maqamak ba'd wafatik*." ¹⁴

This clearly indicated the end of direct communication between the Imam and his *Saf'irs* and agents. Hence the Imam in the Tawqi denies that he will have any personal *Saf'ir* during the second occultation before his return:

*"And someone shall come to my partisans (Shi'a) claiming that he has seen me; but beware of anyone claiming to have seen me before the rise of al-Sufyani and the outcry from the sky, for he shall be a slanderous liar".*¹⁵

The highly developed activities of the organization, which continued for about 69 years between the years 260–329/874–941 were ended by the death-bed statement of the fourth *Saf'ir* as regards his successor: God would do what He wished in this matter, "*Li-llah amr huwa balighuh*." ¹⁶

Thirdly, the Tawqi' announces the beginning of the second, or the complete occultation (*Fa-qad waqa T. al-Ghayba al-Thaniya aw al-Tamma*)¹⁷, which al-Nu'mani describes as the period of confusion, al-Hayra¹⁸. Al-Mufid calls it the longer occultation (*al-Ghayba al-Tula*), whereas the later Imamites refer to it as the major occultation (*al-Ghayba al-Kubra*)¹⁹.

Fourthly, there can be no appearance of the Imam until Allah gives him His permission. His return will take place when it becomes far from the expectation of the people because of the hardening of their hearts and the filling of the world with tyranny²⁰.

Fifthly, the Tawqi' indicates that the appearance of the Imam will be preceded by two inevitable signs, that is the rise of al-Sufyani, who according to early traditions will rise and dominate Syria, and the outcry in the sky in the name of the Imam.

After quoting the Tawqi' Rajkowski states as follows:

"... this document is a clear indication that the leaders of al-Shi'a al-Qat'iyya had lost hope in the speedy arrival of al-Mahdi and thought that the moment of al-Zuhur might still be very distant; so that it was no longer possible to keep up the pretences of direct communication between the successive Ambassador and the Sahib al-Zaman. It was better to leave the Shiites without an official mediator, and

rely only on al-Mahdi's invisible protection and inspiration".²¹

Rajkowski seems to be suggesting that the eleventh Imam died heirless and the leading Imamites invented the idea of the occultation (*al-Ghayba*) and claimed that the *Saf'irs* had direct communications with al-'Askari's successor. Rajkowski's theory seems to have inspired Jihad al-Hasani to hold similar views concerning the role of the four *Saf'irs*. He says,

"... by resorting to the claim of being agents for the concealed Twelfth Imam, the four Twelver agents were apparently able to put themselves forward in the position of the leadership though they were not from the line of descent of the Prophet".²²

The hypothesis of these two authors might be sound if there were no clear proof that al-'Askari had left a successor or that traditions were circulating in Imamite circles before 260/874 indicating that the series of the Imams would end with the Twelfth Imam, who would be *al-Qa'im*.²³

However, it has been demonstrated that it was highly probable that the eleventh Imam had showed his son to about forty of his adherents among whom were the first two agents, 'Uthman b. Said and Muhammad b. 'Uthman²⁴.

Furthermore, the evidence has strongly suggested that the eleventh Imam sent his son to Medina in 259/873,²⁵ where he stayed during the time of the first *Saf'ir*. Moreover, the Imamite organization, the *Wikala*, was established during the time of the sixth Imam al-Sadiq.

Had it appeared suddenly after the death of al-'Askari without the spread of traditions before 260/874 predicting the *Ghayba* and without the explicit appointment of 'Uthman b. Said and his son Muhammad (Abu Ja'far) by the eleventh Imam as the agents of his son the Twelfth Imam²⁶, one could agree with Rajkowski's statement and with Montgomery Watt, who says:

"... the Imamite theory of twelve Imams did not come into being of its own accord, as it were, following upon certain events known to all. The theory was an interpretation of selected events, and was deliberately created by politicians to further their own – perhaps very worthy – ends".²⁷

We have already mentioned that the Imamites consider *Wilaya* (allegiance and obedience to *Ahl al-Bayt*) as the fifth pillar of Islam. According to them, the practice of all the other pillars (the prayer, *zakat*, fasting, pilgrimage) is invalid without the recognition of the rights of the Imam²⁸. They report many traditions stressing the necessity of the existence of an Imam until the Day of Judgement, such as the well-known saying attributed to 'Ali b. Abi Talib:

Allah, I know that knowledge (al-'Ilm) cannot disappear completely, its sources cannot cease, and You cannot leave Your earth without a proof (Hujja) of You for Your creation, either manifest and unobeyed or afraid and unknown (i.e. in a state of occultation). Otherwise Your proofs (hujaj) would be (sent) in vain, and Your followers would go astray after You have guided them. 29

Al-Saffar (d. 290/902) reports that al-Baqir interpreted the Qur'anic verse, **"Indeed you are a warner and for every people there will be a Guide."** (al-Ra'ad, 13:7), as follows: The "warner" is the Prophet, peace be upon him, and in every time there is someone who will guide others to what the Prophet brought. These guides are first the Prophet of Allah, then the Guided ones (*al-Hudat*); that is, 'Ali and the Imams (the "legatees", *al-Awsiya*),³⁰

Al-Kashshi reports a tradition attributed to the eighth Imam, al-Riḍā: "The Imam cannot pass away unless he sees his successor, except for *al-Qa'im*." ³¹

All of these traditions depict the Imamite belief in the necessity of the continuity of the *Imama*. Any break in its continuity would mean its overthrow as a principle of the religion. The short occultation did not signify the non-existence of the Twelfth Imam, because he continued to carry out his activities via his four *Saf'irs* until the year 329/941.

Thus, regardless of the "creations of politicians," it is quite clear that the first occultation of the Twelfth Imam occurred within a religious environment where this event had come to be expected.

As we have noted, the 'Abbasid authorities wanted to restrict the activities of the Imams, so they included them amongst their courtiers and placed them under house arrest, so that they could no longer practice their activities amongst their followers. Restrictions were placed upon the Imams from the time of al-RidH and were greatly increased during the Imamate of the eleventh Imam, al-'Askari³².

As a result, the Imams devised a policy to save their last successor from a similar situation. They realized that any son born to the eleventh Imam would be hidden from the eyes of the 'Abbasids so that he could carry out his activities *incognito*.

To this end they encouraged the transmission of traditions (*hadith*) predicting an Imam who would be hidden from sight and would implement his policies in secret amongst his followers; and this would be the Twelfth Imam. Such steps were taken so as to prepare the Imamites to accept the *Saf'irs* as the Imam's intermediaries.

In the light of this explanation, it is worth mentioning a few of the traditions which were reported by al-'Asfari, who died in 250/863, that is, ten years before the death of al-'Askari:

i) Al-Baqir said to his companion Abu al-Muqdam, *"O Abu al-Muqdam, what would you do if an occultation (sabta) occurred between the Haramayn (i.e. Mecca and Medina) . . . My father used to say that would happen and that Allah does what He wills. . ."*

ii) The Prophet is reported to have said, *"I and eleven of my descendants and you, O 'Ali, are the axis of the earth, its pegs and its mountains. . . When my eleven descendants pass away, then chaos and disorder will occur among the people."*

iii) The Prophet is reported to have said, *"(There will be) from my descendants eleven leaders (who will)*

*be noble and receive and understand (knowledge). The last of them will be al-Qa'im, who will fill the world with justice after it has been filled with tyranny."*³³

These and other traditions were spread in both Imamite and Zaydite circles. Al-'Asfari, who was a member of *al-Zaydiyya al Jarudiyya*, was himself awaiting the rise of *al-Mahdi* in the near future and used to carry his sword with him. As already mentioned, he once said that he carried his sword so that he would always be ready to fight along with *al-Mahdi*.³⁴

According to al-Saduq these traditions and others predicting the occurrence of the *Ghayba* were the main reason for the Imamite acceptance of the *Ghayba* and for their being satisfied that the series of the Imams should stop at the Twelfth. For the Imamites the acceptance of the *Ghayba* is a matter of obedience to the orders of the Imams.

3. The Imamite Learned Men's Attitude Towards the Second Occultation

As has already been indicated, during the period of the short occultation (260–329/874–941) more than two generations of Imamites were brought up under the careful supervision of the agents and with the teachings of the Imamite narrators (*al-Muhaddith'un*), in order that the new generation would recognize that religious authority derives from indirect communication with the hidden Imam, via his four *Saf'irs*.

Their arguments and instructions concerning the hidden Imam were based mainly on the traditions attributed to the previous eleven Imams before the year 260/874, including the traditions narrated by al-'Asfari. Although the Imamites split into fifteen groups and held different views concerning the successor of al-'Askari at the time of the first *Saf'ir*, the teaching and the underground activities of the second *Saf'ir* met with success.

His followers (*al-Imamiyya al-Qat'iyya*) carried out intensive propaganda to prove the existence of the Twelfth Imam and the necessity for his occultation without specifying the date of his reappearance: "*concerning the release from suffering (i.e. the rise of the Imam) it is in the hand of Allah and those who try to fix certain times for it are liars.*"³⁵

Thus the teachings and doctrine of the followers of the second *Saf'ir* dominated Imamite circles, whereas the other groups disappeared. During the time of the third and the fourth *Saf'irs* we find the new generation of Imamites more obedient to the *Saf'irs* and willing to accept their statements as the statements of the Twelfth Imam.

They were all the more willing because, as we have noted, all the pronouncements (*Tawqi'at*) issued to the four *Saf'irs* and attributed to the Twelfth Imam were written in the same handwriting and in the same style³⁶.

The identical handwriting explains the consensus among the Imamites to be obedient to the last pronouncement of the fourth *Saf'ir*, by which the first occultation came to an end and the second began.

There is evidence that when the last pronouncement of the Twelfth Imam proclaimed the end of direct communication with the fourth *Saf'ir*, the agents ceased their underground activities and in particular refrained from collecting the *khums*. In other words the Imamite underground organization (*al-Wikala*), which had been established during the time of al-Sadiq (d. 148/765), was dissolved by that pronouncement.

Henceforth anyone claiming to be the *Saf'ir* of the Imam was considered an unbeliever and imposter. For this reason the Imamites cursed Muhammad b. Ahmad b. 'Uthman al-Umari, known as Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, the nephew of the second *Saf'ir*, when he claimed that he was the *Saf'ir* of the Twelfth Imam³⁷. Al-Tusi gives an example of how the agents refrained from collecting¹ the *khums*:

Ahmad b. Muhammad b. al-Hasan b. al-Walid al-Qummi came to Basra as the representative of his father and the group (i.e. the agents in Qumm). The Imamites questioned him concerning rumours that he was the deputy of the Imam.

But he denied them, saying: *"I have no right in this matter"* So they offered him money as a test, but he rejected it and said, *"It is forbidden for me to take it, because I have no right in this matter (i.e. the deputyship of the Imam), and I have never made such a claim."*³⁸

Perhaps these two examples are a further evidence that the theory of the occultation of the Twelfth Imam was not "the creation of politicians" to further their own ends. In fact, there were some people motivated by political and worldly aspirations, such as al-Shalmaghani and Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, whose followers held that they were the *Saf'irs* of the hidden Imam. However, the Imamites totally rejected their claim³⁹.

The agents' decision to end their activities led the Imamite narrators (*al-Muhaddithun*, *al-Ruwat*) to the belief that since no new *Saf'ir* had been appointed, the second occultation which they called the "period of trial and confusion" had begun. They supported their conclusion with traditions attributed to the previous Imams indicating that *al-Qa'im* would have two forms of occultation before his rising, one of them being short and the other long.

Al-Nu'mani may have been the first scholar to give this particular interpretation to existing traditions. After quoting nine traditions predicting the two forms of *Ghaybas* and attributed to al-Sadiq on the authority of seven of his disciples⁴⁰, al-Nu'mani comments:

"The authenticity of these traditions mentioning that al-Qa'im has two occultations has been proved – Praise be to Allah. For by bringing about the occultation He has authenticated the statements of the Imams."

As for the first occultation, it is that during which there were Saf'irs between the Imam and the people, safars who had been appointed by the Imam and who carried out their activities while living amongst the people... This is the short occultation, whose days have come to an end and whose period has passed away.

The second occultation is the one during which the Saf'irs and the mediators have been removed for a purpose intended by Allah and planned for in the creation. In other words, throughout this period testing, examination, trial, sifting and purification will be the lot of those who claimed (to be Imamites), just as is stated in the Qur'an:

It is not (the purpose) of Allah to leave you in your present state till He shall separate the wicked from the good. And it is not (the purpose of) Allah to let you know the unseen. (Al-Imran 3:179).

. . This explains our statement that the Imam has two occultations and that we are living in the second".⁴¹

Al-Nu'mani's interpretation of the two *Ghaybas* became the foundation for most explanations put forward by the Imamite jurists from the time of al-Saduq (d. 381/991) in *Kamal al-Din wa-Tamam al-Nima* and al-Khazzaz al-Razi al-Qummi (d. 381/991) in *Kifayat al-Athar fi al-Nusus ala al-A'imma al-Ithna 'Ashar* through the period of al-Majlisi (d. 1111/1700) in *Bihar al-Anwar*.

However, a contemporary scholar, Sachedina, writes as follows:

"It is plausible to maintain that the division of the Ghayba into short, and long is the innovation of the Imamite jurists. In support of this division, traditions were either invented or interpreted to accommodate the situation as it appeared to them".⁴²

But Sachedina's hypothesis does not bear scrutiny, because the belief in two *Ghaybas* did not come newly into being after the death of the fourth *Saf'ir* in 329/941, nor was it invented by al-Nu'mani and those scholars who followed his footsteps, such as al-Saduq, al-Khazzaz, al-Mufid (d. 413/1022) and al-Tusi (d. 460/1067). They merely clarified the consistency between the two concealments of the Twelfth Imam and the traditions predicting their occurrence⁴³.

From the historical viewpoint there are several reports which reveal that the traditions speaking about two concealments already existed prior to the year 329/941 when the second occultation began and that they were used by the Waqifa and the Imamites.

The Waqifa who stopped at the seventh Imam Musa al-Kazim (d. 183/799) contending that he was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, had narrated these traditions. Among the Waqifite narrators is Abu Muhammad 'Ali b. Ahmad al-'Alawi, who wrote a book in support of Waqifite doctrine called *Fi Nusrat al-Waqifa*. He mentions this tradition attributed to al-Sadiq:

"The Sahib al-Amr (i.e. al-Qa'im) will have two occultations, one of which will be longer than the other.

Finally people will say that he has died and others will say that he has been killed. Only a few of his followers will continue to support his Imamate, and no one will know his whereabouts and his affairs except his servant”.⁴⁴

As we have previously seen, one group of the Imamites held that the eleventh Imam had not died in 260/874, but had merely disappeared and would return and be recognized, only to disappear again before finally rising as *al-Qa'im*⁴⁵.

According to al-Nawbakhti (d. ca. 310/922) this group based its claim on the generally accepted narration which states that *al-Qa'im* will have two concealments⁴⁶. Agha Buzurg reports that such traditions were included by al-Hasan b. Mahbub al-Zarrad in *Kitab al-Mashyakha*,⁴⁷ and by al-Fadl b. Shadhan (d. 260/873) in *Kitab al-Ghayba*,⁴⁸ but these works are not extant.

Fortunately, al-Kulayni, who lived during the short occultation, has included three of these traditions in *al-Kafi*. According to one of these traditions, al-Sadiq said:

“Al-Qa'im will have two concealments, one of them short and the other long. In the first only his intimate partisans will know his whereabouts, while in the second only his close associates will know his whereabouts.”⁴⁹

These traditions predicting the two concealments of the Twelfth Imam which are reported by al-Hasan b. Mahbub, al-Fadl b. Shadhan and al-Kulayni were not invented by the Imamite narrators as is Sachedina's belief.

On the contrary, such traditions were the main reason why Imamite scholars like Ibn Qubba⁵⁰ and al-Nu'mani put forward the claim that the Twelfth Imam was *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, since they applied them to the historical circumstances which accompanied the career of the Twelfth Imam from 260/874 until the discontinuation of his direct communication with his followers after the death of his fourth *Saf'ir* in 329/941. Thus al-Nu'mani, after narrating such traditions, states,

“Considering the large number of traditions predicting the concealment transmitted through the centuries, if the concealment had not occurred the very principle of the Imama would be invalid. However by its occurrence Allah the Exalted has proved the authenticity of the Imams' warnings about the occultation and the correctness of their belief in it which they held generation after generation. In so doing, Allah obliged the Shi'a to accept it”⁵¹.

4. The Attitude of the Ordinary Imamites towards the Second Occultation

Despite the fact that the Imamite narrators like al-Nu'mani accepted the second occultation of the Twelfth Imam and contented themselves with the traditions going back to before 260/874 which predicted

its occurrence, the vast majority of the ordinary Imamites disagreed with them.

They argued that if the Imam was born in 256/870, he was 73 years old by the end of the first occultation in 329/941, and this accords with the life span of a normal person. They concluded that he had probably died, since death is the natural end for a person living to such an age. Al-Nu'mani describes the confusion among the Imamite populace as follows:

"The majority of the Imamites asked regarding the successor of al-Hasan, "Where is he?", "How could this happen?", "For how long will he be concealed?" and "How much longer will he live, since he is now about 73 years old?"

Some of them believed that he was dead. Other groups denied his birth or even his existence, and mocked those who believed in him. Some merely found it difficult to accept the prolongation of his concealment because they could not imagine that it was within the power of God. . . to prolong the age of His wali (i.e. the Imam) . . . and cause him to reappear afterwards" .52

According to al-Nu'mani the bulk of these groups abandoned their belief in the hidden Imam. In fact those who continued to hold a firm belief in his Imamate were a small minority belonging to the circles of narrators, like Ibn Qubba and al-Nu'mani himself, who based their belief on the traditions of the Imams.⁵³

Many scholars shared the perplexity of the Imamite masses over the prolonged occultation of the Twelfth Imam. According to Ibn al-Nadim, Abu Sahl Isma'il b. 'Ali al-Nawbakhti was the first to hold the opinion that the Twelfth Imam had died during his occultation, that his son had succeeded him, and that the Imama would continue in his progeny until Allah resurrected the Twelfth Imam.⁵⁴

The attribution of this statement to Abu Sahl may be sound, because in his defence and vindication of the concealment of the Imam written around the year 290/902, he does not expect the concealment to last beyond the life span of an ordinary person. He writes,

"Until the present time there has been one of his hidden and reliable adherents, who claims that he is the Imam's Gate (Bab) and the intermediary for his commands and orders to his followers. The period of the occultation (of the Imam) has not become so prolonged that it is exceptional and beyond the length of the concealments of those who went into concealment before him".55

Muhammad b. al-Hasan b. Ahmad b. 'Ali al-Salt al-Qummi was another Imamite scholar baffled by the discontinuation of direct communication with the Imam because of his prolonged occultation. Thus he went along with a philosopher from Bukhara in doubting the Imam's existence.⁵⁶

Several remarks made about twenty years after the beginning of the second occultation (around the year 352/963) suggest that confusion and despair over the immediate return of the Twelfth Imam became a dominant feature in Imamite circles.

Moreover harsh attacks on the concealment of the Twelfth Imam by such Mu'tazilites as Abu al-Qasim al-Balkhi⁵⁷ and such Zaydites as Abu Zayd al-'Alawis⁵⁸ and al-Sahib b. 'Abbad⁵⁹ increased this confusion among the Imamite populace from Nisapur to Baghdad, so that many Imamites abandoned their belief.⁶⁰

The confusion over the prolongation of the occultation along with the attacks from opposition groups encouraged the Imamite narrators to justify the *Ghayba* by composing works. At first they gathered their material from traditions attributed to the Prophet and the Imams. Such works are exemplified by al-Nu'mani's *Kitab al-Ghayba* and al-Saduq's *Kamal al-Din*.

The latter explains that he composed his work while he was living in Nisapur, because concealment of the Imam caused perplexity and bafflement among the majority of the Shi'a who used to visit him and consequently they had gone astray. Their number included even the well-known Qummi scholar Muhammad b. al-Salt.

This situation provoked him into writing a work quoting the authentic narrations attributed to the Prophet and the Imams on this issue. According to him, these narrations had already been assembled in *al-Usul al-Arba'mi'a* and had been written down before 260/874 by the followers of al-Sadiq and the other Imams⁶¹. He also devotes a chapter to people who lived to be more than 100 years old in order to vindicate the advanced age of the Twelfth Imam during his occultation.

By the end of the 4th/10th century, it seems that the argument based on traditions and employed by al-Kulayni, al-Mas'udi, al-Nu'mani, al-Saduq and al-Khazzaz were no longer sufficient⁶². Hence the Imamite scholars resorted to theological arguments (*'Ilm al-Kalam*) and used them extensively to vindicate the Imam's concealment. Al-Mufid (d. 413/ 1022) was perhaps the pioneer in this period.

In his work *al-Fusul al-'Ashara fi al-Ghayba* he tries to prove the existence of the hidden Imam on the basis of two principles: the necessity of the existence of an Imam at every period of time and the infallibility of this Imam. Al-Mufid's treatment of this subject became the framework for later Imamite scholars like his pupil al-Karajaki (d. 449/1057), al-Murtada and al-Tusi.

In *al-Ghayba*, the last of these advances both the traditional and the theological arguments for vindicating the complete occultation of the Twelfth Imam. However that may be, the theological approach goes beyond the historical approach of the present work and pertains to a later period.

5. The Application of the Epithet al-Mahdi to the Twelfth Imam

The traditions used by the Imamites during the short occultation to support the view that the Twelfth Imam was the one who will rise with the sword (*al-Qa'im bil-sayf*) were the same traditions talking about the Twelfth Imam as the expected Mahdi.

In other words, the two ideas, *al-Qa'im* and *al-Mahdi*, were already combined and applied to the Twelfth

Imam at the time of the Prophet. But, as we have already seen (pp 21–23, 30) the Imams due to certain reasons revealed it only to a few of their followers instructing them not to publicize it. In spite of this Sachedina holds that

“... the Mahdism of the Twelfth Imamite Imam was a later development in the theory of the Imamate of the hidden Imam, which combined the already known belief in the coming of al-Mahdi to restore justice and equity with the prolonged occultation of the Twelfth Imam”.⁶³

Sachedina reached this conclusion after examining the *Kutub al-Ziyarat*⁶⁴ which was included by al-Majlisi in his work *Bihar al-Anwar*⁶⁵. According to Sachedina the earliest work of this literary genre is related on the authority of the Twelfth Imam himself in reply to a letter written by Abd Allah al-Himyari (d. 290/902). Sachedina says,

“In this Ziyarah which I have carefully examined, there is no mention of the title al-Mahdi at all. The Twelfth Imam is not addressed as the Mahdi, the one promised by the Prophet. This is the first Ziyarah mentioned in this section of the Book on the Shrines.”⁶⁶

From the historical point of view there are several points in Sachedina's thesis which are open to question.

Firstly, according to sayings attributed to al-Baqir and al-Jawad, all the Imams hold the title *al-Qa'im*, inferring that they have been entrusted with the execution of Allah's order (*Kullun Qa'imun bi Amr Allah*); in addition, they all hold the title *al-Mahdi*, whose duty is to guide people to the Religion, of Allah (*kulluna Nahdi ila Din Allah*).⁶⁷ For this reason, we find that in the books on pilgrimage or *Ziyara*, all the Imams are addressed as *al-A'imma al-Rashidun al-Mahdiyyun*.⁶⁸

Consequently the Twelfth Imam must hold the title of al-Mahdi in this meaning, even though here the word has quite a different meaning from the epithet *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, the one promised by the Prophet who will rise with the sword⁶⁹.

– وَ أَنَّ الْمَهْدِيَّ أَنْتَصِرُ بِهِ لِدِينِي وَأُظْهِرُ بِهِ دَوْلَتِي وَأَنْتَقِمُ بِهِ مِنْ أَعْدَائِي وَأُعْبُدُ
بِهِ طَوْعاً وَكَرْهاً 811

– وَإِنَّ الْقَائِمَ إِذَا قَامَ سَارَ فِيهِمْ بِالسَّيْفِ وَالسَّبْيِ وَذَلِكَ أَنَّهُ يَعْلَمُ أَنَّ شِيعَتَهُ لَمْ
يُظْهِرْ عَلَيْهِمْ مِنْ بَعْدِهِ أَبَداً 812

Secondly, in the Imamite works there is a certain consistency between the signs indicating the rise of

al-Qa'im and his performance of his duty on earth following his return and those pointing to the rise of *al-Mahdi*. This can be noted in such statements as the following:

It becomes clear from numerous statements of this nature that the Imams used two different titles when referring to one person. A tradition attributed to al-Sadiq makes the identity between the two figures explicitly for when he was asked by his follower Abu Said al-Khurasani, "Are *al-Mahdi* and *al-Qa'im* one and the same person?" He replied "Yes."⁷⁰

Hence we find that al-Nu'mani sometimes refers to the Twelfth Imam as *al-Qa'im* and sometimes as *al-Mahdi* without imagining that such an application of the two terms would lead to confusion among the Imamites. It is clear that the two titles refer to the same person since al-Nu'mani also reports a narration attributed to al-Baqir revealing that *al-Mahdi is al-Qa'im bi-l-Sayf*.

When the *Qa'im* of the People of the House (*Ahl al-Bayt*) rises he will distribute equally among the people and deal justly with his subjects. He is called *al-Mahdi* because he will be the Guide to secret matters.⁷¹

For this reason al-Nu'mani refers to the Twelfth Imam as *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*.⁷²

Moreover it is clear that the expected Mahdi acquired this title because he will be 'guided' by Allah and will guide men to undertake a spiritual transformation of society, just as he acquired the title *al-Qa'im bi-l-Sayf* because he will rise by militant means to put into practice this transformation, namely the establishment of a truly Islamic State based entirely upon the shari'a as interpreted and implemented by the Prophet and his rightful successors, the Imams. This can also be seen in al-Mufid's interpretation of the doctrine of return (*al-Raj'a*):

*"I say that Allah the Exalted will return some of the dead people to the present world in the physical forms which they had before. He will do this to honour one group and to debase another, to grant superiority to the faithful over the deniers, and to judge between the oppressors and the oppressed. This will take place after the rising of al-Mahdi of the Family of Muhammad".*⁷³

Moreover most of the 'Alids who had been inspired by the Prophetic tradition predicting the rise of *al-Mahdi* held the title *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* when they rose in arms, like Muhammad b. Ja'far al-Sadiq, who rose in 199/814.⁷⁴

Thirdly, al-Kulayni and al-Mas'udi, both of whom lived during the period of the short occultation, report a tradition which explicitly refers to the Twelfth Imam as *al-Mahdi*: 'Ali b. Abi Talib said,

I thought about a child who will be from my flesh, the eleventh from my line of descendants. He is the *Mahdi* who will fill the earth with justice and equity when the height of injustice and tyranny in the world has been reached. He will live in a state of occultation as a result of which a group of people will go astray and another will remain faithful.⁷⁵

Al-Saduq (d. 381/991) includes similar traditions referring to the Twelfth Imam as *al-Mahdi* and as *al-Qa'im*.⁷⁶ He also cites the text of a visit (ziyara) to the Twelfth Imam during his occultation which is attributed to the second *Saf'ir*, Abu Ja'far, (d. 305/917), who addresses the Twelfth Imam as *al-Hujja al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*.⁷⁷

In the light of these points one can conclude that after the Twelfth Imam went into occultation for the first time, the Imamite scholars considered him as *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, the one who will rise with the sword. This was a strongly supported belief by the time of the occurrence of the second or complete occultation.

6. The Effect of the Complete Occultation on the Position of the Imamite Fuqaha'

The occurrence of the second occultation of the Twelfth Imam, followed by the immediate dissolution of the Imamite *Wikala* after the death of al-Sammari, the fourth safar in 329/941, left a serious vacuum in the Imamite leadership. This situation allowed the Imamite jurists (*al-Fuqaha'*) to extend their activities.

They reached a consensus that the concealed Imam would be alive until the moment of his rising in arms, irrespective of the length of his concealment. They based their view upon such traditions as that attributed to al-Sadiq, who says to his adherent Hazim,

O Hazim, the Sahib al-Amr (al-Qa'im) has two occultations and will rise after the second one. Anyone who comes to you claiming that he has purified his hands in the soil of his grave (i.e. the grave of al-Qa'im), do not believe him. ⁷⁸

But in reality they found themselves in need of a leader to save the congregation from possible disintegration, and there was no one to undertake this task except themselves. By the last quarter of the fourth/tenth century the ordinary Imamites were accepting the statements of the jurists as the actual statements of the Twelfth Imam, but they did not consider their authority equal to his.⁷⁹

In other words the *fuqaha'* were considered the spokesmen for the Imam's views concerning Islamic doctrine and law. But they were not in charge of the office of the Imam because as is explained by authors such as al-Tusi and al-Majlisi, it is not possible for anyone to hold the position of Imam before the rise of *al-Qa'im*.⁸⁰

For this reason the eminent leaders of the Imamites, al-Mufid (d.413/1022) and al-Tusi (d. 460/1067), refused to give themselves authority over the half of the *khums* ⁸¹ which was set aside for the Imam. Al-Mufid held that any faithful follower who wanted to pay the Imam's share should put it aside and either keep it in a safe place or bury it.

In case of his death, he should turn it over to a trustworthy person to give to the Imam when he rises. As for the other half of the *khums*, which is called *sadat share*, it should be divided into three shares and

distributed equally among the needy members of the Prophet's family, i.e. the orphans, the poor and the penniless travellers.⁸²

Al-Mufid's view was also held by such later scholars as al-Tusi, Abu al-Salah and Ibn Zahra al-Halabi. This consensus among the *Fuqaha'* concerning the *khums* continued until the 7th/13th century. But since the Twelfth Imam's occultation prolonged, the believers did not know what to do with the Imam's share in the *khums*, which they have been trusted with by their predecessors.

By the beginning of the 7th AH/13th century the Imamite *Fuqaha'*, in particular, al-Muhaqiq al-Hilli wanted to solve this problem. He began receiving the Imam's share in the *khums* and spent it on religious activities serving the Shiite cause.

This step taken by the later *Fuqaha'* marked a break with the authority of the earlier *Fuqaha'*. It was a factor along with other previous factors for the extension of the role of the *Fuqaha'* after the second occultation which can be seen in the following points:

Firstly, the prolongation of the occultation of the Twelfth Imam enabled the Imamite *fuqaha'* to develop their role from mere narrators of traditions into *mujtahidun*. It has been noted that as regards legal statutes (*al-Ahkam*) the *fuqaha'* used to consult the Twelfth Imam via his four representatives during the time of the short occultation (260–329/874–941). In other words their main function was to narrate the traditions of the Imams, and they continued to perform this function in the early years of the second occultation.⁸³

Thus they rejected the arguments based on reason (*'Aql*) put forward first by Ibn 'Aqil al-'Umam (in the first half of the fourth/tenth century and then by Ibn al-Junayd al-Askafi (d. 381/991). Both of these figures refined Imamite jurisprudence, put forward new ideas, separated the discussions about principles (*usul*) from those about subordinates (*furū'*) and based their method on the basic principles of jurisprudence. Their method was rejected by the Imamite *Fuqaha'* because it might lead to wrong inference in finding the religious rules.

They considered it a sort of wrong analogy (*qiyas fasid*) similar to that which was established and implemented by non-shi'ite jurists.

The prolongation of the Twelfth Imam's concealment, which was attacked by Zaydite scholars and others, led the Imamite jurists to introduce rational arguments in order to defend their belief in the existence of the Twelfth Imam⁸⁴

Men who had been mere narrators of traditions became scholastic theologians (*Mutakallimun*). This change in the role of the *Fuqaha'* can be seen in al-Mufid's works. His works mark a break with earlier Imamite writing like al-Saffar and al-Kulayni's works which are purely collections of traditions, whereas al-Mufid's are mainly treatises written in defence of the Imamite doctrine, in particular, the belief in the Twelfth Imam's occultation.

Al-Mufid also was a narrator of traditions (*Muhaddith*), but since he gave priority to the theological issues he was named the speaker of the Shiites (*Mutakallim al-Shi'a*). Moreover with the passing of time new situations arose to which the *shari'a* had to be applied, and since direct communication with the Twelfth Imam had come to an end, someone had to be found to give an answer to these questions.

Thus the Imamite *Fuqaha'* expanded their role by undertaking *Ijtihad* ⁸⁵ to answer such questions and to fill the vacuum which had been brought about by the concealment of the Twelfth Imam. Al-Mufid may have been the first jurist to practice *Ijtihad*. Then al-Tusi (d.460/1067) gave a definite shape to it.⁸⁶

Secondly, in light of the first point it is clear that during the last quarter of the fourth/tenth century the Imamite *Fuqaha'* acquired authority to give legal judgements (*fatwa*) to a much greater extent than those who had been contemporary with the beginning of the second occultation and the dissolution of the underground organization. It has already been noted that after the death of al-Sammari in 329/941 the Imamite agents and such *fuqaha'* as Muhammad b. Ahmad b. al-Walid were expecting the Imam's reappearance with the sword in the near future, and for this reason they refused to receive any of the *khums* supposing that it was forbidden for them to do so.⁸⁷

But ever since the time of al-Mufid the *fuqaha'* have granted themselves authority to receive the *sadat* share of the *khums* in order to distribute it amongst the needy of the Prophet's kindred. Since nothing was stipulated concerning the direct deputyship of the Twelfth Imam⁸⁸, the Imamite *fuqaha'* gradually gained enough authority to act as his indirect representatives. They based their new position on traditions which lay down the role they were to have while the Imam was in hiding. Below are the main traditions which have been used in supporting the authority of the *fuqaha'*:

i) The Twelfth Imam issued a pronouncement (*Tawqi*) in reply to Ishaq b. Ya'qub via his second *Saf'ir*:

*As for the events which will occur, turn to the narrators of our traditions, because they (the narrators) are my proof to you, while I am the proof of Allah to them.*⁸⁹

ii) Al-Tabarsi mentions this tradition attributed to the eleventh Imam:

*It is obligatory for the populace to follow the jurist who refrains from committing wrong, mentions his faith, opposes carnal desire, and obeys Allah's command.*⁹⁰

iii) Al-Tabarsi reports another transmission on the authority of the tenth Imam concerning the role of the *fuqaha'*:

After the occultation of your Qa'im a group of the 'ulama' will call people to believe in his (al-Qa'im's) Imamate and defend his religion by using proofs sent by Allah, so that they might save the weak-minded faithful from either the deceptions of Satan and his followers, or the deceptions of the anti-'Alids (al-Nawasib).

If none of these 'ulama' remain, then everyone will stray from the religion of Allah. However, as the pilot

*holds the rudder of the ship, the 'ulama' will hold firmly onto the hearts of the weak-minded Shiites, preventing them from straying. Those 'ulama' are the most excellent in the view of Allah the Exalted.*⁹¹

It is clear from the above traditions that the *fuqaha'* must possess two qualities before they can acquire the right to be the deputies of the Imam without direct stipulation. Firstly they should be knowledgeable in the law. Secondly they should be just. Then, irrespective of their family, whether they are from the progeny of al-Husayn or not, they are entitled to be deputies. It is worth repeating that the four *Saf'irs* of the Twelfth Imam were not descendants of 'Ali.

This may indicate that the Twelfth Imam wanted to train and raise his followers to accept, after his complete occultation, the leadership of the just and knowledgeable *fuqaha'*, even if they were not 'Alids. Moreover, it can be noted that after the beginning of the complete occultation, the majority of able *fuqaha'* were not from the progeny of 'Ali. Among such *fuqaha'* were al-Nu'mani (d. 360/970), al-Saduq (d. 381/991), al-Mufid (d. 413/1022).

In short the authority of the *fuqaha'* became so well-established amongst the Imamites that a considerable number of the later *fuqaha'*, such as al-Muhaqqiq al-Hilli (d. 676/1277) granted the *faqih* complete authority over the deputyship of the hidden Imam.⁹²

He gave himself as a *faqih* the right to deal with the Imam's share of the *khums* while the early *fuqaha'* like al-Mufid only gave themselves authority over the part of the *khums* (*sadat* share) intended for the orphans, the poor, and the penniless travellers of the Prophet's kindred.

Al-Hilli argues that if the first half of the *khums* (the Imam's share) is obligatory, then it must be distributed even during the Imam's occultation, because that which, Allah has made obligatory cannot be abrogated on account of the occultation of the Imam.

He continues to assert that the one who is charged with distributing the share of the Imam according to the needs of the Prophet's kindred must hold the deputyship of the Imam in legal matters i.e. that he must be one of the just Imamite *fuqaha'*.⁹³

The extension of the authority of the Imamite *fuqaha'* as a result of the prolongation of the Imam's occultation was a positive factor contributing to the unity of the Imamite community. It has been noted that after the death of each Imam, the Imamites split into various factions.

This trend reached its peak on the death of the eleventh Imam in 260/874, when his followers divided into fourteen groups. But after the occultation of the Twelfth Imam, the *fuqaha'* became united in their attempt to establish their own religious and political authority.

The force which united them was the belief in the Imamate of the concealed Imam. As a result, Shi'ism was saved from splitting into further factions. Consequently, the number of its followers increased. The death of a *faqih* who believed in the Imamate of the hidden Imam did not lead to a split amongst the

faqih's followers, and they usually accepted the leadership of another Imamite *faqih*.

Thus all the fourteen factions which had grown up among the followers of al-'Askari disappeared around the year 373/983 except for the one group which supported the Imamate of the Twelfth Imam, who was in a state of complete occultation.⁹⁴

1. T. al-Ghayba, 256.
2. al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 296–7.
3. Buzurg, Nawabigh al-Ruwat, 200. Saymara was the name of a town in the region of al-Jabal in Iran (Ibn Hawqal, op. cit., 158, 259), but it seems that the surname of the fourth Saf'ir is derived from the river al-Sammar in Basra, because most of his relatives lived there; Ithbat, 246–7; al-Subki, Tabaqat al-Shafi'iyya, III, 339.
4. Ithbat, 246–7.
5. Bihar, LI, 23.
6. Ithbat, 240.
7. al-Kafi, I, 524.
8. Kama'l, 517.
9. T. al-Ghayba, 257–8; Bihar, LI, 362.
10. Yaqut, Muja'm al-Buldan, I, 532.
11. Kama'l, 516; T. al-Ghayba, 257; al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 297; Sadr al-Din al-Sadr, op. cit., 179–80.
12. Kama'l, 516.
13. T. al-Ghayba, 237–8.
14. Kama'l, 516.
15. Kama'l, 516.
16. Kama'l, 516.
17. Kama'l, 516.
18. N. al-Ghayba, 92.
19. al-Irshad, 399; Bihar, LIII, complete work.
20. Kama'l, 516.
21. Rajowski, op. cit., 673–4.
22. al-Hasani, op. cit., 278.
23. For these traditions see al-'Asfari, op. cit., f. 1–2.
24. Kama'l, 442–3; T. al-Ghayba, 231–2.
25. Ithbat, 247–8.
26. al-Kafi, I, 329–30.
27. Watt, The Majesty that is Islam, 170–1.
28. al-Kafi, I, 183, 375, al-Tusi, al-Amali, I, 124. Al-Kulayni devotes a complete section to the principle of Wilaya. He records about 90 narrations containing an exegesis of Qur'anic verses concerning it, al-Kafi 412–38.
29. al-Kafi, I, 355, 339. For a full account of these traditions, see al-Saffar, Basa'ir al-Darajat, f. 23b; al-Mufid, Awa'il al-Maqalat, 8; al-Kafi, I, 177–8, 184.
30. al-Saffar, Basa'ir al-Darajat, f. 23b–24a.
31. Ikhtiyar, 464–5.
32. This can be noted in the tradition attributed to the ninth Imam, al-Jawad, who said, "If my son, 'Ali, died, a light from him will appear and when this light went off, another light will be concealed. I warn those who doubt what will happen." N. al-Ghayba, 99.
33. For all these traditions see al-'Asfari, op. cit., f. 1–2 (Appendix); Kama'l, 349.
34. See Chapter I.
35. al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 283.
36. Muhammad Baqir al-Sadr, Bahth Hawla al-Mahdi, 69–70.

37. Bihar, LI, 377–78.
38. T. al-Ghayba, 270.
39. Incidents recorded by Ibn Taghri Bardi indicate that the adherents of al-Shalmaghani continued their underground activities until the year 341/952, when the ‘Abbasids discovered their cells. For a full account, see Nujum, III, 307–8.
40. The disciples of al-Sadiq who narrated these traditions on his authority were Ishaq b. ‘Amman al-Sayrafi, Ibrahim b. ‘Amr al-Kannas Hisham b. Salim, al-Mufaddil b. ‘Umar, Hazim b. Habib, Abu Basir and Muhammad b. Muslim; N. al-Ghayba, 90–1.
41. N. al-Ghayba, 92.
42. Sachedina, op. cit., 125
43. T. al-Ghayba, 110.
44. al-‘Alawi, Fi Nusrat al-Waqifa, quoted in T. al-Ghayba, 44.
45. Q. Maqalat, 106.
46. N. Firaq, 97.
47. Buzurg, al-Dhari’a, XXI, 69.
48. Quoted in the T. al-Ghayba, 274.
49. al-Kafi, I, 340.
50. Kama’l, 112.
51. N. al-Ghayba, 6.
52. N. al-Ghayba, 80.
53. N. al-Ghayba, 99; Ibn Qubba quoted in Kama’l, 112.
54. Ibn al-Nadim, op. cit., 225.
55. Abu Sahl al-Nawbakhti, Kitab al-Tanbih, quoted in Kama’l, 3.
56. Kama’l, 3.
57. Quoted by al-Qadi ‘Abd al-Jabbar, al-Mughni, II, 176, 182–3.
58. Quoted in Kama’l, 94–122, 126.
59. Ibn ‘Abbad, Nusrat Madhahib al-Zaydiyya, 211.
60. Kama’l, 2–3, 16.
61. Kama’l, 2–3, 19.
62. There are two reports which support this point. First al-Saduq mentions that the Zaydites accused the Imamites of inventing the Prophetic traditions which indicate that his successors will be twelve Imams (Kama’l, 67–8). The Zaydite al-Saib b. ‘Abbad (d. 381/991) held this claim against the Imamites (Ibn ‘Abbad, Nusrat Madhahib al-Zaydiyya, 209–12). Also the Isma’ilis did so. Ivanow (ed.), Zahr al-Ma’atli, 51.
63. Sachedina, op. cit., 83.
64. Kutub al-Ziyarat are the books which give details of how to undertake pilgrimages to the shrines of the Imams.
65. Bihar, CII, 81.
66. Sachedina, op. cit., 86–7.
67. al-Kafi, I, 307, 536; Kama’l, 263; al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 249–50; Ithbat, 178–9.
68. al-Saduq, Man la Yahduruhu al-Faqih, II, 371; al-Tusi, al-Tahdhib, VI, 114; N. al-Ghayba, 45.
69. al-Saffar (d. 290), Basa’ir al-Darajat, f. 50a; al-Kafi, I, 243.
70. T. al-Ghayba, (Najaf, 1965), 296.
71. N. al-Ghayba, 125.
72. N. al-Ghayba, 125.
73. al-Mufid, Awa’il al-Maqalat, 50.
74. Maqatil, 359.
75. al-Kafi, I, 19, 35, 338; Ithbat, 260.
76. Kama’l, 256, 260, 280, 289, 333, 338, 342.
77. Kama’l, 512, 513.
78. N. al-Ghayba, 91; T. al-Ghayba, 274–5; Ikhtiyar, 476.

79. Kama'l, 81.
80. T. al-Ghayba, 215; Bihar, LII, 99.
81. The khums (the fifth) in Shiite law is an obligatory tax based on the following Qur'anic verse: "And know ye that whatever of a thing ye acquire, a fifth of it is for God, and for the Apostle, and for the Apostle's near relatives and the orphans and the needy and the penniless traveller" (al-Anfal, 8: 41). The Imams collected the khums from their followers and used the first three shares for the benefit of the congregation and the kindred of the Prophet, and the second three shares for distribution among the orphans, the needy, and the penniless traveller (wayfarer) of the Prophet's family; Asl 'Asim b. Hamid al-Hannat, f. 22; al-Kafi, II, 626–8.
82. al-Muhaqqiq al-Hilli, al-Mu'tabar fi sharh al-Mukhtasar (Qumm, 1318), 298; al-Jawami' al-Fiqhiyya (Iran, 1276), 12, 76.
83. For details see Ibn Dawud, al-Rijal, 110; T. al-Fihrist, 268, 363; Ibn Qubba, quoted in Kama'l, 120; al-Najashi, 315.
84. al-Sahib b. 'Abbad, op. cit., 211.
85. Ijtihad, in Sunni law means the pronouncing of independent judgements on legal or theological questions based on the interpretation and application of the four principles, the Qur'an, traditions, consensus, and reason (Aql). According to the Imamites, Ijtihad is employing all one's power to arrive at speculative probability (zann) in a case or in a rule of divine law depending mainly on the Qur'an and traditions; al-Ghurayf, al-Ijtihad wal-Fatwa (Beirut, 1978), 9.
86. For the role of al-Tusi in the formulation of Imamite Ijtihad, see Mahmud Ramyar, Shaykh Tusi, Ph.D. thesis (Edinburgh, 1977), 88–92.
87. T. al-Ghayba, 270.
88. al-Khumayni, al-Hukuma al-Islamiyya, (Beirut, 1978), 48.
89. al-'Amili, al-Wasa'il, XVIII, 101; Bihar, LIII, 181; al-Khumayni, op. cit., 77.
90. al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 263–4; al-'Amili, al-Wasa'il, XVIII, 94–5.
91. al-Tabarsi, al-Ihtijaj, II, 260.
92. al-Muhaqqiq al-Hilli, al-Mu'tabar, 298.
93. al-Muhaqqiq al-Hilli, al-Mu'tabar, 298.
94. al-Fusul al-Mukhtara, 261.

The conclusion of the present work can be summarized as follows:

i) The problem of the nature of the *Ghayba* of the Twelfth Imam is an old one in the history of Shi'ism and is closely connected with the question of the *Imama*. From the beginning the Shiites held that the Prophet could not have left his community without a leader to supervise the interpretation of the *shari'a* and its implementation in society.

On the contrary, he had appointed 'Ali as his successor and stipulated that the leadership of the community should pass to al-Hasan and al-Husayn, and thereafter to the eldest son of each subsequent Imam from the line of al-Husayn until the rise of *alMahdi*.

However, the Imams were unable to rule the whole community after the death of the Prophet. Since political power had been usurped by others, the Imams were forced to seek to regain it according to those methods which they felt to be sound and legal, even though the political and economic resources of their opponents were stronger than their own, especially after the martyrdom of al-Husayn.

None of the Imams after al-Husayn involved themselves directly in any obvious political activities or took part in direct incitement to revolt. In fact it seems that they restricted their activities to three major areas:

A) They encouraged the dissemination of Prophetic traditions amongst the people to acquaint them with the right of the People of the House of the Prophet (*Ahl al-Bayt*) to lead the community and to show them that their exclusion from actual political leadership did not mean that they were content to adopt a purely spiritual stance, nor did it imply tacit support of the government of the day.

Indeed they were totally committed to their struggle to regain control, but only when circumstances indicated the probable success of their rebellion, and when they were sure of the support of a sufficient number of faithful followers to aid the revolution and to implement Islam according to the Imamite approach.

B) From the Imamate of al-Sadiq the Imams circulated Prophetic *Hadith* amongst the Imamites themselves concerning the rise of an Imam from *Ahl al-Bayt* who would establish the righteous state. This Imam would be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*, who was mentioned in the Prophetic traditions. He would first go into a state of concealment from which he would continue to direct the affairs of the *Imama*. Then he would go into total occultation.

But the majority of the traditions did not specify which Imam this would be, nor did they stipulate a definite date for his uprising. This obscurity allowed some 'Alids to use these traditions to support their own political aims, without heeding the instructions of the Imam as regards the correct circumstances for the concealment and rising of *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*.

A clear example of this is seen in the case of the Isma'ilis, who broke away from the Imamites and continued to carry out their activities secretly between the years 145–296/762–908, until one of them managed to reach power in the year 296/908, claiming the title *al-Mahdi*.

The Zaydites also used these traditions in their attempts to gain control, but lacked the precaution and careful planning of the Isma'ills and the Imamites. In fact the obscurity of these *Hadiths*, related from al-Sadiq was one of the reasons that some Imamites believed that the concealed Imam was Musa al-Kazim, who would rise as *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi*. These people were called the Waqifa.

C) The early Imams believed that any of them could be *al-Qa'im al-Mahdi* if the conditions were right but after the failure of their intended revolt in 140/757, they decided that it would be illadvised to fix a particular date for another uprising.

In this way the Imams hoped to encourage their followers in religious activities which would pave the way for more political matters at the appropriate time. At the same time they also secretly encouraged their most faithful followers, who found that they had no option but to rebel against unjust and tyrannical rulers.

One of the results of these policies was the establishment of the *Wikala* during the Imamate of al-Sadiq as a means of supervising the activities of the Imamites and guiding them towards the final aim of the Imams, namely the establishment of a truly Islamic state based entirely upon the *shari'a* as interpreted

and implemented by the Prophet and his Household.

D) The 'Abbasid authorities were aware of the danger posed by the Shiites and especially by the Imamites. So they tried to turn the attention of the people away from the rights of *Ahl al-Bayt* (the People of the House) by fabricating Prophetic traditions which stated that the Imam after the Prophet was al-'Abbas and not 'Ali.

Simultaneously, they worked to divide the Shiites internally by appointing men from the *Jaririyya* to certain sensitive posts, so that the *Jaririyya* could investigate the Imamites and spread propaganda against them. After the failure of this policy, from the time of al-Ma'mun the 'Abbasids instituted a new plan which was intended to curtail the revolutionary activities of the Shiites. Part of this plan was to make the Imams their courtiers so that they could watch their every move.

This can be observed in the policy of al-Ma'mun, who brought al-Riḍa from Medina to Merv and appointed him his heir apparent, keeping him under house arrest. Al-Ma'mun followed a similar policy with the ninth Imam al-Jawad. Later the 'Abbasid caliphs followed al-Ma'mun's lead in their attitude towards the tenth Imam, al-Hadi, and his son al-'Askari, both of whom were kept under house arrest in Samarra for most of their lives.

It thus became extremely difficult for the Imams to have normal relations with their followers, except their closest associates with whom they held secret meetings.

It seems likely that the house arrest of the later Imams led them to expand the role of the organization, the *Wikala*, and to entrust the *Saf'ir* with more authority to supervise the Imamites' activities. From the time of al-Jawad onwards, the Imam began to guide the activities of his followers through his *Saf'ir*. The ordinary Imamites found such a situation strange and had to be educated to accept such indirect communication with the Imam.

However the role of the *Saf'ir* during this period is not as obvious as his later position during the first occultation of the Twelfth Imam, because the Imam's whereabouts were well-known and his position clear.

It also seems that the continuation of the house arrest encouraged the Imams to find a means which might release them from its restrictions. From the years 245–250/859–64 onwards statements related on the authority of al-Hadi and al-'Askari, indicating that an unnamed Twelfth Imam would go into concealment, were circulating amongst the Imamites. Furthermore al-Hadi and al-'Askari ordered their close agents to follow the instructions of 'Uthman b. Said al-'Umari and his son Abu Ja'far (i.e. the first and the second safirs).

It appears therefore that outwardly and historically the Imam's first concealment grew from the desire of his fathers to evade the surveillance of the government of the day, so that he could safely perform the duties of the *Imama*.

E) An attempt has been made in this study to prove that the eleventh Imam, al-‘Askari, left a single male successor, whose name was Muhammad and who was smuggled by his father from Samarra to Medina in 259/873. He was the Twelfth Imam and his concealment began during the years 260–329/874–941. This was regarded as his first occultation, during which he continued to carry out his activities without disclosing his identity or his whereabouts, except to his four *Saf’irs* and certain select followers.

The first occultation was distinguished by the widening of the role of the *Wikala*. Throughout this period the four *saf’rs* directed the Imamites' activities. Their names were ‘Uthman b. Said al-‘Umari, Abu Ja’far Muhammad b. ‘Uthman, al-Husayn b. Ruh al-Nawbakhti and ‘Ali b. Muhammad al-Sammari. Baghdad was the centre of activities for the *saf’r*, who had agents in other provinces, beneath whom were many local agents.

A critical study of this period reveals that the main function of the *Saf’irs* was to perform certain tasks previously undertaken by the Imams so as to save the Imam from the political pressure of the ‘Abbasids, which had been directed toward his predecessors from the time of al-Ma’mun.

The split amongst the Imamites after the death of al-‘Askari in 260/874 led the first and the second *Saf’irs* to concentrate their efforts upon re-uniting the Imamite ranks by proving the existence of the Twelfth Imam and emphasizing that he was *al-Qa’im al-Mahdi*; that is, he who would undertake the elimination of oppressive government by militant means.

While the Imam was in hiding the role of the *Saf’ir* continued to increase so that by the time of the fourth *Saf’ir*, his statements began to be regarded as the statements of the Imam himself. It seems that the increased role of the *Saf’ir* was the result of the instructions of the Imam himself, who wanted his followers to accept the leadership of the jurists until the moment of his reappearance.

F) On the death of the fourth *Saf’ir* in 329/941 no further *Saf’ir* was appointed and all direct communications with the Imam came to an end, which meant the end of the Imamite *Wikala*. This was also considered the beginning of the second occultation. At this stage the Imamites expected the Imam's reappearance in the near future, and as a result none of the jurists dared to act on behalf of the Imam.

However the prolongation of the occultation led them to attempt to fill the vacuum left by the death of the fourth *Saf’ir*. They turned their attention to theological matters and became the leaders of the Imamites in this field. Gradually they came to be seen as the hidden Imam's indirect deputies, who were leading the community and instructing in the law during his occultation.

Finally the concealment of the Twelfth Imam seems to have been closely connected with two important phenomena:

Firstly, with the occurrence of the second occultation, most of the Shiite revolts, particularly those of the Zaydite and the Imamites, gradually disappeared.

Secondly, when the Imams were openly living amongst their followers, they suffered along with them from the oppression of the government, which was suspicious of their ambitions. But after the second occultation this oppression all but disappeared, and the Imamite jurists (*Fuqaha*) began to carry out their activities without encountering the difficult conditions faced by their predecessors.

This encouraged one to put forward the idea that Imams were throughout their lives trying to recover their usurped right, the political leadership of the Islamic state, by means which they believed to be correct and legal, while after the second occultation this task fell upon the Imamites themselves under the leadership of the *Fuqaha*; a situation which has continued until the present day.

In other words, as long as the Muslims are not ready for such political transformation the rise of the hidden Imam, the expected Mahdi, will be far. During his occultation it is the task of his followers in particular the *Fuqaha* to make Muslims ready for this transformation. They should struggle to make them true committed Muslims practicing the *shari'a* in its true sense in their daily life and in all aspects of society.

The *Fuqaha* should convince the Muslims that their rightful leader is the hidden Imam, the expected Mahdi, who was divinely appointed and that he acquired this title, the Mahdi, because he will be 'guided' by Allah and will guide men to undertake a spiritual and political transformation of society.

Before the reappearance of the hidden Imam, the *Fuqaha* can assume political authority in order to disseminate the above tasks and to implement the rules of the *shari'a*.

'Abbas Iqbal, *Khandan-i Nawbakhti*, Tehran, 1966/1386.

'Abd al-Muhsin al-'Abbad, *'Aqa'id Ahl al-Sunna wal-Athar fi al-Mahdi al-Muntazar*, Part I, *al-Hadi*, Vol. 1, Qumm, 1971/1391, pp. 30-42.

Abu al-Fida, 'Imad al-Din Isma'il (d. 732§1332), *al-Mukhtasar fi Akhbar al-Bashar*, Vols. 1-4, Cairo, n.d.

al-'Ali, Salih Ahmad, *"Idarat Baghdad fi al-'Usur al-'Abbasiyya al-U1a"*, *Sumar*, XXXIII (1977), 126-146. --, *"al-Mada'in fi al-Masadir al-'Arabiyya"*, *Sumar* XXIII, 47-66.

al-'Allaq, Husayn Sabih, *al-Shu'ara wal-Kuttab fi al-'Iraq fi al-Qarn al-Thalith al-Hijri*, Beirut, 1975

al-'Amid, Tahir Muzaffar, *Baghdad*, Najaf, 1967.

al-'Amili Baha' al-Din (d. 1031/1622), *al-Risala al-Ithna 'Ashariyya fi al-Radd 'ala al-Sufiyya*, India Office Library, Arabic Ms. 2161, Delhi Arabic No. 719J.

Amin, Ahmad, *Duhr al-Islam*, Vols. 1-2, Cairo, 1958. (Amin) *al-Mahdi wal-Mahdawiyya*, Cairo, 1951.

al-Amin, Muhsin al-Husayni al-Amili, *A'yyan al-Shi'a*, Vols. 1-55, Beirut, n.d.

al-Ansari, 'Abd al-Wahid, *Madhahib Ibtadatha al-Siyasa fi al-Islam*, Beirut, 1973/1393.

'Arib b. Sa'd al-Qurtubi (d. 366/976), *Silat Tarikh al-Tabari*, Leiden, 1897.

al-'Asfari, 'Abbad b. Ya'qub al-Rawajini (d. 250/864), *Asl Abu Said al-'Ayfarz*, Ms. Tehran Univ. no. 262 and 1962.

al-Ash'ari, 'Ali b. Isma'il (d. 329/941), *Maqalat al-Ishamiyyin*, 2 vols., Cairo, 1950-2.

---, *al-Luma'fi al-Radd 'ala Ahl al-Zaygh wal-Bida'*, Cairo, 1955.

---, *al-Ibana 'an Usul al-Diyana*, Cairo, 1348/1929.

al-'Askari, Najm al-Din Ja'far b. Mu., *al-Mahdi al-Maw'ud al-Muntazar*, vols. 1-2, Beirut, 1977/1397.

al-Azdi, Abu Zakariyya Yazid (d. 334/945), *Tarikh al-Mawsil*, vol. 2, Cairo, 1387/1967.

al-Azraqi, Muhammad b. 'Abd Allah (d. ca. 244/858), *Akhbar Makka*, vols. 1-2, Mecca, 1965.

Bacharach, Jere L., *A Near East Studies Handbook*, Seattle and London, 1976.

al-Baghdadi, cAbd al-Qahir b. Tahir (d. 429/1037), *al-Farq bayn al-Firaq*, Beirut, 1393/1973.

----, *Moslem Schisms and sects (al-Farq bayn al-Firaq)*, trans. from Arabic by K. C. Seelye, New York, 1966.

----, *Usul al-Din*, Istanbul, 1928.

al-Baladhuri, Ahmad b. Yahya (d. 279/892), *Futah al-Buldan*, Beirut, 1957.

Bandar, 'Abd al-Zahara, *'Aqidat al-Bada'*, M. A. Thesis, Baghdad University, Bahgdad, 1976.

al-Barqi, Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Khalid (d. 274-80/887-93), *Kitab al-Mahasin*, Tehran, 1370/1950.

---, *al-Rijal*, Tehran, 1342. Bosworth, C. E., *The Islamic Dynasties*, Edinburgh, 1967.

Brockelmann, Carl, *Geschichte der Arabischen Literatur*, 2nd rev. ed., 2 vols., Leiden, 1943-49. ----, *Geschichte der Arabischen Literatur*, supplement, 3 vols., Leiden, 1937-42.

al-Bukhari, Muhammad b. Isma'il (d. 256/870), *Kitab al-Jami' al-Sahih*, Leiden, 1862/1908.

Buzurg, Muhammad Muhsin al-Tihriani, *al-Dhari'ah ila Tasanif al-Shi'a*, vols. 1-20, Najaf, 1936-70.

(Buzurg) *Musaffa al-Maqal*, Tehran, 1378/1958.

----, *Tabaqat A'lam al-Shi'a*, vols. 1-8, Beirut, 1971.

al-Dhahabi, Muhammad b. Ahmad (d 748/1347), *al-'Ibar fi Khabar man Ghabar*, vols, 1-4, Kuwait, 1960-63. .

----, *Mizan al-I'tidal fi Naqd al-Rijal*, vols. 1-4, Cairo, 1383/1963.

----, *Tarikh al-Islam wa Mashahir al-'Alam*, vols. 1-5, Cairo, 1368/1948-9; National Library, Paris, Ms. (Arabic No. 1581).

---, *Tadhkirat al-Huffaz*, Haydar Abad, 1398-9/1968-70.

Dakhiyyi, 'Ali Muh. 'Ali, *al-Imam al-Mahdi* Najaf, 1385/1966.

Di'bil al-Khuza'i (d. 246/860), *Shir Di'bil b. 'Ali al-Khuza'i*, ed. by 'Abd al-Karim al-Ashtar, Damascus, 1384/1964.

al-Dijayf, 'Abd al-Hamid, *Rasa'il Isma'iliyya Nadira*; includes *Risalat al-Ghayba*, the occultation of the Fatimid caliph al-Hakim attributed to Ibn Abi Yala, and was written in 411/1012, *Majallat al-Majma' al-'Ilmi al-'Iraqi*, vol. 3, part 1, 1954, pp. 405-421; vol. 4, part 1 1956, pp. 251-64.

al-Dinawari, Abu Hanifa Ahmad b. Dawud (d. 282/896), *al-Akhbar al-Tiwal*, ed. by 'Abd al-Mun'im 'Amir, Cairo, 1960

Donaldson, D. M., *The Shiite Religion; A History of Islam in Persia and Irak*, London, 1933.

al-Duri, A. A., *Tarikh al-'Iraq fi al-Qarn al-Rabi' al-Hijri*, Baghdad, 1948.

Eliash, J., "Hasan al-'Askari", E. I 2, III.

al-Fadli, 'Abd al-Hadi, *Fi Intizar al-Imam*, Beirut, 1972.

Fayyad, 'Abd Allah, *Tarikh al-Imamiyya wa Aslafihim min al-Shi'a*, Baghdad, 1972.

---, *Tarikh al-Tarbiyya 'inda al-Imamiyya wa Aslafihim min al-Shi'a*, Baghdad, 1972.

Flotin, Van, *al-Siyada al-'Arabiyya wa al-Shi'a wal Israiliyyat*, trans. into Arabic by Hasan Ibrahim and Muhammad Zaki, Cairo, 1934.

Fyzee, A. A., *A Shiite Creed*, a translation of *Risalat al-i'tiqadat* of al-Saduq al-Qummi, London, 1942.

al-Galbaygani, Lutf Allah al-Safi, *Muntakhab al-Athar fi al-Imam al Thani 'Ashar*, 2nd ed. Tehran, n.d.

al-Ghurayfi, Muhyi al-Din al-Musawi, *al-Ijtihad wal-Fatwa fi 'asr al-Ma'sum wa Ghaybatahu*, Beirut, 1978.

Gibb, H. A. R., "Abu al-Saraya", E. I. 2

Goldziher, I., *al-'Aqida wa al-Shari'a fi al-Islam*, trans. by Muh. Yusuf, Cairo, 1378/1959.

(Goldziher) and Tritton, A. S., "Bada'", E. I. 2, I.

Grunebaum, G. E. von, *Classical Islam, A History 600–1258*, trans. by K. Watson, London, 1970.

al-Hadrami, Ja'far b. Muhammad b. Shurayh, *Kitab Ja'far b. Shurayh*, Ms. Tehran Univ., no. 262. 1262.

al-Ha'iri, 'Ali b. Zayd al-'Abidin al-Yazdi, *Ilzam al-Nasib fi Ithbat al-Hujja al-Gha'ib*, Karbala', 1963.

----, *Muntaha al-Maqal*, Iran, 1302.

al-Hasani, Hashim Ma'ruf, *Sirat al-A'imma al-Ithna 'Ashar*, vols. 1–2, Beirut, 1977/1397.

al-Hasani, Jihad, *The Question of Imama, Political and Religious Authority in Twelver Shiite Thought*, Ph.D. Thesis, Manchester, 1974.

al-Haythami, Ibn Hajar (d. 974/1565), *al-Sawa'iq al-Muhriqa fi al-Radd 'ala Ahl al-Zaygh wal-Zandaqa*, Cairo, 1312/1894.

al-Hilili, Sulaym b. Qays al-'Amiri al-Kufi (d. 80–90/699–708), *As Sulaym b. Qays*, Najaf, n.d.

al-Hilli al-'Allaama al-Hasan b. Yusuf (d. 726/1325), *Anwar al-Malakut fi Sharh al-Yaqut*, Tehran, 1338 solar.

----, *Kashf al-Murad fi Tajrid al-Itiqad*, Mashhad, n.d.

Hodgson, M. G. S., "How did the early Shi'a become sectarian?", *J.A.O.S.*, LXXV (1955), pp. 1–13.

---, "Dja'far al-Sadiq", *El.2*, II.

Huart, Cl., *"Isma'iliyya"*, *E. I. 2*

al-Hurr al-'Amili, Muhammad b. al-Hasan (d. 1104/1692), *Wasa'il al-Shi'a*, 20 vols., Beirut, 1391/1971.

Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhab, Husayn, *'Uyun al-Mujizat*, Najaf, 1950.

Ibn Abi 'Awn Muhammad b. Ahmad b. al-Najm (d. 322/933), *Kitab al-Tashbihat*, London, 1950/1369.

Ibn A'tham, Ahmad al-Kufi (d. 314/926), *Kitab al-Futuh*, vols. 1–8, Haydar Abad 1395/1975.

Ibn al-Athir, 'Izz al-Din (d. 630/1232), *al-Kamil fi al-Tarikh*, Leiden, 1283–93/1866–76.

---, *al-Lubab fi Tahdhib al-Ansab*, vols. 1–3, Cairo, 1356.

Ibn Dawud, al-Hasan b. 'Ali al-Hilli (d. 647/1249), *Kitab al-Rijal*, Tehran, 1964.

Ibn Furat al-Kufi, Furat b. Ibrahim (d. 3rd/9th century), *Tafsir Furat al-Kufi*, Najaf, n.d.

Ibn Hanbal, Ahmad (d. 241/855), *al-Musnad*, Cairo, 1313/1895.

Ibn Hawqal, Abu al-Qasim Muhammad, *al-Masalik wal-Mamalik*, Leiden, 1873.

Ibn Hawshab, al-Husayn b. Farah b. Mansur (d. ca. 322/933), *Kitab al-Kashf* ed. R. Strothmann,

Cairo/London/Bombay, 1952.

Ibn Hazm, 'Ali b. Ahmad (d. 456/1063), *al-Fasl fi al-Milal wal-Ahwa' wal-Nihal* 5 vols; in the margin, al-Shahristani, *al-Milal wa-l-Nihal*, Cairo, 1317-21, and Beirut, 1395/1975.

--- *Jamharat Ansab al-'Arab*, Cairo, 1391/1971.

Ibn Hibban, Muh. b. Hibban b. Ahmad Abi Hatim (d. 354/965), *Kitab al-Majruhin min al-Muhaddithin wal-du'afa wal-Matrukin*, 3 vols., Halab, 1396/1976.

----, *Mashahir 'Ulama' al-Amsar*, ed. by M. Fleischhammer, Weisbaden, 1959.

Ibn Hisham, *Das Leben Muhammads*, ed. by Wustenfeld, Gottingen, 1859.

Ibn al-Jawzi, Abu al-Faraj 'Abd al-Rahman (d. 597/1200), *al-Muntazam fi Tarikh al-Mulk wal-Umam*, vols., 3-6, Haydar Abad, 1357/1938, and Cairo, n.d.

----, *Talbis Iblis*, Cairo, 1928.

Ibn Kathir, Isma'il b. 'Umar (d. 774/1372), *al-Bidaya wal-Nihaya*, Beirut, 1386/1966.

Ibn Khaldun (d. 808/1406), *al-'Ibar wa Diwan al-Mubtada' wal-Khabar*, Cairo, 12847/1867/70.

----, *al-Muqaddima*, Cairo, 1322/1904.

Ibn Khallikan, Abu al-'Abbas Ahmad (d. 681/1282), *Wafayat al-A'yan*, Cairo, 1367/1948.

Ibn Khurdadhibi, Abu al-Qasim 'Ubayd Allah (d. 300/912), *Kitab al-Masalik wal Mamalik*, Leiden, 1889.

Ibn Manzur, Muhammad b. Mukarram (d. 711/1311), *Lisan al-'Arab*, Cairo, 13003/1885-7.

Ibn Miskawayh, Ahmad b. Muhammad (d. 421/1030), *Tajarib al-Umam*, 4 vols., Cairo, 1914.

Ibn al-Mu'tazz, 'Abd A'illah (d. 296/908), *Tabaqat al-Shu'ara'*, ed. by 'Abd al-Sattar Farraj, Cairo, 1956.

Ibn Muttahir, al-Hasan b. Yusuf b. 'Ali al-Hilli, *Idah al-Ishtibah fi Asma al-Ruwat*, ed. by Muhammad b. al-Fayd al-Kashani (d. after 1112/1700) under the name *Naqd al Idah*, Mashhad, 1351.

Ibn al-Nadim, Abu al-Faraj Muhammad b. Abi Ya'qub Ishaq (d. 380/990), *al-Fihrist*, ed. by Reza Tajadud, Tehran, 1971, and Egypt, 1350/1931; trans. by Dodge, 2 vols., New York, 1970.

Ibn Shadhan, al-Fadl (d. 260/873), *Kitab al-Idah*, Tehran, n.d.

Ibn Shahr ikshub, Muhammad b. 'Ali (d. 588/1162), *Ma'alim al-'Ulama*, Tehran, 1353.

Ibn Shu'ba, Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Halabi (d. 4th/10th century), *Tuhaf al-'uqul 'an Al al-Rasul*, Najaf, 1966.

Ibn Taghri Bardi, Abu al-Mahasin (d. 874/1469), *al-Nujum al-Zahira*, 12 vols., Cairo, n.d.

Ibn al-Taqtaqa, Muhammad b. 'Ali b. Tabataba (d. 701/1300), *al-Fakhri fi al-Adab al-Sultaniyya wa-l-Duwal al-Ishamiyya*, Cairo, 1927.

Ibn Tawus, 'Abl b. Musa (d. 664/1265), *al-Iqbal*, Iran, n.d.

-----, *Kashf al-Mahajja*, Najaf, 1950/1370.

-----, *al-Malahim wal-Fitan*, Najaf, 1948.

Ibn Tayfur, Ahmad b. Tahir (d. 280/893), *Kitab Baghdad*, ed. by 'Izzat al-'Attar, Cairo, 1368/1949.

Ibn Taymiyya, Ahmad b. 'Abd al-Halim (d. 728/1327), *Jami' al-Rasa'il*, Cairo, n.d.

Ibn Tulun, Shams al-Din Muhammad (d. 953/1546), *al-Shadharat al-Dhahabiyya fi Tarajum al-A'imma al-Ithna 'Ashar 'Ind al-Imamiyya*, ed. by Salah al-Din al-Munajjid, Beirut, 1958.

Ibn 'Uqda, Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Said (d. 333/944), *Kitab al-Malahim*, Ms. 262, Tehran University.

Ibrahim Amim, *Kitab Ghaybat Shaykh Tusi wa Siyar Tarikhi Masa'alat Ghaybat Imam*, Co-Memorial of al-Tusi (385-460), vol. 1, pp. 287-313, Mashhad, 1970/1348.

al-Irbili, 'Ali b. 'Isa (d. 693/1293), *Kashf al-Ghumma fi Ma'rifat al-A'imma*, vols. 1-2, Tabriz, Qumm, 1381/1961, and vols. 1-3, Najaf, 1965.

al-Isfahani, Abu al-Faraj 'Ah b. al-Husayn (d. 356/966), *Kitab al-Aghani*, vols. 1-20, Cairo, 1285/1868. ---, *Maqatil al-Talibiyyin*, Cairo, 1949, and Najaf, 1965.

Ivanow, W., *The Alleged founder of Isma'ilism*, Bombay, 1946.

-----, "Isma'ilis and Qarmatians", *Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society*, XVI (1940), 43-85.

---, *The Rise of the Fatimids*, Bombay, 1946.

Ja'far al-Khalili, *Mawsu'at al-'Atabat al-Muqaddasa*, Samarra section, vol. 1, Baghdad, 1965.

al-Jahshiyari, Muhammad b. 'Abdus (d. 331/941), *Kitab al-Wuzara' wal-Kuttab*, Cairo, 1938.

Javad 'Ali, "Die beiden ersten safire des zwolften Imams", in *Der Islam*, XXV (1939), 197-227.

al-Kanji, Muhammad b. Yusuf (d. 658/1260), *al-Bayan fi Akhbar Sahib al-Zaman*, Tabriz, 1323.

al-Kashshi, Muhammad b. 'Umar b. 'Abd al-'Aziz (d. ca. 340/951), *Ikhtiyar Ma'rifat al-Rijal*, Mashhad, 1348.

al-Karajaki, Abu al-Fath Muhammad b. 'Ali b. 'Uthman (d. 449/1057), *Kanz al-Fawa'id*, includes five letters: *Risalat al-Bayan 'an Jumal l'tiqadat Ahl al-Imam*; *Risala fi Wujub al-Imama*; *Risala fi Usul al-Fiqh*; *Risalar al-Burhan 'ala Sihhat Tul 'Umar Sahib al-Zaman*; *Risalat al-Ta'ajjub min al-Karajaki*.

- al-Kharraz al-Rizi al-Qummi (d. after 381/991), *Kifayat al-Athar fi al-Nusus 'ala al-A'imma al-Ithna 'Ashar*, Iran, 1305/1888. al-Khirsan, Hasan, *Sharh Mashyakhat Tahdhib al-Ahkam*, Najaf, 1963.
- al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, Ahmad b. 'Ali (d. 463/1070), *Tarikh Baghdad*, 14 vols., Cairo, 1349/1931.
- al-Khawansari, Muhammad Baqir (d. 1313/1895), *Rawdat al-Jannat*, Tehran, 1390/1970.
- al-Khumaym, Ruh Allah al-Musawi, *al-Hukuma al-Islamiyya* (Wilayat al-Faqih), Beirut, 1977.
- al-Kindi, Muhammad b. Yusuf (d. ca. 335/946), *Wulat Misr*, Beirut, 1959/1379.
- Kohlberg, E., "From Imamiyya to Ithna-'Ashariyya", *Bulletin of S.O.A. S.*, London Univ., XXXIX, part 3 (1976), 521-534.
- al-Kubaysi, Hamdan 'Abd al-Majid, *'Asr al-Khalifa al-Muqtadir bi-llah* (295-320/907932), Najaf, 1394/1974.
- al-Kulayni, Muhammad b. Ya'qub (d. 329/941), *al-Kafi fi 'Ilm al-Din*, 6 vols., Tehran, 1381/1961.
- , *al-Rawda min al-Kafi*, Tehran, n.d.
- al-Laythi, Samira Mukhtir, *Jihad al-Shi'a ji al-'Asr al-'Abbasi al-Awwal*, Beirut, 1976.
- Lewis, B., *The Origin of Isma'ilism*, Cambridge 1940.
- , "Abu al-Khattab", *EI* 2.
- , "Ali al-Riḍā", *EI* 2. I
- , "al-'Askari", *EI* 2. II
- , "Bab", *EI* 2. I
- Macdonald, D.B., "al-Ghayba", *EI* 1 II
- , "al-Mahdi", *EI* 1 III
- Madelung, W., "Karmati", *EI* 2. IV.
- al-Majlisi, Muhammad Baqir (d. 1111/1699), *Bihar al-Anwar*, vols. 50-54, Tehran, 1376 continuing.
- Massignon, L., *La Passion de Husayn Ibn Mansur Hallaj*, 3 vols., Gallimard, 1975.
- , "Nusairi", *EI* 1', III.
- al-Mas'udi, 'Ali b. al-Hasan (d. 346/957), *Ithbat al-Wasiyya*, Najaf, 1955.
- , *Muruj al-Dhahab wa Ma'adin al-Jawhar fi al-Tarikh*, 9 vols., Paris, 1961-76.
- , *al-Tanbih wal-Ishraf*, Baghdad, 1357/1938.
- Mir Lawhi, Sayyid (d. 12th/ 17th century), *Kifayat al-Muhtaji fi Ma 'rifat al-Mahdi*, Ms.

in Tehran University Library, Mishkat Collection.

al-Mufid, Muhammad b. Muhammad b. al-Nu'min (d. 413/ 1022), *Awa'il al-Maqalat fi al-Madhahib wa-l-Mukhtarat*, Tabriz, 1371.

---, *al-Fusul al-'Ashara fi al-Ghayba*, Najaf, 1371/1951.

----, *al-Irshad*, Najaf, 1392/1972.

(al-Mufid) *al-Muqni'a fi al-Fiqh*, Qumm, 1966.

-----, *Tashih l'tiqddat al-Imamiyya*, Tabriz, 1371/1951.

al-Muhaqqiq al-Hilli, Ja'far b. al-Hasan (d. 676/1277), *al-Mu'tabar fi Sharh al-Mukhtasar*, Qumm, 1368/1948.

al-Murtada, 'Ali b. al-Husayn (d. 436/1044), *Mas'ala wajiza fi al-Ghayba*, Baghdad, 1955.

--, *al-Shaf fi al-Imama*, Tehran 1301/1883; also its summary by al-Tusi, *Talkhis al-Shafi*, 4 vols., Najaf, 1963.

---, *al-Fusul al-Mukhtara min al-'Uyun wal-Mahasin lil-Mufid*, Najaf, 1966.

Muslim b. al-Hajjaj al-Qushayri al-Nisaburi (d. 261/875), *Sahih Muslim*, 4 vols., Cairo, 1377/1957.

al-Muzaffar, Muhammad Riqa, *al-Saqifa*, Najaf, 1965.

al-Najashi, Ahmad b. 'Ali b. Ahmad (d. 450/1058), *Kitab al-Rijal*, Tehran, n.d.

Naji, 'Abd al-Jabbar, "Tarikh al-Tabari Masdaran an Thawrat al-Zanj", *al-Mawrid*, VII, No. 2 (1978), pp. 37-92.

----, "al-Tanzim al-'Askari li-Jaysh Sahib al-Zanj", *al-Mu'arrikh al-'Arabi*, VII (1978), 116-157.

al-Nawbakhti, al-Hasan b. Musa (d. before 310/922), *Firaq al-Shi'a*, ed. by Ritter, Leipzig, 1931, and Najaf, 1963.

al-Nu'mani, Muhammad b. Ibrahim (d. 360/970), *Kitab al-Ghayba*, Tabriz, 1317/1899.

al-Nuraqi, Husayn b. Ahmad (d. 1332/1913), *Tarikh al-Kufa*, Najaf, 1379/1960.

Omar, F., "Some aspects of the 'Abbasid-Husaynid relations during the early 'Abbasid period (132-193/750-809)", *Arabica*, XXII (1975), 170-9.

-----, "Some observations on the reign of the 'Abbasid caliph al-Mahdi (158/775-785)", *Arabica*, XXI (1974), 139-150.

Osman, Muhammad Salih, *Mahdiyyism in Islam up to 260 A.H. 1874 and its relation to Zoroastrian, Jewish and Christian Messianism*, Ph.D. Thesis, Edinburgh University, 1976.

al-Qadi 'Abd al-Jabbar, Abu al-Hasan (d. 415/1024), al-Mughni fi Abwab al-Tawhid wal-'Adl, vol. 20, parts 1-2, section on al-Imama, Cairo, 1963.

al-Qalqashandi, Ahmad b. 'Ali (d. 821/1418), Sugh al-A'sha, 14 vols., Cairo, 1963.

al-Qanduri, Sulayman, Yanabi' al-Mawadda, al-Istana, n.d.

al-Qarashi, Baqir Sharif, Hayat al-Imam al-Hasan b. 'Ali, Najaf, 1973.

al-Qazwini, Hamd-Allah Mustawfi (d. 740/1340), Nuzhat al-Qulub, the geographical part, trans. by Le Strange, Leiden, 1919.

al-Qazwim, Muhammad b. Yazid (d. 275/889), Sunan Ibn Maja, 2 vols., Cairo, 1972.

al-Qifti, 'Ali b. Yusuf (d. 568/1172), Tartkh al-Hukama', Leipzig, 1903.

al-Qummi, 'Ali b. Ibrahim (d. 307/919), al-Tafsir, Najaf. 1386/1966.

al-Qummi Sa'd b. 'Abd Allah al-Ash'ari (d. 299/911), Kitab al-Magalat wal-Firaq, ed. by Muh. J. Mashkur, Tehran, 1963.

Rajowski, W. W., Early Shi'ism in Iraq, Ph.D. Thesis, School of Oriental and African Studies, 1955.

Ramyar, Mahmud, Shaykh Tusi, The life and works of a Shiite leader, Ph.D. Thesis, Edinburgh, 1977.

al-Rawandi, Sad b. Hibbat Allah, al-Khara'ij wal-Jara'ih, Bombay, 1301/1883.

al-Sabi, al-Hilal b. Muhsin, al-Wuzara', Cairo, 1958.

Sachedina. A. A., The Doctrine of Mahdism in Imami Shi'ism, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Toronto, 1976.
 ----, "A Treatise on the Occultation of the Twelfth Imamite Imam", *Studio Islamica*, XLVIII (1978), 109-124.

al-Sadiq al-Mahdi, Yas'alunak 'an al-Mahdiyya, Beirut, 1975.

al-Sadr, Muhammad Baqir, Bahth Hawla al-Mahdi, Beirut, 1977/1397.
 ----, *Fadak fi al-Tarikh, Najaf, 1955.*

al-Sadr, Muhammad, Tarikh al-Ghayba al-Sughra, vol. 1, Beirut, 1972.
 ----, *Tarikh al-Ghayba al-Kubra, vol. 2, Beirut, 1975.*

al-Sadr, Sadr al-Din, al-Mahdi, Tehran, 1358.

al-Saduq, Muhammad b. 'Ali b. al-Husayn b. Babawayh (d. 381/991), 'Ral al-Shara'i', Najaf, 1385/1966.

-----, *Kamal al-Din wa-Tamam al-Ni'ma*, Tehran, 1378/1958, and Tehran, 1395/1975.
 -----, *Man La Yahduru al-Faqih*, 4 vols., Tehran, 1390/1970.
 -----, *al-Tawhid*, Tehran, 1387/1967. (al-Saduq) *'Uyun Akhbar al-Ri'ā'a*, Najaf, 1390/1970.

al-Saffar, Muhammad al-Hasan (d. 290/902), *Basa'ir al-Darajat*, Tehran University, Central Library, Ms. No. 657.

al-Sahib b. 'Abbad, Isma'il b. 'Abbad (d. 385/995), *Nusrat Madhahib al-Zaydiyya*, ed. by Naji Hasan, Baghdad, 1977.

al-Sajistani, Abu Dawud Sulayman b. al-Ash'ath (d. 275/889), *Sunan Abi Dawud*, 4 vols., Cairo, 1370/1951.

al-Sajistani, Abu Ya'qub, *Kashf al-Mahjub*, Tehran, 1949.

al-Salawi, Ahmad b. Khalid al-Nasiri, *al-Istaqsa li-Akhbar al-Maghrib al-Aqsa*, 10 vols., al-Dar al-Bayda, 1954.

al-Sam'awi, 'Abd al-Karim b. Muhammad al-Tamimi (d. 562/1166), *al-Ansab*, Haydar Abad, 1382-96/1962-76.

al-Samir, Faysal, *Thawrat al-Zanj*, Basra, 1952.

al-San'ani, 'Abd al-Razzaq b. Humam (d. 211/826), *al-Musannaf vol. 11*, Beirut, 1392/1972.

Shahan, M. A., *Islamic History, A new interpretation, vol. 2 (750-1055/132-448)*, Cambridge, 1976.

al-Shahristani, Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Karim (d. 548/1153), *Kitab al-Milal wal-Nihal*, London, 1263/1846

Shakir, M. H., trans., *The Holy Qur'an, Arabic text and English translation*, Tehran, 1978.

al-Sharif al-Radi, ed., *Nahj al-Balagha*, Beirut, 1967.

al-Sharif Ahmad Ibrahim, *al-'Alam al-Islami fi al-'Asr al-'Abbasi*, Cairo, 1967.

al-Shibi, Kamil Mustafa, *al-Sila bayn al-Tasawwuf wall-Tashayyu'*, Baghdad, 1382/1963.

Sourdel, D., "The 'Abbasid Caliphate", *CH I, vol. 1, The Central Islamic Lands*, ed. by P. M. Holt, A. Lambton and B. Lewis, Cambridge, 1970, 104-39.

---, "Banu Furat", *E.I.2*.

Strothmann, R., "Musa al-Kazim", *E.I. 1 III*.

-----, "Muhammad b. 'Ali al-Ri'ā'a", *E.I. III*.

-----, "Shi'a", *E.I. IV*.

Subhi, Ahmad Mahmud, *Nazariyyat al-Imama Lada al-Shi'a al-Ithna 'Ashariyya*, Cairo, 1969.

al-Subki, 'Abd al-Wahhab b. 'Ali (d. 771/1369), *Tabaqat al-Shafiyya al-Kubra*, 10 vols., Cairo, 1965/1385.

al-Suli, Abu Bakr Muhammad b. Yahya (d.335/946), *Akhbar al-Radi wal-Muttaqi* ed. by J. Heyworth Dunne, London, 1935/1354.

al-Tabari, Muhammad b. Jarir (d. 310/922), *Tarikh al-Rusul wal-Muluk*, 3 vols., ed. by de Goeje, Leyden 1879–1901.

al-Tabari, Muh. b. Jarir b. Rustam (d. 4th/10th century), *Dala'il al-Imama*, Najaf, 1949/1369.

al-Tabarsi, Ahmad b. 'Ali b. Abi Talib (d. 548/1153), *al-Ihtijaj*, vols. 1–2, Najaf, 1386/1966.

al-Tabarsi, al-Fadl b. al-Hasan, *I'lam al-wara bi-A'lam al-Huda*, Tehran, 1379/1959.

al-Tabrani, Maymun b. al-Qasim al-Nusayri (d. 427/1035), *Kitab Sabil Rahat alArwah*, ed. by Strothmann in *Der Islam*, XXVII (1946).

al-Tabsi, Muh. Riḡa al-Najaf, *al-Shi'a wal-Raja*, vol. 1, 3rd eel., Najaf, 1966/1385.

al-Thalabi, Ahmad b. Muhammad al-Nisaburi (d. 427/1035), *'Arais al-Majalis*, Cairo, n.d.

al-Tikriti, B. K., *The Religious Policy of al-Mutawakkil 'ala Allah al-'Abbasi (23247/847–68)*, M.A. Thesis, Institute of Islamic Studies, McGill University, 1969.

-----, *The Struggle for Power in the 'Abbasid Caliphate between the years 247/861 and 256/868*, Ph.D. Thesis, Edinburgh University, 1972.

(al-Tikriti) "*al-Mutawakkil bayn Khusumihi wa Ansarihi*", *Bulletin of the College of Arts*, Basra University, 1976.

al-Tirmidhi, Muhammad b. 'Isa (d. 279/893), *Sunan al-Tirmidhi*, 5 vols., Cairo, 1356/1937.

Tuker, W. T., "Rebels and Gnostics, al-Mughira b. Said and al-Mughiriyya", *Arabica*, XXII (1975), 33–47.

-----"Abu Mansur al-'Ijli and al-Mansuriyya, A study in the Medieval terrorism", *Der Islam*, LIV (1977).

al-Tusi, Muhammad b. al-Hasan (d. 460/1067), *al-Amali*, 2 vols., Najaf, 1383/1963.

-----, *al-Fihrist*, eel. Sprenger, Calcutta, 1853, and Mashhad, 1972.

-----, *al-Ghayba*, Tabriz, 1322/1904.

-----, *Ikhtiyar Ma'rifat al-Rijal*, Mashhad, 1348/1969.

-----, *al-Istibsar*, 4 vols., Tehran, 1390/1970.

-----, *al-Nihaya fi Mujarrad al-Fiqh wal-Fatawa*, Tehran, 1970.

-----, *al-Rijal*, Najaf, 1381/1961.

-----, *Tahdhib al-Ahkam*, 10 vols., Tehran, 1390/1970.

-----, *Talkhis al-Shafi*, Qumm, 1394/1973.

al-Usul al-Arba'mi'a, Ms. Tehran University, no. 262, 1962.

Watt, W. M., *The Formative Period of Islamic Thought*, Edinburgh, 1973.

-----, "The Rafidites: A preliminary study", *Oriens*, XVI (1963), 110-21.

-----, "The Reappraisal of 'Abbasid Shiism", *Arabic and Islamic Studies in Honour of Hamilton A. R. Gibb*, ed. by George Maqdisi, Leiden, 1965, 638-54.

-----, "Sidelights on early Imamite Doctrine", *Studia Islamica*, Ex fasciculo XXXI°, Memoriae J. Schacht Dedicato, Paris, 1970, 287-98.

Wensinck, A.J., and Mensing, J. P., *Concordance et Indices de la Tradition Musulmane*, Leiden, 1969.

al-Yafi'i al-Fasi, Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Hasani (d. 832/1429), *al-'Iqd al-Thamin fi Tarikh al-Balad al-Amm*, Cairo, 1959.

al-Ya'qubi, Ahmad b. Abi Ya qub b. Wadih (d. 284/897), *Tarikh al-Ya'qubi* vols. 1-3, Najaf, 1964.

Yaqut al-Hamawi (d. 626/1228), *Irshad al-Arib*, ed. by D. S. Margoliouth, vols. 1-7, London, 1908-23.

-----, *Mujam al-Buldan*, 4 vols., ed. by F. Wustenfeld, Leipzig, 1866-70.

al-Yusuf, 'Abel al-Qadir Ahmad, *al-Imam al-Ri'isa Wali 'Ahd al-Ma'm'un*, Baghdad, 1945.

al-Zubayri, Mas'ab b. 'Abd Allah (d. 236/850), *Nasab Quraysh*, Cairo, 1953.

Azdi – al-Azdi, Yazid b. Muhammad, *Tarikh al-Mawsil*, Cairo, 1387/1967.

B. Firaq – al-Baghdadi, 'Abd al-Qahir, *al-Farq bayn al-Firaq*, Beirut, 1393/1973.

al-Bidaya – Ibn Kathir, Isma'il b. 'Umar, *al-Bidaya wal-Nihaya*, 14 vols., Beirut, 1386/1966.

Bihar – al-Majlisi, Muhammad Baqir, *Bihar al-Anwar*, 110 vols., Tehran, 1375/1395/1956-1975.

B. Sahih – al-Bukhari, Muhammad b. Isma'il, *al-Jami' al-Sahih*, Leiden, 1862-1908.

Dala'il – al-Tabari, Muhammad b. Jarir b. Rustam, *Dala'il al-Imama*, Najaf, 1369/1949.

D. Sunan – al-Sajistani, Abu Dawud, *Sunan Abi Dawud*, Cairo, 1370/1951.

Fakhri – Ibn al-Taqaqi, Muhammad b. 'Ali, *al-Fakhri fi al-Adad al-Sultaniyya*, Cairo, 1345/1929.

Fasl – Ibn Hazm, ‘Ali b. Muhammad, *al-Fasl fī al-Milal wa-l-Ahwa’ wa-l-Nihal*, Cairo, 1317–21

al-Fusul al-‘Ashara – al-Mufid, Muhammad b. al-Nu‘man, *al-Fusul al-‘Ashara fī al-Ghayba*, Najaf, 1371/1951.

al-Fusul al-Mukhtara – al-Murtada, *al-Fusul al-Mukhtara min al-‘Uyun wal-Mahasin lil-Mufid*, 2 vols., Najaf, 1966.

Ibar – Ibn Khaldun, *al-‘Ibar wa diwan al-Mubatada’ wal-Khabar*, 7 vols., Cairo, 1284–7/1867–70.

Ikhtiyar – al-Kashshi, Muhammad b. ‘Umar, *Ikhtiyar Ma’rifat al-Rijal*, Mashhad, 1972.

‘Ilal – al-Saduq, Muhammad b. ‘Ali, *‘Ilal al-Shara’i*, Najaf, 1385/1966.

al-Irshad – al-Mufid, Muhammad b. al-Nu‘man, *al-Irshad*, Najaf, 1972; English translation, Balagha Books, 1981.

Ithbat – al-Mas’udi, ‘Ali b. al-Husayn, *Ithbat al-Wasiyya*, Najaf, 1955.

J. Wuzara’ – al-Jahshayari, Muhammad b. ‘Abdus, *Kitab al-Wuzara’ wal-Kuttab*, Cairo, 1357/1939.

al-Kafi – al-Kulayni, Muhammad b. Ya‘qub, *al-Kafi fī ‘Ilm al-Din*, 6 vols., Tehran, 1381/1961.

Kamal – al-Sadq, Muhammad b. ‘Ali, *Kamal al-Din wa Tamam al-Ni‘ma*, Tehran, 1395/1975.

al-Kamil – Ibn al-Athir, ‘Izz al-Din ‘Ali, *al-Kamil fī al-Tarikh*, 9 vols., Leiden, 1851–76.

Khatib – al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, *Tarikh Baghdad*, 14 vols., Cairo, 1349/1931.

Kindi – al-Kindi, Muhammad b. Yusuf, *Kitab Wulat Misr*, Beirut, 1379/1959.

Maqatil – al-Isfahani, Abu al-Faraj, *Maqatil al-Zalibiyin*, Cairo, 1368/1949, also Najaf, 1965.

Milal – al-Shahristani, Muhammad b. ‘Abd al-Karim, *Kitab al-Milal wal-Nihal*, London 1263/1846.

Mizan – al-Dhahabi, *Mizan al-‘Itidal fī Naqd al-Rijal*, 4 vols., Cairo, 1963.

al-Muntazam – Ibn al-Jawzi, ‘Abd al-Rahman, *al-Muntazam fī Tarikh al-Muluk wal-Umam*, Haydar Abad, 1357/1939, and Beirut, 1974.

Muruj – al-Mas’udi, ‘Ali b. al-Hasan, *Muruj al-Dhahab wa Ma‘adin al-Jawhar*, 9 vols., Paris, 1861–76.

M. Sahih – Muslim b. al-Hajjaj al-Nisaburi, *Sahih Muslim*, Cairo, 1377/1956.

Al-Najashi – al-Najashi, Ahmad b. al-‘Abbas, *Kitab al-Rijal*, Tehran, n.d.

N. Firaq – al-Nawbakhti, al-Hasan b. Musa, *Firaq al-Shi'a*, Leipzig, 1931, also Najaf 1963.

Nujum – Ibn Taghri Bardi, Abu al-Mahasin, *al-Nujum al-Zahira fi Muluk Misr walQahira*, 12 vols., Cairo, n.d.

Q. Maqalat – Sad al-Ash'ari al-Qummi, *Kitab al-Maqalat wal-Firaq*, Tehran, 1963.

Tabari – al-Tabari, Muhammad b. Jarir, *Tarikh al-Rusul wal-Muluk*, Leyden, 1879/1901.

T. al-Fihrist – al-Tusi, Muhammad b. al-Hasan, *al-Fihrist*, Mashhad, 1972.

T. al-Ghayba – idem, *Kitab al-Ghayba*, Tabriz, 1322/1904.

al-Tirmidhi – al-Tirmidhy, Muhammad b. Isa, *Sunan al-Tirmidhi* Cairo, 1356/1937.

'Uyun – al-Saduq, 'Uyun Akhbar al-Ri'a, Najaf, 1970.

Wafayat – Ibn Khallikan, Ahmad b. Muhammad, *Wafayat al-A'yan*, 2 vols., Cairo, 1299/1882.

al-Yaqubi – al-Ya'qubi, Ahmad b. Abi Ya'qub, *al-Tasrikh*, 3 vols., Beirut, 1375/1955.

Source URL:

<https://www.al-islam.org/occultation-twelfth-imam-historical-background-jassim-m-hussain>

Links

[1] <https://www.al-islam.org/user/login?destination=node/18370%23comment-form>

[2] <https://www.al-islam.org/user/register?destination=node/18370%23comment-form>

[3] <https://www.al-islam.org/person/jassim-m-hussain>

[4] <https://www.al-islam.org/organization/muhammadi-trust-great-britain-and-northern-ireland>

[5] <https://www.al-islam.org/library/prophethood-imamate>

[6] <https://www.al-islam.org/library/imam-al-mahdi>

[7] <https://www.al-islam.org/tags/ahl-al-bayt>

[8] <https://www.al-islam.org/tags/occultation>

[9] <https://www.al-islam.org/tags/messianism>